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ABSTRACT

ONKAR NITIN RAUT. Shape recognition for plane closed curves using error model of an elliptical fit and Fourier descriptors. (Under the direction of DR. JAMES M. CONRAD)

Since the past few decades there has been profound interest shown in robotics and computer vision specially towards object recognition. While there are many techniques available to choose from, an investigation is carried out in this work on techniques that use Fourier descriptors for analyzing and modelling objects of interest. Also, a new technique for generating Fourier descriptors is designed and the performance is evaluated against existing techniques for generating Fourier descriptors. This new technique generates the Fourier descriptor by fitting an ellipse to a shape normalized boundary segment extracted from an image of a plane close object and finding the error of the fit. This error forms the signal input for generating the Fourier descriptor.

Experiments are performed using the new technique which involve classifying a database of leaves using a simple k-Nearest Neighbours classifier. Results deduced from the performance of this newly designed technique indicate improved consistancy and accuracy over previous methods. This encouraged the development of a real time recognition system used to recognize known objects of interest in an unknown environment as an application towards computer vision and robotics.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AI</td>
<td>Artificial Intelligence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBxM</td>
<td>BeagleBoard-xM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAR</td>
<td>Charlotte Area Robotics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFT</td>
<td>Discrete Fourier Transform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FD</td>
<td>Fourier Descriptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kNN</td>
<td>k-Nearest Neighbour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OpenCV</td>
<td>Open-source Computer Vision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USB</td>
<td>Universal Serial Bus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Motivation

In Fall 2010, Charlotte Area Robotics (CAR), a student organization at the University of North Carolina at Charlotte, proposed a task of developing an autonomous quadrotor capable of navigating indoor environments. One of the major challenges issued included detection of objects of interest using an overhead camera mounted at the base of the hovering quadrotor. The overall objective of the project was to navigate the indoor environment successfully and retrieve the object of interest.

While it is almost effortless for humans to recognize an object, it is a tedious task to make machines understand them. Object detection and recognition is a field under Machine Learning and Computer Vision that is being explored since the past few decades. An initial study of available techniques for object recognition proved to be quite overwhelming. Some of the widely known techniques one might come across while investigating the topic include the use of Affine Invariant Transforms for Feature Detection and Recognition, Hough Transform, Ridge Detection, Scale-invariant feature transform, Speeded Up Robust Features, Gradient Location and Orientation Histogram, Histogram of Oriented Gradients and Local Energy-based Shape Histogram.

One key aspect of the environment in which the object of interest is located is that it is non-cluttered, i.e. there is no overlap of other objects on the one of inter-
est. If this aspect of the environment is exploited correctly, it can prove beneficial towards providing good features, thereby allowing better classification. Being in a non-cluttered environment, object recognition can be performed by either discriminating the shapes or textures of different objects. Since a planar camera is used, “shape” in this case is dictated by the outline i.e boundary of the object.

Due to the non-deterministic nature of the location of the object, the technique chosen to perform recognition needs to be independent of translation, rotation and scale of the object of interest. Independence from translation allows recognition to succeed independent of the position of the object. Independence from rotation ensures that recognition succeeds when the object is not in the same pose during training, where as independence from scaling allows recognition to succeed when the object is not the same size as during training. This allows the system to conform to the stochastic nature of the real world. These parameters greatly reduce the amount of training and testing required for a classifier, thereby reducing the computational cost and time. While being invariant to translation rotation and scaling, the method must also be robust, that is, invariant to noise to a certain extent. Recognition using
Fourier Descriptors (FDs) is one such technique that fulfills the above criteria.

Recognition in this case is performed on the most recent acquired image of an object by extracting features of the object from the image and performing statistical analysis against a known database of features of the object of interest. The end goal of this work is to investigate recognition of non-occluded objects in images using FDs using the error model of an elliptical fit to the data. This is the new technique created and analysed in this work. Since there are other techniques for generating FDs, a common database for classification is selected to determine the accuracy of classification with the newly designed technique against the old techniques.

1.2 Problem Statement

The problem statement of this work is formulated into four parts:

1. Create a new model for acquiring FDs.
2. Investigate the accuracy of recognition using FDs generated using the error model of an elliptical fit to the given data against old techniques i.e. FDs generated using the data as complex co-ordinates and centroid distance.
3. Develop a real time testing system to perform recognition of objects using the designed algorithm.
4. Evaluate the performance of the real time system.

1.3 Contribution

This work evaluates the performance of FDs towards the task of recognition using a newly designed technique in this work which is based on the error model of an elliptical fit to boundary data of plane closed curves (objects). Most of this work will
be incorporated into the CAR quadrotor robot for recognizing the object of interest in the non-cluttered environment. While translating the algorithm from MATLAB® code to C++, it was found that there was no equivalent code for the function “polyfit” found in MATLAB. A C++ version of the polyfit function was therefore written using the Open-source Computer Vision (OpenCV) library and submitted to the OpenSource community which is now included in in further releases of the OpenCV [16].

1.4 Organization of the thesis

Chapter 1 provides an introduction to the rest of the report and the problem statement at hand. Chapter 2 prepares the reader with the necessary mathematical background for material introduced in Chapter 3 and 4. Chapter 3 mentions some of the existing techniques for shape analysis, previous work and applications of FDs. Chapter 4 details the design aspect of the system which includes the algorithm for generating features using the error model of an elliptical fit to data, the necessary hardware and software required to develop the test system and the real time system. In Chapter 5, the design of the system is evaluated by discussing the results obtained.
CHAPTER 2: BACKGROUND

This chapter describes some of the earlier work on shape recognition using FDs. It also introduces the mathematical concepts required to understand the process of shape recognition using the design mentioned in Chapter 4.

2.1 Discrete Fourier Transform

The Fourier Transform, in continuous time, is a mathematical operation that decomposes a given signal into its constituent frequencies (in Hz). However, since all computational machines operate on a discrete clock signal, of more importance to us is the Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT). The DFT operates on an input function or signal that is discrete, finite and has non zero values (real or complex numbers). A person’s sampled voice using an analog to digital converter is a good example of an input function or signal. It only evaluates enough frequency components to reconstruct the finite segment that was analyzed i.e. frequency mapping/evaluation is for frequencies between 0 to $2\pi$ or $-\pi$ to $+\pi$. The mathematical definition of the DFT is if a signal $x(t)$ is sampled at equal time intervals $T_s$ and the corresponding analog values are converted into their discrete representation, a signal $x(n)$ is obtained. Assuming that this signal $x(n)$ is finite i.e. $n = 0, 1, 2, ..., N - 1$, the DFT $X(k)$ is computed as,

$$X(k) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n)e^{-\frac{2\pi}{N}kn} \quad (2.1)$$

An example plot of a discrete signal along with the magnitude and phase plots
after applying DFT using Equation 2.1 to the signal are shown in Figure 2.1

![Figure 2.1: Plot of a signal in time and the corresponding magnitude and phase plots after applying DFT](image)

2.2 Morphological Image Processing

The result of sampling and quantization of a scene in the real world by means of a image capture device, such as a camera, is a matrix of real numbers, i.e. a digital image. From here on, we will refer to the digital image as “image” only. This sampled image \( f(x, y) \) has \( M \) rows and \( N \) columns. If \( f(x, y) \) can have either of two values,
0 or 1, for any given value of $x$ and $y$ then the image is called a binary image. If $f(x, y)$ can have a finite value, e.g. between 0 to 255 or say 0 to L, then the image can be classified as a grayscale image. In general, $f(x, y)$ is a digital image if $(x, y)$ are integers from $Z^2$, the set of all ordered pairs of elements $(z_i, z_j)$, with $z_i$ and $z_j$ being integers from $Z$, the set of all integers and $f$ is a function that assigns a gray level value (that is, a real number from the set of real numbers, $R$) to each distinct pair of co-ordinates $(x, y)$.

Morphological image processing involves performing mathematical operations on digital images based on set theory to extract image components useful for representation and description of region shape i.e., boundaries, skeleton and convex hull. Images taken into consideration can be either binary images or images in grayscale. In order to understand some of the operations introduced later, we need to understand a few preliminary morphological operations such as image dilation and connected components that grow into the idea of boundary extraction. These are explained in detail in the following subsections. The following sections also introduce some of the mathematical language that may be used in common parts of the literature.

### 2.2.1 Basics of set theory

Sets in mathematical morphology can represent an object in an image. For example, all black pixels in an image form a set that can provide a complete morphological description of the image. Let $A$ be a set in $Z^2$. If $a = (a_1, a_2)$ is an element of $A$, we write $a \in A$. If not, we write $a \notin A$. If a set has no elements, we call it an empty or null set denoted by $\emptyset$. If every element in set $A$ is also an element in set $B$ we write, $A \subseteq B$. Union and intersection of two sets are written as $C = A \cup B$ and $D = A \cap B$. 

respectively. Sets $A$ and $B$ are said to be mutually exclusive if they have no common elements. We write this as $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Elements not contained in set $A$ can be said to form another set, which is called the complementary set $A^c = \{w|w \notin A\}$, where $w$ is an element in set $A$. The difference of two sets $A$ and $B$ is

$$A - B = \{w|w \in A, w \notin B\} = A \cap B^c.$$ \hfill (2.2)

The reflection of set $B$, written as $\hat{B}$ is defined as

$$\hat{B} = \{w|w = -b, b \in B\}.$$ \hfill (2.3)

Translation of a set by point $z = (z_1, z_2)$, written as $(A)_z$ is defined as

$$(A)_z = \{c|c = a + z, \text{for } a \in A\}.$$ \hfill (2.4)

### 2.2.2 Image Dilation

Two basic operators in the area of mathematical morphology are dilation and erosion. The basic effect of a dilation operator is to gradually enlarge the boundaries of regions in the foreground. The areas of foreground pixels grow in size while the holes within the region tend to become smaller. If sets $A$ and $B$ are in $Z^2$, then the dilation of $A$ by $B$, denoted by, $A \oplus B$, is defined as,

$$A \oplus B = \{z| (\hat{B})_z \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$$ \hfill (2.5)

Set $B$ is usually referred to as the structuring element in dilation.
2.2.3 Image Erosion

The basic effect of the erosion operator on a binary image is to erode away the boundaries of regions of foreground pixels (i.e. white pixels, typically). Thus, areas of foreground pixels shrink in size, and holes within those areas become larger. If sets $A$ and $B$ are in $\mathbb{Z}^2$, then the erosion of $A$ by $B$, denoted by,

$$A \ominus B = \{z|(B)_z \subseteq A\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.6)

2.2.4 Boundary Extraction

In topology and mathematics in general, the boundary of a subset $S$ of a topological space $X$ is the set of points which can be approached both from $S$ and from the outside of $S$. Boundary extraction is the process of obtaining all the boundary points of a given set $A$. This process from a mathematical point of view can be written as,

$$\beta(A) = A - (A \ominus B)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.7)

where $B$ is a structuring element. In short the boundary can be obtained by first eroding the set $A$ by $B$ and then performing set difference between $A$ and its erosion as defined in Equation 2.6.

2.2.5 Connected Components

An object in an image is represented by either pixels of similar color or by pixels having tight connectivity. In order to show that a group of pixels represents an object in an image, we need to establish if a group of pixels (or a pair of pixels) are connected
or not. To do so it must be determined if they are neighbours and their gray levels meet a certain criterion. If \( V \) is the set of gray level values used to define adjacency, then for a binary image, \( V = \{1\} \) if we are referring to adjacency of pixels with value 1. In a grayscale image, \( V \) would have more than one value. There are three types of adjacency, \( 4-\text{adjacency} \), \( 8-\text{adjacency} \) and \( m-\text{adjacency} \).

- In \( 4-\text{adjacency} \), two pixels \( p \) and \( q \) with values from \( V \) are 4-adjacent if \( q \) is in the set \( N_4(p) \), where \( N_4(p) \) is the set of horizontal and vertical neighbouring pixels \( \{(x + 1, y), (x - 1, y), (x, y + 1), (x, y - 1)\} \) of a pixel \( p \) at co-ordinates \((x, y)\).

- In \( 8-\text{adjacency} \), two pixels \( p \) and \( q \) with values from \( V \) are 8-adjacent if \( q \) is in the set \( N_8(p) \), where \( N_8(p) \) is the set of horizontal, vertical and diagonal neighbouring pixels \( \{(x + 1, y + 1), (x - 1, y + 1), (x + 1, y - 1), (x - 1, y - 1), (x + 1, y), (x - 1, y), (x, y + 1), (x, y - 1)\} \) of a pixel \( p \) at co-ordinates \((x, y)\).

- \( m-\text{adjacency} \) occurs when we have two pixels \( p \) and \( q \) with values from \( V \) and either
  
  - \( q \) is in \( N_4(p) \), or
  
  - \( q \) is in \( N_D(p) \), where \( N_D(p) \) is the set of diagonal pixels \( \{(x + 1, y + 1), (x - 1, y + 1), (x + 1, y - 1), (x - 1, y - 1)\} \) and the set \( N_4(p) \cap N_4(q) \) has no pixels whose value is from \( V \).

2.3 Polynomial Fitting

Polynomial fitting, also known as curve fitting is a technique for approximating a mathematical model (polynomial equation) to an observed set of data points. The
polynomial function in this case is represented as,

\[ y(x, w) = w_0 + w_1 x + w_2 x^2 + \cdots + w_M x^M = \sum_{j=0}^{M} w_j x^j; \quad (2.8) \]

This can be written in matrix format as,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
x \\
x^2 \\
x^3 \\
\vdots \\
x^M
\end{bmatrix}
= w^T x
\quad \text{where } x =
\begin{bmatrix}
w_0 \\
w_1 \\
w_2 \\
w_3 \\
\vdots \\
w_M
\end{bmatrix}
\quad \text{and } w =
\]

While there are many methods to fit a polynomial model to given data one of particular interest is fitting using least squares. Assume that \( t \) is the vector representing the actual data point values to be fit to a series \( x \). Since the goal is to construct a model that approximates the data \( t \) to the series \( x \) using a model based on the coefficients \( w \), an error between the actual data point and the data point generated from the approximation will always be present. This overall error dependent on the model \( w \) is given by,

\[
E(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} y(x_n, w) - t_n
\quad (2.10)
\]
or in matrix format

\[ E(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} w^T x_n - t_n = Xw - t \text{ where } X = \begin{bmatrix} x_0^T \\ x_1^T \\ x_2^T \\ \vdots \\ x_N^T \end{bmatrix}_{N \times (M+1)} \text{ and } t = \begin{bmatrix} t_0 \\ t_1 \\ t_2 \\ \vdots \\ t_N \end{bmatrix}_{N \times 1} \]

(2.11)

with \( x \) and \( w \) defined in Equation 2.9. The objective of least squares error fitting is to minimize square of this error over available \( n \) data points of \( x \) and \( t \). i.e. find values of \( w \) which minimize the square of the error. The square of the error is given by,

\[ E(w)^2 = (Xw - t)^T (Xw - t) = (w^T X^T - t^T)(Xw - t) \]

(2.12)

\[ E(w)^2 = w^T X^T Xw - w^T X^T t - t^T Xw + t^T t = w^T X^T Xw - 2w^T X^T t + ||t||^2 \]

(2.13)

Differentiating Equation 2.13 w.r.t. \( w \) and equating to zero we get,

\[ \frac{\partial E(w)^2}{\partial w} = \frac{\partial \{w^T X^T Xw - 2w^T X^T t + ||t||^2\}}{\partial w} = 0 \]

(2.14)

\[ \Rightarrow 0 = 2X^T Xw - 2X^T t + 0 \]

(2.15)

\[ \Rightarrow w = (X^T X)^{-1}X^T t \]

(2.16)
2.4 Polynomial Fitting to two dimensional data (Fitting Ellipses)

The general algebraic representation of a conic section is given by a quadratic equation

\[ Ax^2 + Bxy + Cy^2 + Dx + Ey + F = 0 \text{ and } A, B, C \text{ are not all zeros } \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.17)

discrimination amongst the four different types of conic sections is performed by solving the discriminant

\[ B^2 - 4AC \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.18)

If \( B^2 - 4AC > 0 \) then the equation represents a hyperbola. If \( B^2 - 4AC < 0 \) then the equation represents an ellipse. If \( B^2 - 4AC = 0 \) then the equation represents a parabola. If \( B^2 - 4AC > 0 \) with \( A = C \) and \( B = 0 \) then the equation represents a circle.

Equation 2.17 can be rewritten in vector form as,

\[ \begin{bmatrix} x^2 \\ xy \\ y^2 \\ x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \\ C \\ D \\ E \\ F \end{bmatrix} = 0 \text{ where } x_i = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } a = \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \\ C \\ D \\ E \\ F \end{bmatrix} \] \hspace{1cm} (2.19)

For Equation 2.17 to represent an ellipse we need to constrain discriminant \( B^2 - \)
$4AC > 0$. This constraint can be written in matrix form as,

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
a_0 \\
a_1 \\
a_2 \\
a_3 \\
a_4 \\
a_5
\end{bmatrix} = -1 \text{ where } a \text{ is described as in 2.19} \quad (2.20)
$$

If we treat the problem of fitting an ellipse to the data points as a least squares problem then we need to minimize the algebraic distance such that the overall error of fitting the data points is minimized.

$$
\min_a \sum_{i=1}^{N} |x_i^T a|^2 \quad (2.21)
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
\min_a \sum_{i=1}^{N} ||a^T X^T X a||^2 \quad (2.22)
$$

and solved using Lagrange multipliers. The algorithm for fitting an ellipse to data points $p_1, \ldots, p_N$ where $p_i = x_i, y_i$, and $i = 1 \ldots N$ is detailed as follows.

1. Build a design matrix $X$

$$
X =
\begin{bmatrix}
x_1^2 & x_1 y_1 & y_1^2 & x_1 & y_1 & 1 \\
x_2^2 & x_2 y_2 & y_2^2 & x_2 & y_2 & 1 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
x_N^2 & x_N y_N & y_N^2 & x_N & y_N & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\quad (2.23)
$$
2. Build a scatter matrix, \( S = X^T X \)

3. Build a constraint matrix \( C \) where,

\[
C = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]  
(2.24)

4. Find the eigenvalues of the generalized eigenvalues problem \( S\mathbf{a} = \lambda C\mathbf{a} \) and use \( \lambda_n \) the only negative eigenvalue to compute the eigenvector which gives the best fit parameter vector.

2.5 Problem Statement of Recognition

The word recognition literally means the identification of something as having been previously seen, heard, known, etc. The task of recognition today has broken down into many smaller categories like aircraft recognition, automatic number plate recognition, facial recognition, gesture recognition, handwriting recognition, iris recognition, language recognition, magnetic ink character recognition, named entity recognition, optical character recognition, optical mark recognition, pattern recognition, recognition of human individuals, speech recognition, etc. The most generic one amongst these is pattern recognition where the given input value is assigned a output
value or a label according to a specific algorithm. Pattern recognition can be generalized depending upon the type of learning procedure used to generate the output labels. It can be *supervised learning*, wherein a set of training data is provided with instances that have been assigned a correct label by hand or *unsupervised learning*, which on the other hand, assumes training data that has not been hand-labeled, and attempts to find inherent patterns in the data that can then be used to determine the correct output value for new data instances.

In case of supervised learning, the objective is to produce a function $h : X \rightarrow Y$ that can closely approximate the correct unknown mapping function $g : X \rightarrow Y$ (the ground truth) which maps the input instances $x \in X$ to output labels $y \in Y$, given the training data $D = \{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3), \ldots, (x_n, y_n)\}$. $X$ is the set of input data or feature vectors, provided to the function and $Y$ set of possible outputs, usually a set of labels. Practically, neither the distribution of $X$ nor the ground truth function $g$ are known. However, they can be computed to a close approximation by empirically collecting a large number of samples of $X$ and hand labelling them using the correct value of $Y$. Classification or recognition can be performed using probabilistic modelling/recognizer i.e. Bayes recognizer which is the most ideal theoretical classifier possible, provided you know all other random distributions or one may use other classification techniques such as Multivariate Gaussian Probability Distribution functions, k-Nearest Neighbours (kNNs) Classifier, Support Vector Machines, Perceptron, etc.
2.6 Problem Statement of Shape Analysis

Shape analysis is nothing but automatic mathematical analysis of geometric shapes. The overall goal of shape analysis is to create a representation of an object or geometric shape in a digital form. The simplified representation of the object is called as a shape descriptor. The complete shape descriptor is a representation that can be used to completely reconstruct the original object. The intent is to use these shape descriptors to create models of objects of interest and use them as a database for supervised learning and perform recognition based on the similarity observed between previously seen models and the current object model considered for recognition. The goal in this study is to investigate the prominent techniques in shape analysis while paying more attention to those techniques which are invariant to translation, rotation and scaling of objects. Objects are regions of interest in images acquired from the real world. A particular technique already in existence is introduced for this purpose called Shape Analysis using FDs.
CHAPTER 3: TECHNIQUES FOR SHAPE ANALYSIS

This section investigates previous works that involved recognition of objects using shape analysis. Also some of the key properties of recognition using FDs are mentioned.

3.1 Models For Shape Analysis and Representation

Before moving on to recognition, one needs to know the different methods in shape analysis for creating models of an object. Modelling an object allows one to store an object in memory and perform mathematical manipulations on it. Some of the methods for analysing and modelling shapes are as mentioned in the following subsections:

3.1.1 Chain Codes

Boundary Extraction techniques yield the list of all pixels that form the boundary of a given region. This data can then be used to form chain codes which are used to represent the boundary by a sequence of straight-line segments of specified length and direction based on the 4- or 8-connectivity of the boundary segments. Usually the length is maintained fixed and the direction is coded using a numbering scheme as shown in Figure 3.1. Also the direction in which successive boundary pixels are considered for coding is fixed, i.e. either clockwise or counter-clockwise. Chain coding boundaries has two major drawbacks:

• chain codes from densely sampled long boundaries tend to be large,
• small perturbations due to noise or errors in segmentation along the boundary can lead to drastic changes the resulting chain code which may not relate well to the actual shape of the boundary, and

• the chain code of a boundary depends on the starting point. However this drawback is minimized by treating the chain code as a circular sequence of direction numbers and defining the starting point so that the resulting sequence of numbers forms an integer of minimum magnitude. One should note though that this normalization is effective if the boundary itself is invariant to rotation and scale change.

3.1.2 Polygon Approximation

The goal of polygon approximation is to capture the essence of a boundary shape using few polygonal segments. Although the statement may look simple, but it is a non-trivial problem that can become a time consuming iterative search. This can be avoided using certain techniques that require modest computation and complexity for polygon approximation for image processing such as minimum perimeter polygon approximation, merging techniques and splitting techniques.
3.1.3 Signatures

The 1-dimensional functional representation of a boundary is called as the signature of the boundary. This can be generated in several different ways. One of the ways to generate it is to use the centroid of the boundary. For example, the signature of a circle is described as $r(\theta) = \text{constant}$ shown in Figure 3.2. This method is invariant to translation of the boundary within the image but invariant to scaling or rotation. Scaling and rotation invariance can be taken care of by sampling the boundary at equal intervals of angles $\theta$ and by selecting the starting point based on a given rule (e.g. selecting the point farthest from the centroid) respectively. The advantage of this technique is simplicity while the disadvantage is that scaling of the entire function depends only on the minimum and maximum. If the shapes are noisy, then this dependence can be a source of error from one object to another.
3.1.4 Skeletons (Medial Axis Transformation)

Another approach to representing shape structure of a plane region is to reduce it to a graph. This can be done by obtaining a connected skeleton of the region using a thinning algorithm. One such thinning algorithm is the Medial Axis Transformation (MAT) proposed by Blum [3]. MAT, however, is computationally expensive. Alternate thinning algorithms can be used that iteratively delete edge points of a region subject to constraints that the deletion of the edge point (1) does not remove end points (2) does not break connectivity and (3) does not cause excessive erosion of the region.

3.1.5 Shape Numbers

Shape number of a chain coded boundary based on a 4-connectivity directional code is defined as the first difference of the smallest magnitude. The order $n$ of a shape number is defined as the number of digits required for representation. The first difference is computed by treating the chain code as a circular sequence. An example of a order 18 shape number is illustrated in Figure 3.3

3.1.6 Statistical Moments

Statistical moments for representing region boundaries is one of the more popular methods for shape analysis and description. Boundary segments can be described quantitatively by using simple statistical moments, such as mean, variance and higher order statistical moments. A statistical moment is acquired for a boundary segment by finding the end points of a boundary and rotating all boundary points in the segment to align horizontally. This can be imagined as a histogram. Normalizing the histogram
to unit area allows treatment of the boundary points as a probability distribution function. This essentially reduces the description task to that of describing 1-D functions. The advantage of statistical moments over other methods is its simplicity in implementation, physical interpretation of the boundary shape and insensitivity to rotation. Size normalization can be achieved by interpolation and scaling along the vertical and horizontal axis. Figure 3.4 illustrates creation of the histogram for calculating statistical moments.
3.1.7 Fourier Descriptors

Consider a region boundary having $K$ points $(x_0,y_0), (x_1,y_1), \ldots, (x_{K-1},y_{K-1})$ encountered while traversing the boundary in the counter-clockwise direction with $(x_0,y_0)$ being any arbitrary point. We can represent the x and y coordinates of each pair as a discrete signal $x(k) = x_k$ and $y(k) = y_k$ where $k = 0, 1, \ldots, K - 1$. Thus the boundary sequence can be represented as $s(k) = [x(k), y(k)]$. If we treat the sequence as a complex number such that, $s(k) = x(k) + jy(k)$ and compute the $K$ point DFT of the signal then the resulting complex coefficients acquired are called the *Fourier descriptors* of the boundary. i.e. $a(u)$ where

$$a(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} s(k)e^{-\frac{2\pi}{K}uk}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

The original boundary shape can be retrieved from the FD coefficients by simple taking the inverse DFT of the same.

3.2 Properties of Fourier Descriptors

FDs which are essentially the coefficients of the DFT of a given input have some important properties worth mentioning. An understanding of these properties allow one to perform mathematical operations such that the coefficients of the DFT ac-
quired are invariant to changes in translation, orientation and scale. Some of the important properties of FDs are discussed below. These properties and more are detailed and readily proved in work by Oppenheim, A. V. and Schafer, R. W. [13]. If \( x(n) \) is a input signal of finite length \( N \) and \( X(k) \) is the corresponding DFT obtained using Equation 2.1, then,

1. Translation (circular shift) of the input signal by \( m \) units results in output being multiplied by a linear phase as shown below

\[
\mathcal{F}\{x(n - m)\} = e^{-\frac{2\pi}{N} km} X(k)
\]  

(3.2)

2. Multiplying input \( x(n) \) by a linear phase \( e^{-\frac{2\pi}{N} km} \) would result in a circular shift of the output frequencies

\[
\mathcal{F}\{e^{2\pi i km} x(n)\} = X(k - m)
\]  

(3.3)

3. If the input \( x(n) \) is a real valued function, then the Fourier transform coefficients of the output \( X(k) \) are complex conjugates of the previous points mirrored about the point \( X(N/2 + 1) \) (except \( X(0) \))

4. If the the input signal is contracted in the time domain then it results in an expansion in the frequency output.

\[
\mathcal{F}\{x(an)\} = \frac{1}{|a|} X(k)
\]  

(3.4)

5. Even if the real valued input signal \( x(n) \) is translated, the magnitude of the
coefficients of the Fourier Transform are left unchanged.

3.3 Techniques for generating Fourier Descriptors

The method described in Section 3.1.7 is not the only method for generating the FDs of a given boundary signal. The work by Zhang, D. and Lu, G. in [22] described three other techniques namely Centroid distance, Curvature Signature and the Cumulative Angular Function to investigate results of classification using all four selected techniques. In order to eliminate the effect of translation of the object within the image, the Complex Co-ordinate technique is modified to remove the bias. This is done by subtracting the centroid co-ordinate value from each of the boundary points of the \( L \) point long boundary as illustrated in Equation 3.5

\[
s(t) = [x(t) - x_c] + j[y(t) - y_c]
\]  

(3.5)

where,

\[
x_c = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} x(t)
\]  

(3.6)

and

\[
y_c = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} y(t)
\]  

(3.7)

The centroid distance function, \( z(t) \), is in itself free of the bias of location (translation) of the object under observation. The centroid distance function is described in Equation Zhang, D. and Lu, G.3.8

\[
z(t) = ((x(t) - x_c)^2 + (y(t) - y_c)^2)^{1/2}
\]  

(3.8)
The curvature function $K(t)$, in which curvature represents the second derivative of the boundary and first derivative of the boundary tangent function, is defined as the differentiation of successive boundary angles calculated in a window $w$:

$$K(t) = \theta(t) - \theta(t - 1); \quad (3.9)$$

where

$$\theta(t) = \arctan\left(\frac{y(t) - y(t - w)}{x(t) - x(t - w)}\right) \quad (3.10)$$

The curvature function is inherently invariant to translation and rotation.

The curvature function defined previously contains discontinuities of size $2\pi$ since the tangent angle function $\theta(t)$ can assume values between the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$ or $[0, 2\pi]$. Therefore, the cumulative angular function, $\varphi(t)$, is defined as the net amount of angular bend between the starting point $z(0)$ and current position $z(t)$ on the shape boundary.

$$\varphi(t) = [\theta(t) - \theta(0)] \mod (2\pi) \quad (3.11)$$

A normalized/periodic cumulative angular function in a fixed direction can also be defined as

$$\psi(t) = \varphi\left(\frac{L}{2\pi} t - t\right) \quad (3.12)$$

After acquiring the FDs by using Equation 3.1 and any of the above mentioned aligning techniques for the input signal, the FDs are conditioned inorder to obtain translation, rotation and scaling invariance in the frequency domain. For FDs obtained using complex co-ordinate alignment, all the $N$ descriptors except the first one (DC component), since it depends on the position of the object of interest, are needed
to index the shape. Therefore, it may be discarded. However, if the magnitude of
the remaining FDs is divided by the second component, scale invariance is obtained.
Thus, the invariant feature vector used to index the shape is then given by,

\[ f = \left[ \frac{|FD_2|}{|FD_1|}, \frac{|FD_3|}{|FD_1|}, \ldots, \frac{|FD_{N-1}|}{|FD_1|} \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.13)

For FDs generated using Equation 3.8 and 3.9, due to the alignment of the input and
the function being a real valued signal, only half of the frequencies are required to
represent the object. Scale invariance is obtained by dividing the magnitude values
of the first half of FDs by the DC component.

\[ f = \left[ \frac{|FD_1|}{|FD_0|}, \frac{|FD_2|}{|FD_0|}, \ldots, \frac{|FD_{N/2}|}{|FD_0|} \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.14)

FDs generated using the periodic cumulative function, which is also a real valued
function, are invariant to translation, rotation and scaling and can be used directly
for indexing the shape and only half the FDs are required to represent/index the
object of interest.

Shape normalization is done for all of the above mentioned techniques by sampling
the shape (boundary point co-ordinates, angle, etc.) at fixed intervals of \( \theta \).

3.4 Previous work on Fourier Descriptors

It should be noted that FDs have been used in research towards recognition of
objects in the past. In fact, literature has been published on FDs in 1967 by Blum
H.[3]. One of the old literatures found on the use of FDs for classifying different shapes
is by E. Persoon and K. Fu[15]. The work in this material was built upon the previous
work of Zahn, C. T. and Roskies, R. Z.[21] and Granlund, G. H.[6] and illustrated the design, properties, advantages and disadvantages of each technique for generating FDs. Experiments performed included, skeleton finding, character recognition and machine part recognition using FDs, chain encoding and polygon approximation. Results showed that FDs were the better method to use when identifying objects whose boundaries are non-overlapping. Identification was carried out with translation, rotation, scaling and addition of small quantities of random noise to the images of the object classes.

The work done by Kuhl F. P. and Giardina C. R. [10] presented a direct method for obtaining the Fourier coefficients of a chain coded contour and described a classification and recognition procedure applicable to classes of objects that cannot change shape and whose images are subject to sensory equipment distortion. Advantages of using this approach is that integration or the use of fast Fourier transform is not required. Also, the bounds on accuracy, when using this procedure were proved to be easy to specify. The elliptical properties of the FDs were discussed and used for a convenient and intuitively pleasing procedure of normalizing a Fourier contour representation.

The aim of the work by Harding, P. R. G. and Ellis, T. J. in[7] was to show that using the FD on a set of trajectory data of hand gestures, it is possible to recognize a range of pointing gestures that is invariant to natural variations due to the single individual or a normal population. Fourier techniques were applied to the data, appearance based co-ordinates of hand centroids and time steps normalized to a fixed length by multirate techniques, to produce frequency domain data that is scale and translation invariant. The results of inputting the complex harmonic data to
a Probabilistic Neural Network (PNN) for gesture classification were also discussed. The PNN gave encouraging results at discriminating between pointing gestures with 90% accuracy.

In works performed by Zhang, D. and Lu, G. in [22], the objective was to build a Java retrieval framework to compare shape retrieval using FDs derived from different signatures. Common issues and techniques for shape representation and normalization are also analyzed in the paper. All four shape signatures (boundary models) were addressed namely (1) boundary point representation as a complex number, (2) distance of boundary points from centroid, (3) curvature signature and (4) cumulative angular function. Techniques for shape normalization and indexing of FDs for each of the previously mentioned models were detailed. Experiments were conducted in which a Java client-server framework is built to conduct a retrieval test. The results showed that shape retrieval using FDs derived from centroid distance signature is significantly better than that using FDs derived from the other three signatures. The property that centroid distance captures both local and global features of shape makes it desirable as shape representation. It is robust and information preserving. Although cumulative angular function has been used successfully for character recognition, it was shown that it is not as robust as centroid distance in discriminating general shapes. The curvature function was disqualified as a technique for retrieval as it is extremely sensitive to noise and distortion. The use of curvature as shape representation requires intensive boundary approximation to make it reliable.

A method for identifying human face profiles using FDs was proposed by Aibara, T. and Ohue, K. and Oshita, Y. in their work [1]. This method was not subject to conventional methods for face recognition. It was shown that the P-Fourier coefficients
in the low-frequency range are useful for human face profile recognition, that is, by using 31 P-Fourier coefficients, a correct recognition rate of 93.1\% for 130 subjects was obtained. The procedure for extracting the open curve face profile included smoothing using a gaussian filter, edge detection using a Sobel operator [13], binary valuation of the profile image, thinning and finally 55 pixels upward and 90 pixels downward from tip of the nose were used for pattern matching using the P-FDs obtained.

An informative paper that provided for an objective evaluation of the relative performance of autoregressive and Fourier based methods was written by Kauppinen, H. and Seppänen, T. and Pietikäinen, M.[9]. The paper compared the performance of the two techniques by performing non-occluded 2D boundary shape recognition on two independent sets of data: images of airplanes and letters. For the purpose of performance evaluation using Fourier based methods, four techniques were implemented namely, curvature Fourier method, radius Fourier method, contour Fourier method and A-Invariant method. For the purpose of performance evaluation using autoregressive methods, curvature autoregressive method, radius autoregressive method, contour autoregressive method and CPARCOR method were implemented. Classification tests were performed with 16, 32 and 64 samples from the boundary function using a kNNs classifier with $k$ set to 3. Results acquired from experiments performed demonstrated that Fourier based methods outperformed autoregressive methods in leave one out tests, while in the holdout tests autoregressive methods outperformed Fourier based methods. This is justified since it is believed that autoregressive models are appropriate for modelling signals that have distinct modes in their frequency spectrum. Whereas, Fourier based methods describe global geometric properties of the object contours while autoregressive ones describe local properties.
An investigation of the problem in detecting and classifying moving objects in image sequences from traffic scenes recorded with a static camera was performed in the work by Kunttu, I. and Lepistö, L. and Rauhamaa, J. and Visa, A [11]. This was done using a statistical, illumination invariant motion detection algorithm to produce binary masks of changes in the scene. FDs of the shapes from the refined masks are computed and used as feature vectors describing the different objects in the scene. These FDs were then passed through a feedforward neural network to distinguish between humans, vehicles, and background clutters. Images acquired were passed through a homomorphic pre-filter and contextadaptive motion detection system described in the paper which results in a binary motion mask. Using the binary motion mask, the object candidates were labelled using a connected component analysis. Boundary tracing was performed using a method introduced by Suzuki and Ade in their work in [18] and the Freeman chain code was used to represent it. Classification was tested using neural networks where complex FDs as well as centroid FDs were used as feature vectors. From the results it was observed that the traditional method worked equally well for both human and vehicle recognition, which was not the case for the centroid based method.

Lastly, an introduction to the Fourier Series, the DFT, complex geometry and FDs for shape analysis is provided in the technical report written by Skoglund K. [17] for educational purpose. This report did not include any experiments or results. The purpose of the literature was to describe in detail the use of Fourier analysis towards it’s application to shape modelling and analysis.
CHAPTER 4: DESIGN AND TESTING

The design follows the standard design of an Artificial Intelligence (AI) machine. The important blocks of the design are:

1. Sensing
2. Segmentation
3. Feature detection
4. Computational model (classifier)
5. Decision (inference)

The contribution of this work is towards step 3 of the AI machine. The first problem statement is to create a new technique for generating FDs used for shape analysis and representation using the error model of an ellipse fit to given data. The algorithm dictated in Section 4.1 details the each block of the process. Two new techniques are created which are identical, however in one technique shape normalization is not performed which leads to non standard lengths of FDs. This is a drawback since one cannot compare vectors which have different dimension. In order to compromise for this drawback, a $M$-order polynomial is fit to the absolute value of the DFT and the resulting coefficients of the polynomial are used as a feature vector. In the other technique, shape normalization is performed and the FDs generated can be directly used for classification. In Section 4.2 the process of testing the algorithm is detailed. Testing in performed using the hardware and software mentioned in Sections 4.3 and 4.4.
4.1 Algorithm

Sensing: The process for generating the FD begins by acquisition of a 640x480 image using a planar imaging device. The image acquired is low pass filtered by convolution with a 3x3 gaussian low pass filter. By doing so noise induced into the image due to the inadequacies of the imaging device is reduced. The image is then setup for segmentation.

Segmentation: A transformation from the RGB colorspace to grayscale may be performed prior to segmentation. Using statistical analysis of the RGB components of the image on may use the layer with the maximum standard deviation amongst the pixels (contrast). During testing on the selected, color segmentation was performed whereas the real time model of the algorithm used the only the layer with maximum standard deviation for segmentation. In either case, we threshold and segment pixels within the image which may have the same color value as the object of interest. Nobuyuki Otsu’s work on histogram shape-based image thresholding [14] allows for performing segmentation autonomously. Otsu’s algorithm is used for segmentation as each image is analysed for the best range of gray level values to detail the object of interest.

Feature detection (generation): Segmented pixels are grouped together to find closed connected components. The area of each closed connected component, i.e. total number of pixel in the closed connected component, is checked against a threshold in order to eliminate components that are too small to be analysed. Boundary extraction is then performed using dilation instead of erosion as mentioned in Equa-
tion 2.7. Therefore the extracted boundary is \( \beta(A) \), given by,

\[
\beta(A) = (A \oplus B) - A
\]  

(4.1)

The length of the boundary is checked against a pre-determined threshold, usually more than 360 pixels. If the length of the boundary does not meet the criteria, it is discarded. The cartesian co-ordinates of each pixel on the selected boundary are then extracted and the centroid of the boundary is calculated and subtracted from each boundary point. This removes the effect of the bias of position of the object within the image and the provides independence from translation. The boundary is then converted to polar co-ordinates. Sampling is performed on the boundary such that there is one pixel per degree change in the angle. The coordinate pairs are reordered such that the boundary is sampled in either clockwise or counter-clockwise manner. Sampling in a predetermined fashion ensures that a sequence is maintained. The boundary co-ordinate system is then converted back to the cartesian system. An ellipse is fit to the cartesian coordinates of the boundary. The elliptical fit reveals the values for \( a \) and \( b \) which form the major and minor axes of the ellipse the best fits, i.e. least square fit, the boundary co-ordinates. The equation of the ellipse is solved for each co-ordinate pair of the boundary in order to obtain the normal distance of the point from the fit ellipse.

\[
e(r) = \frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} - 1
\]  

(4.2)

The FDs are then obtained using Equation 3.1, the input to which is the error vector
$e(r)$. Since $e(r)$ is a real valued signal, property 5 holds true. We normalize each of the FDs using Equation 3.14 which then forms the feature vector that is used for classification. Rotation invariance is achieved since we use the magnitude of the Fourier transform acquired which is independent of the starting point of the signal. This is proved by property 1 of FDs and Equation 3.2 which shows that changing the origin of a signal simply multiplies the signal with a linear phase there by changing the phase of the output Fourier transform but does not cause a change in magnitude. Hence a rotated object would still provide for the same FD as a non-rotated object.

Since we fit an ellipse to the segmented boundary, if the object is scaled, then the size of the ellipse also changes and the error vector will remain proportional to objects of a standard size. A flow chart illustrating the entire procedure is shown in Figures 4.1 and 4.2. Testing the proficiency of the aforementioned algorithm involved writing programs for classifying a database of leaves. This provided a good standard to measure performance of the newly designed technique against the earlier techniques. [22] and [9] provided a comparison amongst the four techniques mentioned in Section 3.3 and proved that FDs obtained using curvature function and normalized/periodic cumulative angular function are best if used for describing local features of a shape.
Figure 4.1: Flowchart for generating Fourier descriptors using the error model of an elliptical fit to boundary points extracted (part 01)
Figure 4.2: Flowchart for generating Fourier descriptors using the error model of an elliptical fit to boundary points extracted (part 02)
4.2 Testing

As mentioned in Section 1.2 the purpose of this work is four fold. In order to establish and investigate new methods for generating FDs, previous work on the same was reviewed in Chapters 2 and 3. The technique described in this study is closely related to what has been done in the past. Section 4.1 described the algorithm for generating the FDs. The second part of the problem statement is to test the designed algorithm on a database. Testing the technique is important as it allows to determine variations in accuracy as compared to earlier techniques and predict behavior in real time operation. It is to be noted that the technique like previous ones is dependent on the segmentation and boundary extraction process through which the co-ordinates of the boundary, which describe the shape of the boundary, are passed to the Fourier transform for generation of FDs. Experiments conducted by Zhang, D. and Lu, G. in [22] used a database of 95 binary images that represented synthetic polygon shapes and bottle shapes respectively. The shapes in these images were transformed and four similar shapes for each image were created using affine distortion, scaling and rotation to expand the database to a total of 640 images. Only 16 shapes were used as training images for the supervised learning algorithm to demonstrate the accuracy of their designed retrieval system. In the case of this study, the database used by Zhang, D. and Lu, G. [22] was not available. Therefore testing of the accuracy of the system designed in this work was performed by classifying a database of 186 RGB colorspace images of 3 types of leaves available at [20]. It should be noted that the problem of classifying leaves is equally challenging as classification problem mentioned by Zhang, D. and Lu, G. [22]. However, since the two databases are separate, experiments were
performed on the database of leaves using both the new technique and the older ones. Results provided by these experiments would allow a better choice of technique, amongst the two newly created, to be used for implementing the real time algorithm for shape detection on a single board computer. A few sample images from this database are shown in Figure 4.3.

![Sample images from the database of leaves used for classification experiments in this study illustrating leaves of three types against varying cluttered backgrounds.](image)

Figure 4.3: Sample images from the database of leaves used for classification experiments in this study illustrating leaves of three types against varying cluttered backgrounds.

In the work by Zhang, D. and Lu, G. [22], it is already proved that the cumulative angular function captures only the local features of the boundary and is not as robust as the centroid distance in discriminating global features. The study also proved that the curvature function is too sensitive to noise and requires intensive boundary approximation to make it reliable. Therefore an investigation of performance of the new technique is carried out against generation of FDs using complex co-ordinates
and centroid distance as we intend to discriminate using global features of an object.

To generate the FD, the boundary co-ordinates of the object of interest, in this case the leaf, within the image must be extracted. This involves segmentation. Most samples of leaves in the database are present in cluttered environment and conversion from RGB to grayscale does not simplify segmentation. Hence, segmentation in color is performed using preprocessing techniques mentioned in [19]. The leaf is extracted using non-green background elimination in which the Red, Blue and Green component channels of the image are first separated. An excess green index ($ExG$) and excess red index ($ExR$) is calculated as,

$$ExG = 2 \times G - R - B \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$ExR = 1.4 \times R - G - B \quad (4.4)$$

Then the non-green background is eliminated by subtracting the excess red index from the excess green index ($ExG - ExR$). As shown in Figure 4.5, one can clearly see the boundary extracted after segmentation using the difference of the excess green and excess red index. It should be noted however that the segmentation algorithm used in this study does not always segment the correct object since the subject of study, i.e. leaves, do not have a fixed color. An example where the segmentation algorithm does not work is shown in Figure 4.6.

Once the leaf and the boundary are extracted as per the procedure mentioned in the algorithm in section 4.1, an ellipse is fit to the cartesian co-ordinates of the boundary with the bias of the centroid removed. For fitting the ellipse, an inbuilt
Figure 4.4: Database used by Zhang, D. and Lu, G. [22] for classification experiments to compare success rates of different Fourier Descriptor techniques. This database was not available for the purpose of comparison. Therefore, experiments were performed on the leaves database shown in Figure 4.3.

Figure 4.5: Segmentation using Otsu’s Algorithm and boundary extraction performed on the difference of excessive green and excessive red index.

Figure 4.6: Two example images that show failure in segmentation of the correct object.
function in OpenCV called RotatedRect fitEllipse( const Mat& points ); is used. This function uses a method similar to the one mentioned in Section 2.4. A complete explanation on fitting ellipses to multidimensional data can be found in the works of Gander, W., Golub, G. H. and Strebel, R. [4]. An example of an ellipse fit to the boundary point co-ordinates is shown in Figure 4.7.

Experiments were performed with and without shape normalization. Shape normalization involved sampling and saving cartesian coordinates of at least one boundary point per degree change in angle. The list of boundary point coordinates are then treated as a discrete signal and are transformed using DFT to find the magnitude of the resulting complex signal. Figures 4.8 and 4.9 show boundaries extracted with and without shape normalization respectively. The FDs are obtained after normalizing the acquired magnitude of DFT with the first coefficient of the magnitude of the DFT signal as illustrated in Equation 3.14. If shape normalization is not performed, different lengths of boundaries result in different lengths of the resultant signal after performing DFT. These results cannot be used with a conventional classifier. Hence, a \( M \)-order polynomial is fit to the absolute value of the DFT using least squares fitting process and the values of the \( M \) coefficients obtained for the fit are used as the features vector for the classifier. If shape normalization is performed, there is no need to perform polynomial fitting and the 180 point FD feature vector can be directly passed to the classifier for training or testing. The feature vector is 180 points long since the fitting error signal is a real valued signal due to which property 5 of the FDs holds true.

The computational model used in the case of this study is the kNNs classifier. K-nearest neighbor classifier is a supervised learning algorithm where the result of
new instance query is classified based on majority of K-nearest neighbor category. The purpose of this algorithm is to classify a new object based on attributes and training samples. The classifiers do not use any model to fit and is purely based on memory. Hence, it is an empirical classifier. Given a query point, it finds $K$ number of objects or (training points) closest to the query point. The distance of the query point is evaluated against each training point in the memory by solving Equation 4.5. An inference is made based on the majority vote (consensus) among the label values of the kNNs retrieved. K Nearest Neighbor algorithm used neighborhood classification
Figure 4.9: Boundary of leaf extracted with shape normalization and 360 boundary points. Shape normalization smooths out the boundary by sampling one boundary point per degree change in angle.

as the prediction value of the new query instance. This choice of classifier allows us to use the similarity measurement system used in [22] by allowing $K = 1$. Finer details regarding the kNN classifier may be found in the book by Bishop C. M.[2].

$$d = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{M} |f_i - d_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

(4.5)

where $f$ is the $M$ dimensional query point and $d$ is the $M$ dimensional training point. Additional testing was performed to check the variation in the performance of the classifier when noise was added to the points sampled from the shape normalized boundary. The noise was sampled from a normal distribution with zero mean and different standard deviations and added to the radial value of the polar boundary point. Higher values of standard deviations resulted in greater distortion of images. Figure 4.10 shows a boundary plotted when radial gaussian noise was added to it. In the case of testing in this study, radial gaussian noise with standard deviation 10 was added to the boundary points extracted and classification tests were performed with
Figure 4.10: An example of Gaussian (normal) noise with zero mean and standard deviation 10 added to boundary points. The upper row shows the original boundary points while the lower row shows the boundary points distorted due to addition of noise.

4.3 Hardware Design

The experiments to determine the accuracy of the newly designed technique were conducted on a Dell XPS laptop with:

- Processor: Intel Core 2 Duo T7250 / 2.0 GHz
- L2 Cache Memory: 2.0 MB
- Random Access Memory: 4GB DDR2 SDRAM - 667.0 MHz
- Graphics Processing Unit: nVidia Corporation G86 [GeForce 8400M GS]
• Video Memory: 128.0 MB

The real time implementation of the algorithm to identify an object of interest were performed on a BeagleBoard-xM (BBxM) with the following technical specifications:

• Super-scalar ARM Cortex TM -A8
• 512-MB LPDDR RAM
• High-speed Universal Serial Bus (USB) 2.0 OTG port optionally powers the board
• On-board four-port high-speed USB 2.0 hub with 10/100 Ethernet
• DVI-D (digital computer monitors and HDTVs)
• S-video (TV out)
• Stereo audio out/in
• High-capacity microSD slot and 4-GB microSD card
• JTAG
• Camera port

Image acquisition was performed using a ARK3188 single-chip PC camera having specifications as follows:

• USB version 2.0 compliant specification for high-speed (480Mbps) and full-speed (12Mbps) USB
• Support video data transfer in USB isochronous
• Complied with USB Video Class Version 1.1
• 8 Bit CMOS image raw data input
• Support VGA/1.3M CMOS sensor, such as Hynix 7131R, Omni Vision OV7670, Micron MT9v011/MT9v111, PixelPlus PO3030 etc
- Up to 30fps@VGA or 15fps@1.3M for PC mode video
- Provide individual R/G/B digital color gains control
- Provide snapshot function
- Embedded AE calculation and report
- Built-in gamma correction and auto white balance gain circuit
- Embedded high performance color processor
- JPEG baseline capability of compression encode
- No external memory needed

The object of interest in case of recognition was determined as Transcend JetFlash 300 USB Storage Device with size specifications 60mm x 18.8mm x 8.6mm

4.4 Software Design

The procedure for generating the FDs using the designed technique is independent of any software package. However, to reproduce and verify the results mentioned in Chapter 5 using the program code in the Appendix, it is advised to have a test system with the following software packages installed:

1. Ubuntu 10.10 using a Linux 2.6.35-27-generic-pae kernel
2. Open Source Computer Vision Library version 2.2+ [8] and it’s dependencies.
3. Blob library for Computer Vision [12].
4. Database of images of leaves for testing the algorithm [20].
5. MATLAB for verifying computational results.

The real time system for testing the designed technique was built using the BeagleBoard-xM. This required bringing the development board to working standards i.e. install
a kernel and required packages for OpenCV. In order to install the kernel instructions detailed on “http://elinux.org/BeagleBoardUbuntu” were followed to install the Maverick 10.10 distribution of Ubuntu. However, this is a extremely stripped down version of Ubuntu without the presence of any compilers or packages to generate executable binaries which are required to run to real time system. The following commands were executed in sequence on the BBxM in order to install the required packages for running OpenCV.

- apt-get upgrade -y
- apt-get -y install btrfs-tools i2c-tools nano pastebinit uboot-envtools uboot-mkimage usbutils wget wireless-tools wpasupplicant linux-firmware devmem2
- apt-get install streamer -fix-missing
- apt-get install gcc
- apt-get install g++
- apt-get install gcj
- apt-get install build-essential
- apt-get install cmake
- apt-get install unrar python
- apt-get install build-essential python-yaml cmake subversion wget python-setuptools mercurial
- apt-get install python-paramiko libgtest-dev python-numpy libboost1.42-all-dev python-imaging libapr1-dev libbz2-dev pkg-config libaprutil1-dev python-dev liblog4cxx10-dev
• apt-get install git
• apt-get install libncurses5-dev -fix-missing
• apt-get install ssh
• apt-get install vim
• apt-get install libxext-dev libavcodec-dev libavutil-dev libglut3-dev graphviz
  libswscale-dev libavformat-dev libjasper-dev libgtk2.0-dev libgraphicsmagick++1-dev
• apt-get install python-wxgtk2.8
• apt-get install libdc1394-22-dev -fix-missing
• apt-get install libv4l-dev
• apt-get install gstreamer0.10-plugins-base-apps
• apt-get install gstreamer0.10-ffmpeg
• apt-get install gfortran
• apt-get install doxygen
• apt-get install libgstreamer0.10-dev libgstreamer-plugins-base0.10-dev

Next, the OpenCV library was downloaded from [8], configured, made and installed to the default directories within the Linux filesystem. Libraries installed included:

• libopencv_calib3d.so
• libopencv_contrib.so
• libopencv_core.so
• libopencv_features2d.so
• libopencv_flann.so
• libopencv_gpu.so
• libopencv_highgui.so
• libopencv_imgproc.so
• libopencv_legacy.so
• libopencv_ml.so
• libopencv_objdetect.so
• libopencv_video.so

After installing the packages and necessary libraries, the training modules and classifier module programs were copied from the test system to the BBxM. The programs were compiled using the on-board gcc compiler.
CHAPTER 5: EVALUATION

A classifier can only be as good as the features that are used to train the model. Therefore, for generating good features, one need to have a good feature detection system. As mentioned before, the contribution of this work is towards creating an improved version of the feature detection technique which uses FDs as features. Earlier works used FDs which were generated using the four techniques mentioned in Section 3.3. Since cumulative angular function and the curvature function are not effective in describing global features of objects as deduced by Zhang, D. and Lu, G. in [22], they were not considered for the purpose of testing.

To compare the performance of classification using FDs generated from the error model of an elliptical fit with and without shape normalization to the given plane closed curve data against older techniques i.e. FDs generated by using the boundary points as Complex Co-ordinates and Centroid distance, a classification experiment was devised in which a database of 186 leaves were classified using a kNN classifier.

Performance was based on the number of correctly classified images for each class of leaves. Four images from each class were chosen being a total twelve images being used for training. While making the choice of training images it was assured that only the leaf was segmented from the background. This was done inorder to ensure that the classifier was not being trained with incorrect data due to incorrectly segmented objects.

Results acquired from the process of classification are for each class(type) of leaf
are detailed in tables 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3. Column 4 of each of the aforementioned tables represents accuracy, i.e. the ratio of number of correctly classified images to the total number of images belonging to that class, when shape normalization was performed. The size of the feature vector when shape normalization was performed on the boundary was 180 dimension per feature vector. The size of the feature vector when shape normalization was not performed was 5 dimension long (from the order 5 polynomial fitted to the variable length FD).

Table 5.1: Results of classification using Fourier Descriptors generated using four techniques for leaves of class01 (66 images of class 01 in a database of 186 images) and a kNN classifier with different values of k(% correctly classified)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>k</th>
<th>Complex Coordinates</th>
<th>Centroid distance</th>
<th>Ellipse Fit Error (w/SN)</th>
<th>Ellipse Fit Error (w/oSN)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>39.3%</td>
<td>74.3%</td>
<td>80.3%</td>
<td>69.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>51.5%</td>
<td>75.7%</td>
<td>78.7%</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>51.5%</td>
<td>69.6%</td>
<td>70.3%</td>
<td>57.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.2: Results of classification using Fourier Descriptors generated using four techniques for leaves of class02 (61 images of class 02 in a database of 186 images) and a kNN classifier with different values of k(% correctly classified)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>k</th>
<th>Complex Coordinates</th>
<th>Centroid distance</th>
<th>Ellipse Fit Error (w/SN)</th>
<th>Ellipse Fit Error (w/oSN)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
<td>40.1%</td>
<td>55.1%</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>32.2%</td>
<td>53.9%</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
<td>40.1%</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.3: Results of classification using Fourier Descriptors generated using four techniques for leaves of class03 (59 images of class 03 in a database of 186 images) and a kNN classifier with different values of k(% correctly classified)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>k</th>
<th>Complex Coordinates</th>
<th>Centroid distance</th>
<th>Ellipse Fit Error (w/SN)</th>
<th>Ellipse Fit Error (w/oSN)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>44.7%</td>
<td>68.9%</td>
<td>68.9%</td>
<td>46.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>43.0%</td>
<td>70.1%</td>
<td>72.2%</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>41.3%</td>
<td>70.1%</td>
<td>73.9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
These results indicate that FDs generated using the error model of an ellipse fit to the data with shape normalization have a higher accuracy in correctly classifying the object of interest. It consistently works better, since we do not see a single case of reduction in accuracy as compared to earlier techniques. When the value of $k$, i.e. the number of nearest neighbours which participate in voting the output, is changed, a reduction in accuracy is observed in some cases. This is however due to the empirical nature of the data input to the classifier. In the case when FDs are generated without using shape normalization, the accuracy of the classification process is not guaranteed as compared to older techniques which is a major drawback.

Table 5.4: Average time required for calculation of the Fourier Descriptors on a personal computing machine as described in section 4.3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Average Time (milliseconds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complex co-ordinates</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centroid distace</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellipse Fit error w/ SN</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellipse Fit error w/o SN</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to prove the robustness against noise, classification tests were performed by adding noise to the testing data of each class. Tables 5.5, 5.6 and 5.7 illustrate the results acquired from the testing. These results readily show that there is a massive drop in accuracy. This is expected since the testing data itself is being corrupted. However, as compared to FDs generated using complex co-ordinates and centroid distance, the classification accuracy of FDs generated using fit error of an ellipse is found to be relatively higher in two out of the three classes available. The accuracy is reduced by half whereas for the other two techniques it is reduced to much less than half the original accuracy when noise was not present in the testing data.

These results illustrate the improvement of the new technique over conventional
Table 5.5: Results of classification using Fourier Descriptors generated using three techniques for leaves of class01 (66 images of class 01 in a database of 186 images) when noise is added to the testing data (% correctly classified)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>k</th>
<th>Complex Coordinates</th>
<th>Centroid distance</th>
<th>Ellipse Fit Error (w/SN)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>37.8%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>40.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>53.0%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>37.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>53.0%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>42.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.6: Results of classification using Fourier Descriptors generated using complex co-ordinates system for leaves of class02 (61 images of class 02 in a database of 186 images) when noise is added to the testing data (% correctly classified)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>k</th>
<th>Complex Coordinates</th>
<th>Centroid distance</th>
<th>Ellipse Fit Error (w/SN)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
<td>58.9%</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>52.2%</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>52.2%</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

techniques in terms of accuracy and reliability. This encouraged the development of a real time recognition system using a simple web camera and an embedded development board, the BBxM. Codes mentioned in APPENDIX A.2 were modified to create a module for generating FDs using the error model of an elliptical fit to the boundary data of plane closed objects and saving these features extracted from the training image. The features were saved into a “yml” file format used by OpenCV using a FileStorage object. Another module was developed which trained a kNN classifier object available in OpenCV Machine Learning (libopencv_ml.so) library using the previously saved file “feature_data.yml”. After training the classifier, the module opened a stream from the attached web camera. The program was looped to query frames from the imaging device, segment the image, find the segmented object having the largest boundary and generate it’s FD using the designed technique. The FD of the acquired image is then directly input to the classifier for evaluation of the
Table 5.7: Results of classification using Fourier Descriptors generated using complex co-ordinates system for leaves of class 03 (59 images of class 03 in a database of 186 images) when noise is added to the testing data (% correctly classified)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>k</th>
<th>Complex Coordinates</th>
<th>Centroid distance</th>
<th>Ellipse Fit Error (w/SN)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
<td>41.3%</td>
<td>61.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>43.1%</td>
<td>34.6%</td>
<td>46.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
<td>32.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

label to be assigned. For the purpose of training only one image per class of object was used. The three images used for training are shown in Figures 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3. Some of the images captured during realtime operation of the system are shown in Figures 5.4, 5.5 and 5.6.

Figure 5.1: Offline training images, object class 01.

Figure 5.2: Offline training images, object class 02.
It should be noted that the system is susceptible to failure when the segmentation algorithm fails. As shown in Figure A.2, when Otsu’s segmentation fails to capture
the desired values of the pixels, a failure in classification occurs since the FDs do not represent the actual object and outline. A better segmentation algorithm would provide better results.

Figure 5.7: Real time capture and recognition, incorrect segmentation leading to incorrect classification.

For the real time system constructed using the BBxM as detailed in the previous chapter, the average time observed for all steps from image acquisition to inference when there was not much variation in the scene, i.e. segmentation locked on an object consistently, was 128910 microseconds. With large variations in scene the average time from image acquisition to inference was 209460 microseconds.
CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSION

The goals of this thesis were achieved which included the designing and investigation of a new technique for generating feature vectors which can be input to a classifier for purpose of recognition. This technique used the error model of an ellipse fit to the co-ordinates of the shape normalized data points. Experiments were performed to investigate the accuracy of recognition using FDs generated using the error model of an elliptical fit to the given data against old techniques i.e. FDs generated using the data as complex co-ordinates and centroid distance. A real time system was developed to perform recognition of objects using the designed algorithm using BBxM and the performance of the real time system was evaluated.

From the experiments performed on a database of leaves consisting of three classes of leaves, it was found that this new technique provided for consistently higher accuracy rates when used for classification as compared to older techniques that used complex co-ordinate and centroid distance systems to generate the FDs. It was deduced that shape normalization was an essential part of the technique since the second technique investigated, which did not use shape normalization failed to provide consistent recognition rates. The results also proved that the technique is invariant to translation, rotation and scaling of the objects of interest. Tests for robustness against noise also proved that FDs generated using the error model of the fit ellipse are much more accurate than FDs generated using centroid distance or complex co-ordinate systems.
These results encouraged the development of a real time system for detecting objects of interest using FDs generated using an error model of an ellipse fit to plane closed curves within images and using them for recognition on robotic vehicles. This work can be directly incorporated into robotic applications which involve detecting objects using an overhead camera. The work can also be used as it is in robotic arm systems which need a form of visual recognition that is invariant to the pose of the object of interest. The work can also be modified for other works such as aircraft and vehicle recognition from an aerial camera. The real time program module can be directly incorporated as a node into the Robot Operating System (ROS) framework which has gained popularity in recent years. This is essential a virtue of having the programs written using the OpenCV library which is also a part of the ROS framework.

Future work using this new technique for should look forward to fusion of texture based recognition alongside this technique which is based on global shape features. Another area of investigation would be to use the technique developed in this work for detection of partially occluded objects and extension towards three dimensional recognition. Another interesting application could look into the possibility of mapping using this technique, for e.g. train the classifier with multiple planar images of different locations(rooms) within a map(building) and perform real time testing to find the current location of the camera within the map.
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APPENDIX A: SOURCE CODE

The following list the code and project structures for image acquisition, training the classifier and testing the classifier. All code was written in C++.

A.1 Project Structures

The following two Figures illustrate the structure of the training module and classification module for testing the algorithm.

Figure A.1: Training Module Project Structure.
Figure A.2: Classification Module Project Structure.
A.2 Program codes

Source/cvPolyfit.hpp

/*
 * cvPolyfit.hpp
 *
 * Created on: Jun 6, 2011
 * Author: Onkar Raut
 */

#ifndef CVPOLYFIT_HPP_
#define CVPOLYFIT_HPP_

void cvPolyfit(cv::Mat &src_x, cv::Mat &src_y, cv::Mat &dst, int order);
#endif /* CVPOLYFIT_HPP_ */

Source/cvPolyfit.cpp

/*
 * cvPolyfit.hpp
 *
 * Created on: Jun 6, 2011
 * Author: Onkar Raut
 */

#include <opencv/cv.h>
#include <opencv/highgui.h>
#include "cvPolyfit.hpp"
using namespace cv;

void cvPolyfit(cv::Mat &src_x, cv::Mat &src_y, cv::Mat &dst, int order)
{
    CV_FUNCNAME("cvPolyfit");
    CV_BEGIN;

    CV_ASSERT((src_x.rows)>0)
            &(&(int)src_y.rows>0)
            &(&(int)src_x.cols==1)
            &(&(src_y.cols==1)
&& (dst.cols == 1)
&& (dst.rows == (order + 1))
&& ((int) order >= 1));

Mat X;
X = Mat::zeros(src_x.rows, order + 1, CV_32FC1);
Mat copy;
for (int i = 0; i <= order; i++)
{
    copy = src_x.clone();
    pow(copy, i, copy);
    Mat M1 = X.col(i);
    copy.col(0).copyTo(M1);
}
Mat X_t, X_inv;
transpose(X, X_t);
Mat temp = X_t*X;
Mat temp2;
invert(temp, temp2);
Mat temp3 = temp2*X_t;
Mat W = temp3*src_y;

#ifdef DEBUG
    cout << "PRINTING INPUT AND OUTPUT FOR VALIDATION AGAINST MATLAB RESULTS\n";
    cout << "SRC_X: " << src_x << endl;
    cout << "SRC_Y: " << src_y << endl;
    cout << "X: " << X << endl;
    cout << "X_T: " << X_t << endl;
    cout << "W: " << W << endl;
#endif

dst = W.clone();
}  
.CV_END.
Source/main_equal_interval.cpp

/*
 * main.cpp
 *
 * Created on: Jun 23, 2011
 * Author: Onkar Raut
 * Purpose: Performs segmentation on leaves for given images and creates
 * a feature vector consisting of Fourier Descriptors using one of
 * the techniques COMPLEX COORDINATES, CENTROID DISTANCE or FIT ERROR
 * of an ellipse.
 * Usage: <name_of_this_program> <path_to_image_database> <number_of_images>
 * <image_sequence_heading>
 */

#define RENDER
#define VERIFY_ANGLE

#ifndef USE_COMPLEX_COORDINATES
#define USE_COMPLEX_COORDINATES
#endif

#ifndef USE_CENTROID_DISTANCE
#define USE_CENTROID_DISTANCE
#endif

#ifndef USE_FIT_ERROR
#define USE_FIT_ERROR
#endif

#ifndef USE_COMPLEX_COORDINATES
#undef USE_CENTROID_DISTANCE
#undef USE_FIT_ERROR
#endif

#ifndef USE_CENTROID_DISTANCE
#undef USE_COMPLEX_COORDINATES
#undef USE_FIT_ERROR
#endif

#ifndef USE_FIT_ERROR
#undef USE_COMPLEX_COORDINATES
#undef USE_CENTROID_DISTANCE
#endif
#ifndef USE_COMMANDLINE
#define NUM_TRAINING_IMAGES (66)
#endif

#define PI (M_PI)
#define DFT_LENGTH (360)

#include <opencv/cv.h>
#include <opencv/highgui.h>
#include "cvPolyfit.hpp"
#include <iostream>
#include <math.h>

using namespace cv;
using namespace std;

FileStorage fs("test_data.yml", FileStorage::WRITE);

#ifdef USE_COMPLEX_COORDINATES
Mat feature_vector(DFT_LENGTH−1,NUM_TRAINING_IMAGES,CV_32FC1);
Mat final_feature_vector(NUM_TRAINING_IMAGES,DFT_LENGTH−1,CV_32FC1);
#endif

#ifdef USE_CENTROID_DISTANCE
Mat feature_vector(DFT_LENGTH−1,NUM_TRAINING_IMAGES,CV_32FC1);
Mat final_feature_vector(NUM_TRAINING_IMAGES,DFT_LENGTH−1,CV_32FC1);
#endif

#ifdef USE_FIT_ERROR
Mat feature_vector(DFT_LENGTH−1,NUM_TRAINING_IMAGES,CV_32FC1);
Mat final_feature_vector(NUM_TRAINING_IMAGES,DFT_LENGTH−1,CV_32FC1);
#endif
char filename[100] = {0};
int valid_feature_vecs[NUM_TRAINING_IMAGES];

int main(int argc, char **argv)
{
    #ifdef USE_COMMANDLINE
        int NUM_TRAINING_IMAGES = atoi(argv[2]);
    #endif
    // Do the following for all images mentioned in the series
    for (int j = 1; j <= NUM_TRAINING_IMAGES; j++)
    {
        #ifdef USE_COMMANDLINE
            printf(filename, "%s/%s%04d.jpg", argv[1], argv[3], j);
        #else
            printf(filename, "%s/image_%04d.jpg", argv[1], j);
        #endif
    }
    // Load Image
    IplImage* LoadedImg = cvLoadImage(filename);
    Mat ExR, ExG;
    CvMemStorage* storage = cvCreateMemStorage(0);
    CvSeq* contour = 0;
    // Create Matrix out of image
    Mat img(LoadedImg);
    Mat channel[img.channels()];
    split(img, channel);
    // Prepare image for segmentation
    ExR = 1.4*channel[2] - channel[1] - channel[0];
    Mat ExT = ExG - ExR;
    IplImage result = ExT;
    // Segment image
    IplImage* I_thresholded = cvCreateImage(cvGetSize(LoadedImg), IPL_DEPTH_8U, 1);
cvThreshold(&result, I_thresholded, 128, 255, CV_THRESH_BINARY | CV_THRESH_OTSU);

// Find Contours and store them in variable contour
// (also extract cartesian co-ordinates)
cvFindContours( I_thresholded, storage, &contour, sizeof(CvContour),
CV_RETR_EXTERNAL, CV_CHAIN_APPROX_NONE, cvPoint(0,0) );

int max_length = 0;
CvSeq* seq = contour;

// Find the contour with maximum length
for( ; seq != 0; seq = seq->next )
{
    if(seq->total>max_length)
        max_length = seq->total;
}

// Initialize boundary points
CvMat* BoundaryPoints_x = cvCreateMat(max_length, 1, CV_32FC1);
CvMat* BoundaryPoints_y = cvCreateMat(max_length, 1, CV_32FC1);
CvMat* BoundaryPoints_cart = cvCreateMat(max_length, 2, CV_32FC1);

// Initialize statistical variables
CvMat* mean_arr = cvCreateMat(max_length, 2, CV_32FC1);
CvMat* mean = cvCreateMat(1, 2, CV_32FC1);
CvMat* mean_x = cvCreateMat(1, 1, CV_32FC1);
CvMat* mean_y = cvCreateMat(1, 1, CV_32FC1);
CvMat* mean_x_arr = cvCreateMat(max_length, 1, CV_32FC1);
CvMat* mean_y_arr = cvCreateMat(max_length, 1, CV_32FC1);

// Copy the cartesian coordinates into the previously initialized variables
for( ; contour!=0; contour = contour->next )
{
    if(contour->total==max_length)
    {
        CvScalar color = CV_RGB( rand()%255, rand()%255, rand()%255 );
        cvDrawContours( LoadedImg, contour, color, color, -1, 3, 8, cvPoint(0,0) );
        printf("\nPrinting Contour with size: %d\n",contour->total);
        for( int i=0; i<contour->total; ++i )
            { 
                CvPoint* p = (CvPoint*)cvGetSeqElem( contour, i );
                cvmSet(BoundaryPoints_x, i, 0, p->x);
                cvmSet(BoundaryPoints_y, i, 0, p->y);
            } 
    } 
}
cvmSet(BoundaryPoints_cart, i, 0, p->x);
cvmSet(BoundaryPoints_cart, i, 1, p->y);
}
}
}

// Calculate mean (centroid) for the contour
cvReduce(BoundaryPoints_cart, mean, 0, CV_REDUCE_AVG);

cvReduce(BoundaryPoints_x, mean_x, 0, CV_REDUCE_AVG);
cvReduce(BoundaryPoints_y, mean_y, 0, CV_REDUCE_AVG);

cvRepeat(mean, mean_arr);
cvRepeat(mean_x, mean_x_arr);
cvRepeat(mean_y, mean_y_arr);

// Remove effect of centroid from the contour
cvSub(BoundaryPoints_cart, mean_arr, BoundaryPoints_cart);

cvSub(BoundaryPoints_x, mean_x_arr, BoundaryPoints_x);
cvSub(BoundaryPoints_y, mean_x_arr, BoundaryPoints_y);

// Prepare to send the co-ordinates for fitting an ellipse
Mat points = BoundaryPoints_cart;

#ifdef VERIFY_ANGLE

// Convert to Polar for sampling
Mat polar(max_length, 2, CV_32FC1);
Mat M1 = polar.col(0);
Mat M2 = polar.col(1);
cartToPolar(points.col(0), points.col(1), M1, M2);
#endif

Mat sampled_points(DFT_LENGTH, 2, CV_32FC1);
int counter = 0;

for (float angle = 0; angle <= 2*PI; angle = angle + PI/180)
{
    

for(int go_through = 0; go_through<polar.rows; go_through++)
{
    if(polar.at<float>(go_through,1)==angle
        &&polar.at<float>(go_through,1)<=angle+PI/90)
    {
        sampled_points.at<float>(counter,0) = polar.at<float>(go_through,0);
        sampled_points.at<float>(counter,1) = polar.at<float>(go_through,1);
        break;
    }
}

Mat sampled_points_cart(DFT_LENGTH,2,CV_32FC1);
M1 = sampled_points_cart.col(0);
M2 = sampled_points_cart.col(1);
//Convert back to cartesian to find DFT
polarToCart(sampled_points.col(0),sampled_points.col(1),M1,M2);

#ifdef USE_COMPLEX_COORDINATES
    sampled_points_cart = sampled_points_cart.reshape(2,sampled_points.rows);

    // Compute the DFT of the error vector
    Mat dft_vec, sampled_points_cart);

    //Calculate DFT of error vector
    dft(sampled_points_cart , dft_vec ,DFT_COMPLEX_OUTPUT);

    // Find Magnitude of DFT
    dft_vec = dft_vec.reshape(1,dft_vec.rows);

    Mat final_dft(dft_vec.rows,dft_vec.cols,CV_32FC1);
    M1 = final_dft.col(0);
    M2 = final_dft.col(1);
// Get Fourier Descriptor
cartToPolar(dft_vect.col(0), dft_vect.col(1), M1, M2);
M1 = M1 / M1.at<float>(0, 0);

// Save Fourier Descriptor as feature
Mat temp = feature_vector.col(j - 1);
M1.rowRange(1, M1.rows).copyTo(temp);
#endif

#ifdef USE_CENTROID_DISTANCE

Mat centroid_distance = sampled_points.col(0);

// Compute the DFT of the error vector
Mat dft_vect(centroid_distance);
// Calculate DFT of error vector
dft(centroid_distance, dft_vect, DFT_COMPLEX_OUTPUT);
// Find Magnitude of DFT
dft_vect = dft_vect.reshape(1, dft_vect.rows);
Mat final_dft(dft_vect.rows, dft_vect.cols, CV_32FC1);
M1 = final_dft.col(0);
M2 = final_dft.col(1);
// Get Fourier Descriptor
cartToPolar(dft_vect.col(0), dft_vect.col(1), M1, M2);
M1 = M1 / M1.at<float>(0, 0);
// Save Fourier Descriptor as feature
Mat temp = feature_vector.col(j - 1);
M1.rowRange(1, M1.rows).copyTo(temp);
#endif

#ifdef USEFIT_ERROR

sampled_points_cart = sampled_points_cart.reshape(2, sampled_points_cart.rows);

CvBox2D ellipse = fitEllipse(sampled_points_cart);

sampled_points_cart = sampled_points_cart.reshape(1, sampled_points_cart.rows);
M1 = sampled_points_cart.col(0);

```
M2 = sampled_points_cart.col(1);
Mat fit_error;

fit_error = (M1.mul(M1)/(double)ellipse.size.height*ellipse.size.height))
+ (M2.mul(M2)/(double)ellipse.size.height*ellipse.size.height)) - 1;
Mat dft_vect(fit_error);
dft(fit_error,dft_vect,DFT_COMPLEX_OUTPUT);
// Find Magnitude of DFT
dft_vect = dft_vect.reshape(1,dft_vect.rows);
Mat final_dft(dft_vect.rows,dft_vect.cols,CV_32FC1);

M1 = final_dft.col(0);
M2 = final_dft.col(1);
// Get Fourier Descriptor
cartToPolar(dft_vect.col(0),dft_vect.col(1),M1,M2);
M1 = M1 / M1.at<float>(0,0);
//Save Fourier Descriptor as feature
Mat temp = feature_vector.col(j-1);
M1.rowRange(1,M1.rows).copyTo(temp);
#endif

#endif RENDER
Mat I_threshold = I_thresholded;
imshow("Thresholded Image",I_threshold);
imshow("Contours", LoadedImg);
waitKey();
#endif

// Prepare and save feature vector
transpose(feature_vector,final_feature_vector);

#endif USE_CENTROID_DISTANCE
//Use only half of the feature vector to describe the object
final_feature_vector = final_feature_vector.colRange(0,DFT_LENGTH/2);
#endif
#ifdef USE_FIT_ERROR
    // Use only half of the feature vector to describe the object
    final_feature_vector = final_feature_vector.colRange(0, DFT_LENGTH/2);
#endif

fs<<"FIT_ERROR_FD"<<final_feature_vector;
#ifdef DEBUG
    cout<<final_feature_vector<<endl;
#endif
return 0;
}
Source/classifier.cpp

    /*
    * classifier.cpp
    *
    * Created on: Jun 22, 2011
    *
    * Author: Onkar Raut
    *
    * Purpose: This program reads in the file "feature_data.yml" and "test_data.yml"
    *          which contains the feature vectors for training the classifier and
    *          testing the performance of the chosen classifier respectively.
    *
    * Usage: <name_of_program> <name_of_training_file.yml>
    *        <name_of_testing_file.yml>
    */

    //#define DEBUG

#define USE_SVM
#define USE_KNN
#define USE_COMPLEX_COORD
    //#define USE_POLYFIT

#include <opencv/cv.h>
#include <opencv/ml.h>
#include <opencv/highgui.h>
#include <iostream>

using namespace cv;

FileStorage fs("feature_data.yml", FileStorage::READ);
FileStorage ts("test_data.yml", FileStorage::READ);

int main(int argc, char** argv)
{
    int successfully_classified = 0;
    Mat final_features; fs["FIT_ERROR_FD"] >> final_features;
    Mat test_features; ts["FIT_ERROR_FD"] >> test_features;
    #ifdef DEBUG
cout << final_features.rows << endl;
cout << test_features.rows << endl;
#endif

CvMat* trainClasses = cvCreateMat(final_features.rows, 1, CV_32FC1);

#ifdef USE_COMPLEX_COOR
    final_features = final_features.colRange(Range::all());
test_features = test_features.colRange(Range::all());
#endif

#ifdef USE_POLYFIT
    final_features = final_features.colRange(0, 3);
test_features = test_features.colRange(0, 3);
#endif

CvMat trainData = final_features;

CvMat trainClasses1, trainClasses2, trainClasses3;
cvGetRows(trainClasses, &trainClasses1, 0, final_features.rows / 3);
cvGetRows(trainClasses, &trainClasses2, final_features.rows / 3, 2 * final_features.rows / 3);
cvGetRows(trainClasses, &trainClasses3, 2 * final_features.rows / 3, final_features.rows);

cvSet(&trainClasses1, cvScalar(1));
cvSet(&trainClasses2, cvScalar(2));
cvSet(&trainClasses3, cvScalar(3));

#ifdef USE_KNN
    int K = 1;

    CvKNearest knn(&trainData, trainClasses, NULL, false, K);
    CvMat* nearests = cvCreateMat(1, K, CV_32FC1);
    float response;
    Mat result;
    for (int i = 0; i < test_features.rows; i++){
        CvMat testData = test_features.row(i);
        response = knn.find_nearest(&testData, K, NULL, NULL, nearests, 0);
result = nearests;
cout<<"RESPONSE: "<<response<<endl;
    if(response == 1){
        successfully_classified++;
    }
}
#endif

#ifdef USE_SVM
#endif

    cout<<(float) successfully_classified/test_features.rows*100 - 6.0606061<<endl;
#endif DEBUG
    cout<<"FINAL_FEATURES: "<<final_features<<endl;
    cout<<"TEST_FEATURES: "<<test_features<<endl;
    cout<<"RESPONSE: "<<response<<endl;
    cout<<"NEARESTS: "<<result<<endl;
#endif
}