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Abstract: This chapter describes a computational approach to designing a digital microfluidic system (DMFS) that can be rapidly reconfigured for new biochemical analyses. Such a “lab-on-a-chip” system for biochemical analysis, based on electrowetting or dielectrophoresis, must coordinate the motions of discrete droplets or biological cells using a planar array of electrodes. We earlier introduced our layout-based system and demonstrated its flexibility through simulation, including the system’s ability to perform multiple assays simultaneously. Since array layout design and droplet routing strategies are closely related in such a digital microfluidic system, our goal is to provide designers with algorithms that enable rapid simulation and control of these DMFS devices. In this chapter, we
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characterize the effects of variations in the basic array layout design, droplet routing control algorithms, and droplet spacing on system performance. We then consider DMFS arrays with hardware limited row-column addressing and develop a polynomial-time algorithm for coordinating droplet movement under such hardware limitations. To demonstrate the capabilities of our system, we describe example scenarios, including dilution control and minimalist layouts, in which our system can be successfully applied.
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1. **INTRODUCTION**

Miniature biochemical analysis systems that use microfluidics technology have the potential to function as complete “lab-on-a-chip” systems. These systems offer a number of advantages, including reduced reagent requirements, size reduction, power reduction, increased throughput, and increased reliability. An important goal is to create reconfigurable and reprogrammable systems capable of handling a variety of biochemical analysis tasks.

A promising new class of lab-on-a-chip systems are *digital microfluidic systems* (DMFS) that use phenomena such as electrowetting [31, 29, 8] and dielectrophoresis [22, 26]. Electrowetting-based microfluidic systems manipulate discrete droplets by modulating the interfacial tension of the droplets with a voltage [29]. Droplets have been moved at 12–25 cm/sec on planar arrays of 0.15 cm wide electrodes [14, 8]. Dielectrophoresis-based systems apply a spatially nonuniform electric field to actuate neutral charge particles [22, 26]. Arrays with 20 \( \mu \)m wide electrodes that manipulate biological cells have been demonstrated [16]. The ability to control individual droplets or biological cells on a planar array enables complex analysis operations to be performed in biochemical lab-on-a-chip systems (Figure 13-1). For example, they can be used to perform DNA polymerase chain reactions for DNA sequence analysis, to perform glucose assays, or to fuse biological cells with drug molecules. These systems have the potential to rapidly process hundreds or even thousands of...
samples on a single biochip. A key challenge in using digital microfluidic systems is developing computationally tractable algorithms to automate the simultaneous coordination of operations on a potentially large number of droplets or biological cells.

Our focus is the development of algorithms to automatically coordinate the transport and reaction operations on droplets or biological cells in a DMFS. We describe our approach in the context of droplet-based systems that use electrowetting; the same approach and algorithms may also be applied to dielectrophoresis-based systems that manipulate biological cells. The broad problem we are interested in is: Given a chemical analysis graph describing the sequence in which chemicals should mix, coordinate the droplet operations on the DMFS array for a set of droplets so as to permit mixing with prescribed mix times while avoiding undesired contact between droplets. Our approach to countering the complexity of this problem is to impose a virtual layout on the DMFS array and coordinate droplet operations by dynamically routing droplets to components in the layout. The layout permits us to abstract away from the underlying array hardware and provides additional structure that simplifies droplet coordination. We previously described this approach to creating a general-purpose DMFS (Griffith and Akella [18, 19]), which combines a semi-automated approach to array layout design using modular virtual components with algorithms for components to dynamically route the droplets. The resulting system has been simulated in software to perform analyses such as DNA polymerase chain reaction. The algorithms have been able to coordinate hundreds of droplets simultaneously and perform one or more chemical analyses in parallel.

In this chapter, we explore variations on the basic DMFS layout design and routing control for increased versatility and performance, and describe example scenarios in which our system can be applied. Since array layout design and droplet routing strategies are closely related in a reconfigurable DMFS, our goal is to provide designers with simulation tools for both rapid evaluation and real-time control of these DMFS devices. After summarizing our previous work in Section 3 to provide the background, we describe the effects on system performance of variations in design and control including different layout schemes, routing algorithms, and increased spacing between droplets in Section 4. We then develop a new approach to droplet coordination with limited row-column addressing in Section 5. We use a polynomial-time graph coloring algorithm to coordinate droplet movements under such hardware limitations. Finally, in Section 6, we outline two application scenarios involving droplet dilution control and minimal layouts to demonstrate the capabilities of our system.
2. RELATED WORK

Digital Microfluidic Systems: Digital microfluidic systems are a novel and emerging class of lab-on-a-chip systems. Most work in this area has focused on developing hardware to demonstrate the feasibility of this new technology. Pollack, Fair, and Shenderov [31] demonstrated rapid manipulation of discrete microdroplets by electrowetting-based actuation. Fair et al. [14] describe experiments on injection, dispensing, dilution, and mixing of samples in an electrowetting DMFS. Cho, Moon, and Kim [8] demonstrated creating, merging, splitting, and move operations using electrodes covered with dielectrics, and identified conditions under which these operations can be performed in an air environment. Fan, Hashi, and Kim [15] developed an orthogonal cross-reference grid of single layer electrodes to manipulate droplets with limited row-column addressing. Gong, Fan, and Kim [17] developed a portable digital microfluidics lab-on-chip platform using electrowetting. They use a time-multiplexed control scheme to control droplets with limited row-column addressing, where the number of steps is proportional to the number of array rows. Paik, Pamula, and Fair [29] studied the effects of droplet aspect ratios and mixing strategies on the rate of droplet mixing. Dielectrophoresis is another mechanism to actuate neutral charge particles and cells by applying a spatially nonuniform electric field [22, 26]. Jones et al. [22] demonstrated dielectrophoresis based liquid actuation and nanodroplet formation. Arrays with 20 \( \mu \text{m} \) wide electrodes that manipulate biological cells have been demonstrated [16].

More recently, work on DMFS has focused on applications. Srinivasan et al. [39] demonstrate the use of a DMFS as a biosensor for glucose, lactate, glutamate and pyruvate assays, and use it for clinical diagnostics on blood, plasma, serum, urine, saliva, sweat, and tears [40]. Pollack et al. [32] have demonstrated the use of electrowetting-based microfluidics for real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) applications. Wheeler et al. [46] demonstrate an electrowetting-based DMFS for analysis of proteins by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry, for high-throughput proteomics applications.

Coordination of droplet operations and architectural design for DMFS, the topics most closely related to the current chapter, have been far less studied. In early work, Ding, Chakrabarty, and Fair [11] described an architectural design and optimization methodology for scheduling biochemical reactions using electrowetting arrays. They identified a basic set of droplet operations and used an integer programming formulation to minimize completion time. Droplet paths and areas on the array for storage, mixing and splitting operations are predefined by the user. Zhang, Chakrabarty, and Fair [47] describe hierarchical techniques for the modeling, design, performance evaluation, and optimization of microfluidic systems. They compared the performance of a continuous flow
system and a droplet-based system and showed that the droplet-based system has a less complex design that provides higher throughput and processing capacity. Su and Chakrabarty [41] recently proposed architectural-level synthesis techniques for digital microfluidics-based biochips, and describe an integer programming formulation and heuristic techniques to schedule assay operations under resource constraints, prior to geometry-level synthesis. Our work is motivated by the above body of work, as well as the work of Böhringer [4, 5], who viewed each droplet in a DMFS as a simple robot that translates on an array and outlined an approach for moving droplets from start to goal locations, subject to droplet separation constraints, obstacles, and control circuitry limitations. He uses an A* search algorithm to generate optimal plans for droplets. To overcome the exponential complexity of this approach, he plans the droplet motions in prioritized order. However a DMFS must have additional capabilities, such as the ability to combine and split droplets as needed, sometimes with different mixing durations.

**Multiple Robot Coordination:** The coordination of droplets in a DMFS is closely related to multiple robot motion coordination, as pointed out above. Hopcroft, Schwartz, and Sharir [21] showed that even a simplified two-dimensional case of motion planning for multiple translating robots is PSPACE-hard. Erdmann and Lozano-Perez [13] developed a heuristic approach for planning the motions of multiple robots that orders robots by assigned priority and sequentially searches for collision-free paths; this approach was used by Böhringer [5]. Owing to the computational complexity of the multiple robot motion planning problem, recent efforts have focused on probabilistic approaches (Švestka and Overmars [44], Sanchez and Latombe [35]).

When the paths of the robots are specified, as in Ding, Chakrabarty, and Fair [11]’s DMFS model, a path coordination problem arises. Path coordination was first studied by O’Donnell and Lozano-Perez [28] for two robots. LaValle and Hutchinson addressed a similar problem in [24] where each robot was constrained to a C-space roadmap during its motion. Simeon, Leroy, and Laumond [37] coordinate over 100 car-like robots, where robots with intersecting paths are partitioned into smaller sets. Akella and Hutchinson [1] developed a mixed integer linear programming (MILP) formulation for the trajectory coordination of 20 robots by changing robot start times. Peng and Akella [30] developed an MILP formulation to coordinate many robots with simple double integrator dynamics along specified paths. Conflict resolution among multiple aircraft in a shared airspace (Tomlin, Pappas, and Sastry [43], Bicchi and Pallottino [3], Schouwenaars et al. [36]) is also closely related to multiple robot coordination.

**Flexible Manufacturing Systems:** Our approach to droplet coordination in a DMFS shares similarities with flexible manufacturing systems, where product assembly is like droplet mixing. One example is a reconfigurable, automated
precision assembly system that uses cooperating, modular, robots [34]. Such systems have been modeled and analyzed using several techniques including Petri nets [10]. Of particular interest to flexible manufacturing systems is the issue of deadlock avoidance, which has been analyzed for certain classes of systems (Reveliotis, Lawley, and Ferreira [33], Lawley [25]).

**Networking:** We can view our DMFS as a network. This system differs from typical networking systems in nontrivial ways, including the fact that droplets cannot be dropped and that the system has multiple classes of nodes and operations. However, techniques for network flow and rate control [42, 2] may be modified for a DMFS. Related research in networking includes work on hot-potato or deflection routing (Choudhury and Li [9], Busch, Herlihy, and Wattenhofer [7]) for different classes of networks, and work on rate control to ensure stability (Kelly, Maulloo, and Tan [23]).

### 3. SYSTEM OVERVIEW

In this section, we provide an overview of our system, previously described in [18, 19]. We create a general-purpose reconfigurable DMFS by first generating a virtual layout that logically partitions the array into virtual components that perform different functions, and then applying specialized algorithms for routing droplets to appropriate components. The layout is created by combining one or more modular tiles that each contain the same pattern of virtual components. Each virtual component is a logical grouping of cells that can perform one or more functions. A cell corresponds to an electrode of the array, and may have additional capabilities, such as the ability to optically sense droplets. We initially assume individual cells of the array are addressable by direct activation of individual electrodes. A droplet moves to a neighboring cell (electrode) when that electrode is activated; the electrode is turned off when the droplet completes its motion. We assume each droplet has a unit volume, except during mixing. Each mix operation is followed by a split operation, which is performed by simultaneously activating the two electrodes on either side of the droplet. Droplets are dynamically allocated to virtual components based on the operation (such as mixing or transport) to be performed on them. We adapt network routing algorithms to route the droplets to destination components in the layout. When the routing algorithms, provided with knowledge of the electrode addressing mechanism, are used as the software controller for a DMFS, the droplet motions can be downloaded to a microcontroller at each clock cycle. The microcontroller will activate the requested set of electrodes to enable droplet motion.

Our approach of imposing a layout on a digital microfluidic array to suit given chemical reactions is similar to programming a reconfigurable field programmable gate array (FPGA) [27]. However, unlike an FPGA, whose elements have
distinct functions such as logic or routing, the interchangeable functionality of the DMFS cells permits instantaneous reconfigurations of the layout through just software changes. For example, a cell with a droplet transport function in one layout may be used for droplet mixing or sensing in another layout.

This DMFS is reconfigurable in several ways. In the simplest sense, it can be reconfigured to run a variety of analyses that require moving, mixing, and splitting of different types of droplets just by changing the types of the input droplets and their associated mixing operations. One or more of these reactions can also be run in parallel. This reconfigurability potentially requires no actual change of the layout, but just changes to inputs to the software. Second, the actual layout design itself can be modified by altering the number of tiles and their arrangement, the number of components in a tile and their arrangement, and the locations of the sources and the sinks. We can even partition a large array into multiple DMFS layouts. This type of reconfigurability offers control over the system performance, and supports a wider variety of biochemical analyses. Third, the system offers reconfigurability by the ability to introduce new component types such as droplet storage components or if supported by the array hardware, optical sensor components. This offers flexibility for tailoring to specific analysis needs and for future expansion. Finally, the system can easily incorporate changes to the droplet routing and scheduling algorithms to optimize performance.

3.1 Array Layout Design using Components

We partition the array into a set of “virtual” components, where each type of component performs a specific set of operations. This partitioning is enabled by the versatility of the array electrodes, which can perform droplet movement, merging, mixing, and splitting operations practically anywhere on the array. Each component controls droplets within its cells, and, by linking a sufficient set of components together, a DMFS can be created to perform one or more biochemical analyses. Figure 13-2 illustrates an example system comprised of six component types. These six virtual components (Figure 13-3) perform droplet transportation (street, connector, and intersection components) or droplet mixing, input, and output operations (work area, source, and sink components).

The Street Component: The street component is the general-purpose droplet transportation component. Streets are one-way to prevent two droplets from moving in opposite directions through the component.

The Connector Component: The connector component is a specialized version of a street component where a droplet only moves through a single cell. A droplet in a connector is adjacent to two components simultaneously.

The Intersection Component: The intersection components route droplets through the system, using the algorithms described in Section 3.2.
Figure 13-2. Array layout for the PCR analysis described in Section 3.3. Each cell of the array is represented by a square; arrowheads indicate valid droplet motion directions. On the left side of the array are (a) eight sources, which supply the input sample droplets to the system. There are (b) four work areas on the array, in which droplets are (c) mixed together and (d) split apart. In the lower right corner of the array is a (e) sink, which moves the droplets of the final products off the array.

Figure 13-3. The components. (a) A street. (b) A connector component. (c) An intersection. (d) A source connected to an intersection. (e) A sink connected to an intersection. (f) An active work area, showing several mixing units with droplets (depicted as small squares).
The Work Area Component: The work area component is where mixing and splitting take place. Each work area has a transit area and multiple mixing units. Each mixing unit may function as a mixer and/or as a splitter. A work area can mix and split multiple droplets at the same time.

The Source Component: The source component represents an input point for droplets into the array.

The Sink Component: The sink component represents an output point for droplets from the array.

The layout is designed to have sufficient capacity to both transport droplets between components and to process droplets. We do this by first grouping one-way streets and intersections into two-way streets and rotaries (Figure 13-4). Then we couple this with a work area to form a pattern, shown in Figure 13-5, which can be tiled periodically to create the layout. The layout is completed with an alternating sequence of rotaries and streets along its upper and right edges. To generate the layout, the user must know the physical size of the array and specify the locations of sources and sinks. Our design can be expanded to accommodate new types of components for specific or general operations.

3.2 Droplet Destination Selection and Routing Algorithms

The core algorithms in our approach deal with deciding where to send droplets, and how to get them there. With these droplet destination selection and routing algorithms, we transform a set of interconnected components into a functional DMFS. The intersection components execute these algorithms to route droplets through the system.

Assigning a destination to a droplet depends on the droplet type and the available components. The droplet type determines whether it is to mix with another type of droplet in a work area or leave the array from a sink. An available work area is either one that has already had one of the two droplets for a mixing operation assigned and is requesting the other type, or one with free mixing units that can accept any type of droplet. Each available work area and sink adds itself to a (global) ordered list of components accepting droplets for operations. There is also a (global) ordered list of higher priority containing requests from work areas for specific droplet types required to complete a mix and split operation. Intersections assign work areas and sinks on a rotating basis, except when the second droplet in a mixing operation is being requested.

When a new droplet enters the system, or is created through a mixing operation, the droplet type determines the operation it is assigned. When the droplet enters an intersection, the intersection tries to find a destination component to send the droplet to by first checking the high priority list and then, if necessary, the low priority list. If any component is actively requesting that droplet type for its operation, the droplet is assigned to that component. Failing that,
A droplet is assigned to the first component that can accept droplets of its type. If no components are available to assign the droplet to, then the next intersection the droplet enters attempts to assign it a destination.

The droplet routing method we use can be viewed as a deflection routing variant [6] of the Open Shortest Path First (OSPF) network protocol [42]. When the system is initialized, each intersection uses Dijkstra’s algorithm to compute a routing table, which maps the shortest legal path between the intersection and each component to a corresponding exit from which to leave the intersection.

At each clock cycle, the intersections are processed in a fixed order to select their droplet routing moves, as described in Section 3.3. Subsequently, synchronous motion of droplets is executed. If a droplet entering the intersection has no destination, then the intersection attempts to assign it one. If that fails, then the droplet is sent to a random, valid exit. For droplets with destinations, the intersection finds the destination component in its routing table and selects the exit that corresponds to the shortest path to the destination. If the droplet is able to move toward that exit, it does so. Otherwise, the intersection randomly chooses a valid exit for the droplet. If no viable exit is available, then the droplet waits.

3.3 A General-Purpose Digital Microfluidic System

We create a general-purpose DMFS by combining the component based layout design approach and droplet destination selection and routing algorithms. The basic layout is designed to handle a variety of analyses. Furthermore, the DMFS can be reconfigured by altering the number of mixing units in the work areas, the overall size of the layout, the locations of the sources and sinks, and the types of analyses it is to perform. The layout approach presented here can be extended to produce new layouts, and to incorporate new types of components into the system. To fully define the system, the user must specify additional parameters based on the chemical analyses to be performed, including the type of droplets introduced at each source, when and how often they are produced, the types of droplets to send to the sinks, and information about the various intermediate operations to perform on the droplets. A complete example 2×2 layout with eight sources and one sink can be seen in Figure 13-2.
DMFS Control. The above approach to DMFS organization yields a collection of communicating components organized into a network. Components may move droplets at will within themselves, but before moving droplets into cells bordering a neighboring component or into a neighboring component, they must consult the neighbor to ensure this would not result in two droplets being adjacent. Therefore, the system first processes the components serially at each clock cycle and then executes motion in parallel. The system does this by maintaining an ordered master list of components. At each clock cycle, each component in the list is instructed to attempt to move its droplets. When a particular component wishes to move a droplet into an array cell adjacent to or into a neighbor component, it first asks that component if the move will result in two droplets being adjacent. If it will, then it requests the neighbor component to attempt to move its droplets, and then it asks again if the move will result in two droplets being adjacent. If the move would still result in adjacent droplets, then it waits to move those droplets that would result in violations. A separate master list is kept containing the current location of all droplets and their desired location in the next clock cycle. As each component is processed, it updates the list of droplets to reflect the current and desired locations of each droplet within it. The set of consistent droplet movements can then be collected so motion can be performed in parallel.

System Stability. The behavior of a general-purpose system changes with the chemical analysis it performs. We define a DMFS to be stable if it does not get deadlocked after 10 million clock cycles of operation. We define a DMFS to be in deadlock if no droplet in the system is able to move. A system operating continuously may or may not be stable depending on its parameters, especially the input flow rate of droplets. In an unstable system, droplets enter the system faster than the system is able to process them, and a steady-state flow cannot be guaranteed [20]. In time, such a system will become heavily congested and finally become deadlocked. We identify stable systems by simulating them and checking at each clock cycle whether they are in a state where no droplet may move.
Figure 13-6. PCR analysis graph. Input nodes are labeled with the samples they introduce and the rate at which they introduce them, in droplets per cycle. Edges out of mix nodes are labeled with the droplet rate resulting from the operation.

Figure 13-7. Simulation data for the PCR analysis illustrating (a) variation of droplet output rate with input rate in the stable range, and (b) number of cycles at which the system goes into deadlock, as input rate is increased in the unstable range. For this example, mixing time is 128 cycles, the number of mixing units per work area is 8, and the tiles are in a 2×2 pattern.
System Simulation. We have simulated several analyses, including one based on the DNA polymerase chain reaction (PCR) operations outlined in [11]. The analysis involves eight input droplet types and seven mixing operations. See Figure 13-6 for an analysis graph of the system. (Note that the PCR analysis requires heating steps. We assume that droplets may be routed off-chip for heating.) Immediately following each mixing operation, the resulting droplet is split into two droplets. The layout is set up with four work areas, eight sources, each introducing an input droplet type, and one sink to collect the final product (Figure 13-2). This layout with a 2×2 tile arrangement has 53 × 41 cells. The system has an average of 66 droplets on the array. Our simulation environment is the stand-alone C++ software that we have created for this application; this software may also be used in a controller for a DMFS chip. The routing computations for this array are performed at a rate of about 60,000–70,000 cycles a second on a 1.7 GHz Pentium-M laptop with 512 MB of RAM. This enables rapid simulation of the system to verify stability. For example, at this speed, we can simulate 1,000,000 cycles in approximately 15–20 seconds. Animations of the PCR analysis, as well as multiple analyses running in parallel, are available at www.cs.rpi.edu/~sakella/microfluidics/.

The simulation approach has provided insight into the behavior of the system. When the system is in its stable operating range, there is a linear relation between the input droplet rate and output droplet rate, since no droplets are accumulating on the array (Figure 13-7(a)). Once a critical input rate is exceeded, there is a rapid dropoff in the number of clock cycles at which deadlock occurs (Figure 13-7(b)). Here the “input rate” is the rate at which each of the four chemicals on the left of Figure 13-6 is introduced. The subsequent input chemicals are introduced at correspondingly higher multiples of the input rate. We have observed sharp variations in behavior when simulating systems that are on the borderline between stability and instability. Small changes in the input rate at which droplets enter the system can mean the difference between becoming deadlocked in 5,000 cycles, becoming deadlocked in 2,000,000 cycles, or running continuously for 10,000,000 cycles without deadlock.

4. VARIATIONS ON THE EXISTING SYSTEM

We now briefly describe our efforts to optimize the system performance. We experimented with a variety of modifications to the original system to gauge their effects on the stability of the system, and to determine which modifications allowed the system to be stable at the highest input rates.

4.1 Variations on the Layout Tile

We first experimented with altering the modular tile pattern used to create the layout (Figure 13-5). Our goal was to increase the percentage of space on
the tile devoted to droplet mix and split operations. We created two alternative layouts, shown in Figure 13-8. The first tile removes the connector components between streets, and the second tile has only one horizontal and vertical street, rather than oppositely directed pairs of each.

These alternative tiles were not effective, however. In the tile without the connectors between the streets, rotaries become deadlocked whenever the situation in Figure 13-9 arises. Once one set of intersections has become deadlocked, the system usually ceases being able to operate soon after due to the resulting droplet traffic backup. The layout with only one way streets suffers from a diminished capacity for droplet traffic, which is exacerbated by droplets often needing to travel a greater distance to reach their destinations. The three layout designs are compared in Table 13-1.

Table 13-1. Comparison of the stability of three tile layout patterns with a 2×2 tile arrangement, for the PCR analysis. Input rate is measured in droplets per clock cycle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tile Layout</th>
<th>Mixing Units per Work Area</th>
<th>Highest Stable Rate (Approx.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Default</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Connectors</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Way</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Default</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Connectors</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Way</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Default</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Connectors</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.0040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Way</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.0060</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 Variations in Routing Control

We also experimented with three changes to component behavior. The first change was to modify the droplet destination selection and routing algorithm to assign droplets to the closest available component instead of the original method of assigning them to components on a rotating basis. The second change was to
When droplets are in this particular configuration, they cannot move again. Attempting to advance any droplet would require activating the adjacent electrode, which is also diagonally adjacent to another droplet. This activation could result in unexpected droplet movement or mixing, and therefore is disallowed.

have half of the work areas on the array be right-to-left (i.e., droplets enter from the right and exit from the left side of the work area) instead of all work areas being left-to-right. The third change was varying the order in which components attempt to move their droplets. In the original implementation, the components were assigned an initial order, and they attempted to move their droplets in that order at each cycle. The order is generally sources and work areas first and then the remaining components; the order could vary a little at each cycle based on droplet movement dependencies. We instead compute a random permutation of the components at each clock cycle, and then the components try to move their droplets in that order, subject to droplet movement dependency variations.

The effects of these variations are depicted in Figure 13-10. The best performance is obtained by using the new routing algorithm with the original work areas and fixed component order. In general, all combinations with the new routing algorithm performed better than their counterparts with the old routing
Table 13-2. Comparison of the maximum increase in stable rate due to different variations in routing control, for different values of mixing units per work area. Data is for a 2×2 tile layout simulation of the PCR analysis. For lower number of mixing units per work area, the maximum increase is achieved with new work areas and new routing, while for higher number of mixing units per work area, it is achieved with new routing and the original work areas and component order. Rate is measured in droplets per clock cycle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mixing Units per Work Area</th>
<th>Maximum % Increase in Stable Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>4.762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>4.688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

algorithm. The opposite is true with the mixture of left-to-right work areas with right-to-left work areas versus just left-to-right work areas. Similarly, the new component order offers slightly inferior performance to the original component ordering. The other interesting characteristic is that the effects of the various changes are negligible with small arrays that can only operate at lower input rates, but, as the size of the array and thus its capacity for processing droplets increases, the effects of the changes become more pronounced (Table 13-2).

4.3 Increased Droplet Spacing

We earlier assumed that multiple droplets moving in a line could be moved in synchrony in the same direction with only a single empty array cell between droplets. However, this assumption requires a high degree of synchronization of electrode activation, and may make this type of movement hard to implement or even infeasible. We now assume that in addition to the requirement that droplets must have at least one empty array cell on all sides except when mixing is about to occur, that any droplets moving in the same direction simultaneously must have at least two empty cells between them to avoid undesired mixing or splitting (Figure 13-11). There should be at least three empty cells between droplets when there is a 90 degree bend in the path. This change has not significantly affected the performance of the system because it is rare, under
stable conditions, for droplets to be moving in the same direction with only one empty array cell between them.

\[ \text{Figure 13-11. The minimum number of empty cells between two occupied cells to ensure that the droplets cannot combine or split inadvertently depends on the path shape. (a) When the two cells are on a straight line. (b) When the two cells are around a bend in the path.} \]

4.4 Additional Enhancements

Although we have implicitly described all mixing operations as taking the same amount of time, the system accommodates mixing operations with differing durations based on the droplet types. There are other enhancements to the system that can be easily incorporated. We can add virtual storage components to the layout by treating one or more of the mixing units in a work area as storage units. Similarly, if some or all of the array cells have optical sensing capabilities, we can create sensing components for the layout, located in the work areas, for example, or even in the streets or intersections. These sensors can permit monitoring of reaction results based on droplet color.

5. LIMITED ROW-COLUMN ADDRESSING

We have so far assumed that every electrode on the 2D array can be individually addressed, so an arbitrary set of cells can be activated at each cycle. In a limited row-column addressing scheme, individual cells are not directly addressable. Only entire rows and columns can be activated and only electrodes at intersections of activated rows and columns will be turned on [15, 5, 17]. For example, Fan, Hashi, and Kim [15] developed a cross-referencing scheme by arranging two vertically separated electrode layers orthogonal to each other. While this simplifies the hardware and reduces fabrication and packaging costs, it provides less flexibility in moving several droplets in synchrony and complicates droplet control. The interference graph (Figure 13-12) represents potential conflicts between droplet movements. Here two vertices connected by an edge represent droplets that cannot be moved in the same clock cycle.

5.1 Modified Schemes for Limited Row-Column Addressing

The central issue with limited row-column addressing is how to serialize the previously synchronous motion of the droplets at each clock cycle. In direct
addressing mode, the movements for all droplets are calculated at each clock cycle, and they are then executed in parallel. For clarity, we will refer to one clock cycle in direct addressing mode as a virtual clock cycle. For row-column addressing, the droplet movements are computed at the beginning of each virtual clock cycle and then the droplet movements are executed over one or more real clock cycles.

We have developed two schemes to perform limited row-column addressing for the DMFS. The first is a simple row-column addressing scheme where only one cell is addressed each cycle, by simultaneously activating both its row and column. Hence only one droplet is moved each real clock cycle. Moving any droplet by a planned move will not result in it being inadvertently adjacent to any other droplet either before or after the droplet’s movement. This is because the planning of the droplet movements (Section 3.3) ensures that no motions are allowed for droplets that would move adjacent to either the starting or ending location of a droplet in a particular virtual cycle.
We next describe a more complex row-column addressing scheme where multiple cells may be addressed by simultaneously activating their rows and columns. In this scheme, multiple droplets may be moved at each clock cycle such that their activation does not cause other droplets to move inadvertently, and they do not inadvertently move next to another droplet. See Figure 13-12 for an example scenario.

5.2 A Graph Coloring Approach

We have developed a graph coloring approach to limited row-column addressing, to reduce the number of real clock cycles per virtual clock cycle by performing multiple droplet motions simultaneously. The results below are quite general and in fact apply to any array layout with a planar grid of electrodes. Scheduling an interference-free movement of the droplets may be modeled as a vertex coloring problem. It is known that the general vertex coloring problem is NP-complete (see [38]); furthermore it is NP-complete even on the class of 3-colorable graphs. The fastest algorithms for 3-colorable graphs are exponential [12]. We introduce a heuristic, greedy, polynomial-time algorithm for coloring the interference graph (or equivalently, the transition graph introduced below). Note that this algorithm is not guaranteed to produce an optimal coloring.

To address the problem of scheduling the movements of the droplets, we define a transition graph $T(V,E)$. The input to such a graph consists of a set $L$ of the current locations of the droplets and the set $M$ of the droplets’ movements that are to be performed in the current virtual clock cycle. Every movement is an ordered pair of coordinates $[(x_s, y_s); (x_d, y_d)]$, where the first term, $(x_s, y_s)$ is the current (start) location of the droplet, and the second one, $(x_d, y_d)$, is the next destination. Since all movements are either horizontal or vertical movements in the grid, the pair describing a movement satisfies the following condition:

$$|x_s - x_d| = 1 \text{ and } y_s = y_d,$$

for a horizontal movement,

$$|y_s - y_d| = 1 \text{ and } x_s = x_d,$$

for a vertical movement.

In Figure 13-13 below, we present an example set of movements, including $[(2, 4); (3, 4)]$, a horizontal movement, and $[(7, 6), (7, 5)]$, a vertical movement.

The vertex set $V(T)$ of the transition graph $T$ is the set of all movements that must be performed during a virtual clock cycle. The set $E(T)$ of edges of $T$ consists of all pairs $(u, v)$, $u, v \in V(T)$, such that the corresponding movements cannot be performed in the same real clock cycle of a given virtual clock cycle.

For an arbitrary graph $G$, a (legal) vertex coloring of the vertex set $V(G)$ is an assignment $F : V(G) \rightarrow C$, where $C$ is a finite set called a color set, such that no two adjacent vertices are colored the same color. Usually, $C$ is a set of non-negative integers $\{0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$. The chromatic number $\chi(G)$ is the smallest number of colors needed to legally color the vertices of $G$. In the context of the
transition graph $T$, the set of vertices with the same color correspond to a set of movements that can be performed simultaneously. Thus, the chromatic number $\chi(T)$ is the smallest number of real clock cycles in which all movements of the current virtual clock cycle can be performed.

Let $m_1 = [(x_1^s, y_1^s); (x_1^d, y_1^d)]$ and $m_2 = [(x_2^s, y_2^s); (x_2^d, y_2^d)]$ be two vertices of $T$. Then $m_1$ and $m_2$ are adjacent, $(m_1, m_2) \in E(T)$, iff there exists some vertex $v = [(x_v^s, y_v^s); (x_v^d, y_v^d)]$, where $(x_v^s, y_v^s)$ may be the same as $(x_v^d, y_v^d)$ and $v$ may be $m_1$ or $m_2$, such that one of the following holds:

1. $|x_1^d - x_v^d| \leq 1$ and $|y_1^d - y_v^d| \leq 1$ and $(x_1^d, y_1^d)$ is not $(x_v^d, y_v^d)$
2. $|x_2^d - x_v^d| \leq 1$ and $|y_1^d - y_v^d| \leq 1$ and $(x_1^d, y_1^d)$ is not $(x_v^d, y_v^d)$
3. $|x_1^d - x_v^d| \leq 1$ and $|y_2^d - y_v^d| \leq 1$ and $(x_1^d, y_1^d)$ is not $(x_v^d, y_v^d)$
4. $|x_2^d - x_v^d| \leq 1$ and $|y_2^d - y_v^d| \leq 1$ and $(x_1^d, y_1^d)$ is not $(x_v^d, y_v^d)$

Briefly, when two droplets move simultaneously, 4 electrodes are activated (unless both droplets have the same row or column as their destination). Two of these electrodes perform the desired droplet movements, but the other two can cause unwanted droplet movement. These conditions check if that is the case. See Figure 13-14.

5.3 Coloring Algorithm

We now describe an algorithm, Algorithm 1, that can be used for coloring the transition graph $T$. We use a heuristic, greedy approach for this.
Figure 13-14. A small grid of control wires with two droplets to be moved. Droplet A must move to the right and droplet B must move to the left. Actuating them simultaneously will also activate the electrodes marked with gray squares. If these electrodes cause undesired droplet movement, then droplets A and B interfere with each other.

Algorithm 1 Color

Input: \( T \) // The input graph
Output: \( F \) // The output coloring assignment
\( c = 0 \) // Color index

while \( V(T) \neq \emptyset \) do
  \( M \leftarrow V(T) \)
  while \( M \neq \emptyset \) do
    pick random vertex \( v \in M \)
    for all \( u = \text{neighbor}(v) \) do
      \( M = M \setminus u \)
    end for
    \( M = M \setminus v \)
    \( V(T) = V(T) \setminus v \)
    \( F(v) = c \)
  end while
  \( c = c + 1 \)
end while
return

The above procedure takes \( O(|V|^3) \) time in the worst case, where \( |V| \) is the number of vertices in \( T \).

See Table 13-3 for a summary of the number of cycles taken by each addressing scheme. The number of real cycles for the simple scheme depends on the number of droplets on the array, while the number of real cycles for the graph-coloring scheme depends on the connectivity of the transition graph.
The stability behavior of the system remains the same under these addressing schemes.

Table 13-3. Comparison of the efficiency of three addressing schemes for a 2×2 tile layout simulation of the PCR analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Addressing Scheme</th>
<th>Virtual Cycles Completed</th>
<th>Real Clock Cycles Taken</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct Addressing</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple Row-Column</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>39,579,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coloring-based Row-Column</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>10,035,243</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. SYSTEM APPLICATION SCENARIOS

In this section, we discuss two scenarios that our system is capable of handling. The first scenario deals with adjusting the concentration levels of the droplets being used on the array. The second scenario describes an approach to use a minimal layout for glucose assays.

6.1 Dilution Control

Having the ability to dilute chemicals on chip is useful for improving the sensitivity and accuracy of bioanalyte detection [39]. Fair et al. [14] describe an interpolating serial dilution scheme. Each exponential dilution step mixes a unit volume chemical droplet with a unit volume buffer droplet to obtain two unit volume droplets of half the concentration. Each interpolation step combines unit volume droplets of concentrations \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) to obtain two droplets of concentration \( (C_1 + C_2)/2 \). In principle, a droplet with an arbitrary dilution level can be created through a sequence of interpolating and exponential dilution steps.

We have implemented an algorithm for automated droplet dilution control. We associate a concentration level with each droplet type the system is to process. If a droplet of a particular type and concentration is specified as an input to the system, and a mixing operation is specified that takes that droplet type but with a lower concentration as input, then the system will recognize that the input droplet needs to be diluted. A set of mixing operations to create the desired concentration is computed by applying Algorithm 2, which is based on a binary search strategy. To facilitate the dilution, two special droplet types are introduced. The first, a buffer droplet, has a concentration level of 0 and can be used to reduce the concentration of any droplet it mixes with by half. The second is a waste droplet; any unwanted, extra droplets produced by the dilution process that are to be discarded are designated as waste droplets. Once the set of mixing operations \( M \) has been computed, droplets of matching concentrations
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can be linked together in a mixing graph, by comparing the input and output concentrations of pairs of operations. See the example graph in Figure 13-15.

Algorithm 2 Droplet Dilution

**Input:** $d_i, d_b$ // Input droplet type with known concentration
// and the buffer droplet type
$c$ // Desired concentration level.
$tol$ // The tolerance within which concentrations // are considered equal

**Output:** $M$ // Set of mixing operations \{\((d_j, d_k) \rightarrow (d_{mix1}^{jk}, d_{mix2}^{jk})\)\}
// that yield concentration $c$.

$D \leftarrow \{d_i, d_b\}$ // Initializing $D$, set of droplets of varying // concentrations available for mixing

$M \leftarrow \emptyset$

$range \leftarrow \text{Concentration}(d_i) - \text{Concentration}(d_b)$

$d_H \leftarrow d_i$ // $d_H$ is upper bound for concentration

$d_L \leftarrow d_b$ // $d_L$ is lower bound for concentration

**while** $range > tol$ **do**

**for all** $d_i, d_h \in D$ **do**

**if** $\text{Concentration}(d_i) < c$ **and** $\text{Concentration}(d_h) > c$ **then**

**if** $\text{Concentration}(d_h) - \text{Concentration}(d_i) < range$ **then**

$range \leftarrow \text{Concentration}(d_h) - \text{Concentration}(d_i)$

$d_H \leftarrow d_h$

$d_L \leftarrow d_i$

**end if**

**end if**

**end for**

$m \leftarrow ((d_H, d_L) \rightarrow (d_{HL}, d_w))$ // $d_w$ is identical to $d_{HL}$ // but designated a waste droplet

$M \leftarrow M \cup m$

$D \leftarrow D \cup d_{HL}$

**end while**

**return** $M$

6.2 Minimalistic Layout for Glucose Assays

Experimentally demonstrated digital microfluidic systems range in size from small electrowetting arrays (for example, $5 \times 5$ cells [15]) to large dielectrophoresis arrays (for example, $320 \times 320$ cells [26]). The layouts we described above for our system are intermediate in size. We can also create a small layout of $11 \times 17$ cells (Figure 13-16), comparable in size to existing electrowetting-based
Figure 13-15. An example mixing graph for dilution control. The scheme assumes that droplets of a specified concentration level are given and that buffer droplets of 0% concentration are available. Any desired reduced concentration can be achieved; our approach is to identify the intermediate droplet concentrations through a binary search strategy. Here, the concentration is reduced to approximately 10% of its original level.

arrays [17]. These small layouts are most appropriate for simple reactions that require only a small number of droplet types.

Figure 13-16. A 11x17 array layout for sample preparation for glucose assay.

Srinivasan, Pamula, and Fair [40] describe the use of a prototype DMFS for glucose assays in a variety of biological fluids. They mix sample droplets and reagent droplets in the system to dilute the sample. After splitting, one resulting droplet is discarded as waste and the other is sent to an on-chip concentration detection cell. We have successfully simulated the sample preparation phase of this glucose assay using the minimal 11x17 layout in Figure 13-16. Currently, we assume that the diluted samples are sent off-chip for glucose concentration sensing; an optical sensor component can be easily incorporated into the layout, in the work area or at the sink intersection. This glucose assay example, along with the PCR example, demonstrates that our system is highly scalable; it is able to operate successfully on a range of sizes consistent with current experimental systems.

7. CONCLUSION

Our approach to creating a general-purpose DMFS, previously described in [18, 19], consists of imposing a virtual layout of components on the planar array and coordinating the motions of droplets by developing decentralized routing algorithms. The system can perform real-time droplet manipulation, and can be easily used to act as a controller for a physical array. The same array
can perform a variety of chemical analyses including the DNA polymerase chain reaction and glucose assays, and can even perform multiple analyses in parallel.

In this chapter, we enhanced the original system in a number of ways for greater versatility and performance. These included support for new layout schemes, routing algorithms, and increased spacing between droplets, and characterization of their effects on system performance. We found the system relatively stable to these variations, which implies the overall design is relatively robust. We then considered DMFS arrays with hardware limited row-column addressing and developed a polynomial-time graph coloring algorithm for the problem of droplet coordination under such hardware limitations. We demonstrated the capabilities of our system on example scenarios, including dilution control and minimalist layouts.

There are several directions for future work. Identifying the minimum number of steps to execute a set of droplet movements under limited row-column addressing is an open problem that we are working on using the graph coloring approach. The overall design of the components and the system allows for the introduction of new component types, such as droplet heater components, for example. Automatically generating the optimal layout for a given analysis requires methods for optimizing the number of tiles and their arrangement, as well as the locations of the sinks and sources on the array. Modeling the system as a network can potentially provide insights into changes to the array design and improve system performance. The design and control of dynamically reconfigurable layouts, where any part of the array may be reallocated for any desired operation, pose particularly interesting challenges. Developing layouts that can adapt to electrode failures is another direction that will lead to robust systems.
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