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Many topological spaces are simply sets of points(atoms) endowed with a topology. Some spaces, however, have elements that are functions, matrices, or other non-atomic items. Another special type of space has elements that are themselves subsets of another space; these spaces are called hyperspaces. Hyperspaces are metric spaces, and the metric defined on them is called the Hausdorff metric. Hyperspaces whose points are the closed subsets and hyperspaces whose points are the closed connected subsets (of metric spaces) have been extensively studied. Also, hyperspaces of closed and convex subsets of a bounded convex set in euclidean space are of great interest in geometry. See Lay[3]. In recent years, geometers and topological dynamicists have explored spaces of closed and bounded subsets of the plane in connection with the study of fractals. One of the major results in the theory is that the hyperspace of closed subsets of a closed interval of real numbers is homeomorphic with the Hilbert cube, and with the space $I^{\infty}$, the countable product of unit intervals. For more general information about the Hausdorff metric and spaces of subsets, see Devaney [2] and Sieradski [6].

The main result of this paper is a topological characterization of the space of closed subsets of a convergent sequence of points. The proof given here provides a homeomorphic embedding of the space in the plane, $E_{2}$. The result was first proved by Pelczynski[5]. He studied arbitrary compact zero-dimensional metric spaces, so his proofs are much more widely applicable, but they are also somewhat technical. Our proof depends only on some well known results in the theory of metric spaces, and is therefore accessible to advanced undergraduate mathematics majors. We also prove that for no convergent sequence of real numbers is there an isometric embedding of the hyperspace in euclidean space, $E_{n}$, for any $n$. For other results and discussion, see Nadler[4].

Let $(X, d)$ denote a compact metric space. The hyperspace $\left(2^{X}, D\right)$ of $X$ is the metric space whose points are the closed nonempty subsets of X and whose metric is the Hausdorff metric $D$ given by

$$
D(A, B)=\inf \left\{\epsilon>0: A \subset N_{\epsilon}(B) \text { and } B \subset N_{\epsilon}(A)\right\},
$$

where

$$
N_{\epsilon}(A)=\{x \in X \mid d(a, x)<\epsilon \text { for some } a \in A\} .
$$

For example, let $A=\{(x, y) \mid x=0$ and $0 \leq y \leq 20\}$ and $B=\{(x, y) \mid x=$ 10 and $10 \leq y \leq 20\}$. Figure 1 shows that $\forall \epsilon>0, N_{10+\epsilon}(A) \supset B$ and $N_{10 \sqrt{2}+\epsilon}(B) \supset$ $A$. However, $N_{10 \sqrt{2}}(B) \not \supset A$, so $D(A, B)=10 \sqrt{2}$.


Figure 1. The distance function $D$, where $A$ and $B$ are vertical segments.

It is well known that $2^{X}$ is compact if and only if $X$ is compact and that the topology of $2^{X}$ depends only on the topology of $X$ and not on the metric for $X$. Also, since each point of $X$ is a closed subset, $X$ is a subset of $2^{X}$, and the copy of $X$ embedded in $2^{X}$ is isometric with $X$ itself since the Hausdorff metric $D$ for $2^{X}$ restricted to the subset of singletons is identical to the original metric $d$ for $X$. See Nadler[4] for this result and more. Pelczynski investigated the hyperspace of $K=\left\{0,1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \ldots\right\}$ with the metric it inherits from the real line. A corollary to his theorem answers the question, "topologically, what is $2^{K}$ ?"

Pelczynski's Theorem Let $X$ be a zero-dimensional infinite compact metric space with a dense set of isolated points. Then the space $2^{X}$ is homeomorphic with the subset $T(C)$ of the product space $[0,1] \times C$ given by

$$
T(C)=(0, C) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{N(k)}\left(k^{-1}, x_{k, n}\right)
$$

where $\bigcup_{n=1}^{N(k)}\left\{x_{k, n}\right\}$ is an arbitrary fixed $k^{-1}$-net for $C$, and $C$ is the Cantor discontinuum. ${ }^{1}$

Now we can state the corollary that is our first theorem.
THEOREM 1. The space $2^{K}$ is homeomorphic with a space $Y=Y_{1} \cup Y_{2} \subset E_{2}$ where $Y_{1}$ is homeomorphic with the Cantor ternary set and $Y_{2}$ is a countable set, every point of which is isolated in $Y=Y_{1} \cup Y_{2}$ and such that every point of $Y_{1}$ is the limit of a sequence of points of $Y_{2}$.

[^0]Pelczynski also showed that such a space is unique up to homeomorphism. Proof of Theorem 1. First, consider which subsets of $K$ are closed. Clearly, every finite subset is closed. Which infinite ones are closed? Precisely those that contain 0. It is helpful to view $2^{K}$ as the union $Z \cup F$ where $Z$ is the family of all subsets of $K$ that contain 0 , and $F$ is the set of nonempty finite subsets that do not contain 0 . Let $C$ denote the Cantor ternary set. That is, $C=\{x \in \mathbf{R}: 0 \leq x \leq$ 1 and $x$ has a ternary representation consisting only of 0 's and 2 's $\}$. Next we embed $2^{K}$ in the plane. We define a function $\varphi: 2^{K} \rightarrow E_{2}$ in terms of a function $f$ from $Z$ to sequences of 0 's and 2's: For each $U \in Z$

$$
(f(U))_{i}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } \frac{1}{i} \notin U \\ 2 & \text { if } \frac{1}{i} \in U\end{cases}
$$

Then

$$
\varphi(U)=\left(\varphi_{1}(U), \varphi_{2}(U)\right)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f(U)_{i} \cdot 3^{-i}, 0\right)
$$

Each finite set not containing zero is mapped as follows. If $U \in F$, order the elements of $U$ from smallest to largest: $a_{1}<a_{2}<\cdots<a_{n}$. Then define

$$
\varphi(U)=\left(\varphi_{1}(U \cup\{0\}), a_{1}\right) .
$$

In other words, $\varphi(U \cup\{0\})$ is a point of $C$ and $\varphi(U)$ is a point just above $\varphi(U \cup\{0\})$ in the plane at a height equal to the smallest element of $U$. For example

$$
\varphi\left(\left\{0,1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}\right\}\right)=\left(\frac{26}{27}, 0\right)
$$

and

$$
\varphi\left(\left\{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}\right\}\right)=\left(\frac{26}{27}, \frac{1}{3}\right) .
$$

Thus the finite subsets of $K$ are mapped to left endpoints of $C$ if they have 0 as a member and to points above the $x$-axis otherwise. Our task is to prove that this mapping $\varphi$ is a homeomorphism. Since $2^{K}$ is compact, it is enough to show that $\varphi$ is both one-to-one and continuous. To see that $\varphi$ is one-to-one, take distinct points $U$ and $V$ of $2^{K}$. We will show that $\varphi(U) \neq \varphi(V)$. If one belongs to $Z$ and the other to $F, \varphi_{2}(U) \neq \varphi_{2}(V)$. If both belong to $F$, there exists $k$ such that $\frac{1}{k}$ belongs to $(U \backslash V) \cup(V \backslash U)$. If $k_{0}$ is the smallest such $k$ and $\frac{1}{k_{0}} \in U$, then $\varphi_{1}(U)>\varphi_{1}(V)$. Similarly, if both $U$ and $V$ belong to $Z$, then $\varphi_{1}(U) \neq \varphi_{1}(V)$.

To see that $\varphi$ is continuous, let $\left\{U_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a convergent sequence of elements of $2^{K}$ and suppose $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} U_{n}=U_{0}$. To see that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(U_{n}\right)=\varphi\left(U_{0}\right),
$$

we distinguish two cases:
Case 1: $0 \notin U_{0}$. In this case, $\left\{U_{n}\right\}$ is eventually constant.
Case 2: $0 \in U_{0}$. We show A, $\varphi_{1}\left(U_{n}\right) \rightarrow \varphi_{1}\left(U_{0}\right)$ and $\mathrm{B}, \varphi_{2}\left(U_{n}\right) \rightarrow \varphi_{2}\left(U_{0}\right)$.
A. For each $k \in \mathbf{N}$, let $I_{k}=\left\{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \ldots, \frac{1}{k}\right\}$. We prove that for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$ there exists $m_{0} \in \mathbf{N}$ such that if $m>m_{0}$ then $I_{k} \cap U_{0}=I_{k} \cap U_{m}$. Suppose not. Then there exists $j \leq k$ such that $\frac{1}{j} \in U_{0}$ and $\frac{1}{j} \notin U_{m}$ (or vice-versa), in which case

$$
D\left(U_{0}, U_{m}\right) \geq\left|\frac{1}{j}-\frac{1}{j+1}\right|=\left|\frac{j+1-j}{j(j+1)}\right|=\frac{1}{j(j+1)} \geq \frac{1}{(k+1)^{2}} .
$$

This contradicts the convergence of the sequence $\left\{U_{n}\right\}$. Now we prove that $\varphi_{1}\left(U_{n}\right) \rightarrow$ $\varphi_{1}\left(U_{0}\right)$. Let $\epsilon>0$ be given. There exists a positive integer $m_{0}$ such that $\sum_{i=m_{0}}^{\infty} 2$. $3^{-i}<\epsilon$. Now pick $m_{0}$ large enough so that if $m>m_{0}$ then $I_{m_{0}} \cap U_{0}=I_{m_{0}} \cap U_{m}$. Let $D=\left\{i \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{i} \in U_{m} \backslash U_{0} \cup U_{0} \backslash U_{m}\right.\right\}$. Then $\left|\varphi_{1}\left(U_{m}\right)-\varphi_{1}\left(U_{0}\right)\right|=\sum_{k \in D} 2 \cdot 3^{-k} \leq$ $\sum_{k=m_{0}}^{\infty} 2 \cdot 3^{k}<\epsilon$.
B. To see that $\varphi_{2}\left(U_{n}\right) \rightarrow \varphi_{2}\left(U_{0}\right)$, let $\epsilon>0$ be given. We can take $m_{0}$ large enough that for any $m>m_{0}, U_{m}$ contains a point $\frac{1}{k}$ less than $\epsilon$. Then $\varphi_{2}\left(U_{m}\right) \leq \frac{1}{k}<\epsilon$. This completes the proof.


Figure 2. Part of the image of $\varphi$.

Rather than defining a homeomorphism into the plane, we could use a modified function to map $2^{K}$ into the line by mapping each set not containing 0 to the middle of the interval above whose right endpoint it lies. For example, $\varphi(\{1\})=\frac{1}{2}$.

Our second theorem shows that it is not possible to improve on the homeomorphic embedding of $2^{K}$ in the plane given in the proof of theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. Let $\left\{a_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a decreasing sequence of real numbers with $a_{1} \leq 1$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{i}=0$, and let $I=\left\{0, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right\}$. Then, the hyperspace $\left(2^{I}, D\right)$ is not isometrically embeddable in euclidean space $E_{n}$ for any $n$.

Proof. Suppose there is such an embedding. Let $b=a_{1}$ and let $a$ be a point of the sequence satisfying $a<\frac{b}{2}$. Consider the six elements $\{0\},\{0, b\},\{b\},\{a\},\{a, b\}$, and $\{0, a\}$ of $2^{K}$ and denote their images in $E_{n}$ under the embedding as $\{0\}^{\prime},\{0, b\}^{\prime},\{b\}^{\prime}$, $\{a\}^{\prime},\{a, b\}^{\prime}$, and $\{0, a\}^{\prime}$. See Figure 3. If $A, B$ and $C$ are three distinct points of the plane for which $D(A, C)=D \overline{(A, B)}+D(B, C)$, then $B$ lies on the segment from $A$ to $C$. Using this fact, it follows that $\{a\}^{\prime}$ belongs to the segment determined by $\{0\}^{\prime}$ and $\{b\}^{\prime},\{a, b\}^{\prime}$ belongs to the segment determined by $\{b\}^{\prime}$ and $\{0, b\}^{\prime}$, and $\{0, a\}^{\prime}$ belongs to the segment determined by $\{0\}^{\prime}$ and $\{0, b\}^{\prime}$. Now $\{0\}^{\prime},\{0, b\}^{\prime}$, and $\{b\}^{\prime}$ are the vertices of an equilateral triangle, as are $\{b\}^{\prime},\{a\}^{\prime},\{a, b\}^{\prime}$ and $\{0\}^{\prime},\{a\}^{\prime}$, and $\{0, a\}^{\prime}$. Since $D(\{a, b\},\{0, a\})=b-a$ and $D(\{0, b\},\{0, a\})=b-a$, we can see that $\angle\{0, b\}^{\prime}\{a, b\}^{\prime}\{0, a\}^{\prime}=\angle\{a, b\}^{\prime}\{0, b\}^{\prime}\{0, a\}^{\prime}=60^{\circ}$. The triangle $\{a, b\}^{\prime}\{0, b\}^{\prime}\{0, a\}^{\prime}$ is equilateral, so $D(\{a, b\},\{0, a\})=D(\{a, b\},\{0, b\})=b-a$, but $D(\{a, b\},\{0, b\})=a$ which contradicts our assumption that $a<\frac{b}{2}$.


Figure 3. The triangle with vertices $\{0\}^{\prime},\{b\}^{\prime}$ and $\{0, b\}^{\prime}$ in the hyperspace $2^{K}$.

Challenge 1: Find a formula for the distance between two subsets $A$ and $B$ based on the size of the first integer $i$ for which $\frac{1}{i}$ belongs to one of the sets but not the other.
Challenge 2: Show that theorem 1 follows no matter what metric is given for the
convergent sequence.
Challenge 3: Show that theorem 2 follows no matter what metric is given for the convergent sequence.
Challenge 4: If we use the alternative embedding of $2^{K}$ in the line, the homeomorphism establishes a linear ordering on $2^{K}$. Describe this ordering explicitly.
Challenge 5: What goes wrong if we define $\varphi$ using powers of 2 instead of powers of 3 as follows:

$$
(f(U))_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \text { if } \frac{1}{i} \notin U \\
1 & \text { if } \frac{1}{i} \in U
\end{array} \text { and } \varphi(U)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f(U)_{i} \cdot 2^{-i}, 0\right) .\right.
$$

The authors gratefully acknowledge the comments of a referree that our proof of Theorem 2 holds for $E_{n}$, not just for $E_{2}$ and for other helpful remarks.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ By a $k^{-1}$-net for $C$, Pelczynski means a set $S$ of points in $T(C)$ such that every point of $C$ is within $k^{-1}$ of some point of $S$.

