# **SIFT-based Image Alignment**

## Jianping Fan Dept of Computer Science UNC-Charlotte

Course Website: http://webpages.uncc.edu/jfan/itcs5152.html

#### **Project 2: SIFT-based Image Alignment**



The top 100 most confident local feature matches from a baseline implementation of project 2. In this case, 93 were correct (highlighted in green) and 7 were incorrect (highlighted in red).

#### Project 2: Local Feature Matching

### **Correspondence and Alignment**

• **Correspondence**: matching points, patches, edges, or regions across images







DoG for identifying scaleinvariant local extrema





#### Extrema points

Keypoints after removing low contrast & edge points

Keypoints & SIFT Descriptors

### **Keypoint & SIFT Descriptor**

- 16x16 Gradient window is taken. Partitioned into 4x4 subwindows.
- Histogram of 4x4 samples in 8 directions
- Gaussian weighting around center(  $\sigma$  is 0.5 times that of the scale of a keypoint)



#### Image alignment



www.cs.unc.edu/~lazebnik/spring10/lec10\_alignment

# Image Alignment Algorithm

Given images A and B

- 1. Compute image features for A and B
- 2. Match features between A and B
- 3. Compute homography between A and B using least squares on set of matches

What could go wrong?

#### A look into the past









#### http://blog.flickr.net/en/2010/01/27/a-look-into-the-past/

# A look into the past

• Leningrad during the blockade



#### http://komen-dant.livejournal.com/345684.html

### Bing streetside images



http://www.bing.com/community/blogs/maps/archive/2010/01/12/new-bingmaps-application-streetside-photos.aspx

### Image alignment: Applications



#### Panorama stitching



Recognition of object instances

### Image alignment: Challenges



#### Small degree of overlap Intensity changes



Occlusion, clutter

# Image alignment



- Two broad approaches:
  - Direct (pixel-based) alignment
    - Search for alignment where most pixels agree
  - Feature-based alignment
    - Search for alignment where *extracted features* agree
    - Can be verified using pixel-based alignment

# **Image Alignment as Fitting**

# **Alignment as fitting**

Previous lectures: fitting a model to features in one image
 M
 Find model M that minimizes

 $\sum_{i} \operatorname{residual}(x_i, M)$ 

# **Alignment as fitting**

Fitting a model to features in one image

Find model *M* that minimizes  $\sum_{i} residual(x_i, M)$ 

 Alignment: fitting a model to a transformation between pairs of features (*matches*) in two images



## **2D transformation models**

• Similarity (translation, scale, rotation)



• Affine



 Projective (homography)



# Parametric (global) warping



**Transformation T** is a coordinate-changing machine: p' = T(p)

What does it mean that *T* is global?

- Is the same for any point p
- can be described by just a few numbers (parameters)

For **linear transformations**, we can represent T as a matrix

p' = Tp

$$\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{T} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

### **Common transformations**



original

#### Transformed



#### translation



rotation



aspect



affine



perspective

Slide credit (next few slides): A. Efros and/or S. Seitz



**Original Image** 

translation

$$x' = x + v$$

$$y' = y + u$$





**Original Image** 

$$\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$





**Original Image** 

x' = x/ry' = y/t

$$\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$



#### **Original Image**



#### **Transformed Image**



Affine



**Original Image** 

$$\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ w' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ w \end{bmatrix}$$

# Scaling

- *Scaling* a coordinate means multiplying each of its components by a scalar
- Uniform scaling means this scalar is the same for all components:



# Scaling

• *Non-uniform scaling*: different scalars per component:



# Scaling

x' = ax• Scaling operation:

$$y' = by$$

• Or, in matrix form:  $\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$ 

scaling matrix S

#### **2-D Rotation**



#### **2-D Rotation**

This is easy to capture in matrix form:



Even though  $sin(\theta)$  and  $cos(\theta)$  are nonlinear functions of  $\theta$ ,

- x' is a linear combination of x and y
- y' is a linear combination of x and y

What is the inverse transformation?

- Rotation by  $-\theta$
- For rotation matrices  $\mathbf{R}^{-1} = \mathbf{R}^{T}$

## **Basic 2D transformations**



$$\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \Theta & -\sin \Theta \\ \sin \Theta & \cos \Theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$
  
Rotate

$$\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
Affine



Shear



**Translate** 

Affine is any combination of translation, scale, rotation, shear

# **Affine Transformations**

Affine transformations are combinations of

- Linear transformations, and
- Translations

#### **Properties of affine transformations:**

- Lines map to lines
- Parallel lines remain parallel
- Ratios are preserved
- Closed under composition



or



# **Projective Transformations**

Projective transformations are combos of

- Affine transformations, and
- Projective warps

#### **Properties of projective transformations:**

- Lines map to lines
- Parallel lines do not necessarily remain parallel
- Ratios are not preserved
- Closed under composition
- Models change of basis
- Projective matrix is defined up to a scale (8 DOF)

$$\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ w' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ w \end{bmatrix}$$

#### 2D image transformations (reference table)



Name	Matrix	# D.O.F.	Preserves:	Icon
translation	$igg[ egin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2	orientation $+\cdots$	
rigid (Euclidean)	$\left[ egin{array}{c c} m{R} & t \end{array}  ight]_{2  imes 3}$	3	lengths $+\cdots$	$\bigcirc$
similarity	$\left[ \left  s oldsymbol{R} \right  oldsymbol{t}  ight]_{2  imes 3}$	4	angles $+ \cdots$	$\bigcirc$
affine	$\left[egin{array}{c} oldsymbol{A} \end{array} ight]_{2 imes 3}$	6	parallelism $+\cdots$	
projective	$\left[ egin{array}{c}  ilde{m{H}} \end{array}  ight]_{3 imes 3}$	8	straight lines	

#### Szeliski 2.1

## Image matching under transformation



**Transformation T** is a coordinate-changing machine: p' = T(p)

What does it mean that *T* is global?

- Is the same for any point p
- can be described by just a few numbers (parameters)

For linear transformations, we can represent T as a matrix

p' = Tp

$$\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{T} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

# affine transformations

- Simple fitting procedure (linear least squares)
- Approximates viewpoint changes for roughly planar objects and roughly orthographic cameras
- Can be used to initialize fitting for more complex models



# Fitting an affine transformation

Assume we know the correspondences, how do we get the transformation?


### Fitting an affine transformation



- Linear system with six unknowns
- Each match gives us two linearly independent equations: need at least three to solve for the transformation parameters

# **Alignment as fitting**

• Transformation between pairs of features (*matches*) in two images



 $\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \end{bmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{vmatrix}$ 

Find transformation T that minimizes

 $\sum \operatorname{residual}(T(x_i), x'_i)$ 

#### **Hough Transformation for Alignment**

## Hough transform

• Recall: Generalized Hough transform





B. Leibe, A. Leonardis, and B. Schiele, <u>Combined Object Categorization and Segmentation with</u> <u>an Implicit Shape Model</u>, ECCV Workshop on Statistical Learning in Computer Vision 2004

## **Hough transform**

- Suppose our features are adapted to scale and rotation
  - Then a single feature match provides an alignment hypothesis (translation, scale, orientation)





David G. Lowe. <u>"Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints."</u> *IJCV* 60 (2), pp. 91-110, 2004.

## **Hough transform**

- Suppose our features are adapted to scale and rotation
  - Then a single feature match provides an alignment hypothesis (translation, scale, orientation)
  - Of course, a hypothesis obtained from a single match is unreliable
  - Solution: let each match vote for its hypothesis in a Hough space with very coarse bins





David G. Lowe. <u>"Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints."</u> *IJCV* 60 (2), pp. 91-110, 2004.

#### model

#### Hough transform details (D. Lowe's system)

- Modeling phase: For each model feature, record 2D location, scale, and orientation of model (relative to normalized feature frame)
- Test phase: Let each match between a test and a model feature vote in a 4D Hough space
  - Use broad bin sizes of 30 degrees for orientation, a factor of 2 for scale, and 0.25 times image size for location
  - Vote for two closest bins in each dimension
- Find all bins with at least three votes and perform geometric verification
  - Estimate least squares affine transformation
  - Use stricter thresholds on transformation residual
  - Search for additional features that agree with the alignment

David G. Lowe. <u>"Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints."</u> *IJCV* 60 (2), pp. 91-110, 2004.

# **Features for Image Alignment**





• Extract features



- Extract features
- Compute *putative matches*



- Extract features
- Compute *putative matches*
- Loop:

- Hypothesize transformation T



- Extract features
- Compute *putative matches*
- Loop:
  - Hypothesize transformation T
  - Verify transformation (search for other matches consistent with T)



- Extract features
- Compute *putative matches*
- Loop:
  - *Hypothesize* transformation *T*
  - Verify transformation (search for other matches consistent with T)

#### **Generating putative correspondences**

9



#### Generating putative correspondences



Need to compare *feature descriptors* of local patches surrounding interest points

### **Feature descriptors**

- Assuming the patches are already normalized (i.e., the local effect of the geometric transformation is factored out), how do we compute their similarity?
- Want invariance to intensity changes, noise, perceptually insignificant changes of the pixel

pattern



#### **Feature descriptors**

- Simplest descriptor: vector of raw intensity values
- How to compare two such vectors?
  - Sum of squared differences (SSD)

$$SSD(u,v) = \sum_{i} (u_i - v_i)^2$$

- Not invariant to intensity change
- Normalized correlation

$$\rho(u,v) = \frac{\sum_{i} (u_i - \overline{u})(v_i - \overline{v})}{\sqrt{\left(\sum_{j} (u_j - \overline{u})^2\right)\left(\sum_{j} (v_j - \overline{v})^2\right)}}$$

• Invariant to affine intensity change

## **Feature descriptors**

• Disadvantage of patches as descriptors:

- Small shifts can affect matching score a lot



• Solution: histograms



#### **Feature descriptors: SIFT**

- Descriptor computation:
  - Divide patch into 4x4 sub-patches
  - Compute histogram of gradient orientations (8 reference angles) inside each sub-patch
  - Resulting descriptor: 4x4x8 = 128 dimensions



David G. Lowe. <u>"Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints."</u> *IJCV* 60 (2), pp. 91-110, 2004.

### Feature descriptors: SIFT

- Descriptor computation:
  - Divide patch into 4x4 sub-patches
  - Compute histogram of gradient orientations (8 reference angles) inside each sub-patch
  - Resulting descriptor: 4x4x8 = 128 dimensions
- Advantage over raw vectors of pixel values
  - Gradients less sensitive to illumination change
  - Pooling of gradients over the sub-patches achieves robustness to small shifts, but still preserves some spatial information

David G. Lowe. <u>"Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints."</u> *IJCV* 60 (2), pp. 91-110, 2004.

#### **Feature matching**

 Generating *putative matches*: for each patch in one image, find a short list of patches in the other image that could match it based solely on appearance



#### Feature space outlier rejection

- How can we tell which putative matches are more reliable?
- Heuristic: compare distance of nearest neighbor to that of second nearest neighbor
  - Ratio of closest distance to second-closest distance will be *high* for features that are *not* distinctive



David G. Lowe. <u>"Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints."</u> *IJCV* 60 (2), pp. 91-110, 2004.

### Reading



David G. Lowe. "Distinctive image features from scaleinvariant keypoints." *IJCV* 60 (2), pp. 91-110, 2004.

# **RANSAC** Technique

### What's RANSAC ?

 RANSAC is an abbreviation for "RANdom SAmple Consensus".

 It is an iterative method to estimate parameters of a mathematical model from a set of observed data which contains outliers.

• Non-deterministic algorithm.

# Why RANSAC ?

• RANSAC can estimate a model which ignored outliers.

- Example:
  - To fit a line
    - Least Squares method:
      - Optimally fitted to all points including outliers.
    - RANSAC:
      - Only computed from the inliers.

#### Inliers vs. Outliers

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/RANSAC

#### RANSAC

#### General version:

#### 1. Randomly choose *s* samples

- Typically s = minimum sample size that lets you fit a model
- 2. Fit a model (e.g., line) to those samples
- 3. Count the number of inliers that approximately fit the model
- 4. Repeat *N* times
- 5. Choose the model that has the **largest set of inliers**

### How big is s?

- For alignment, depends on the motion model
  - Here, each sample is a correspondence (pair of matching points)



Name	Matrix	# D.O.F.	Preserves:	Icon
translation	$igg[ egin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2	orientation $+ \cdots$	
rigid (Euclidean)	$\left[ egin{array}{c c} m{R} & t \end{array}  ight]_{2  imes 3}$	3	lengths $+\cdots$	$\Diamond$
similarity	$\left[ \left[ \left. s oldsymbol{R} \right  oldsymbol{t} \right]_{2  imes 3}  ight.  ight.$	4	angles $+ \cdots$	$\Diamond$
affine	$\left[egin{array}{c} oldsymbol{A} \end{array} ight]_{2 imes 3}$	6	parallelism $+\cdots$	
projective	$\left[ egin{array}{c}  ilde{H} \end{array}  ight]_{3 imes 3}$	8	straight lines	

#### **RANSAC** pros and cons

#### • Pros

- Simple and general
- Applicable to many different problems
- Often works well in practice
- Cons
  - Parameters to tune
  - Sometimes too many iterations are required
  - Can fail for extremely low inlier ratios
  - We can often do better than brute-force sampling





• Select sample of m points at random



- Select sample of m points at random
- Calculate model parameters that fit the data in the sample



- Select sample of m points at random
- Calculate model parameters that fit the data in the sample
- Calculate error function for each data point



- Select sample of m points at random
- Calculate model parameters that fit the data in the sample
- Calculate error function for each data point
- Select data that support current hypothesis



- Select sample of m points at random
- Calculate model parameters that fit the data in the sample
- Calculate error function for each data point
- Select data that support current hypothesis

Repeat sampling
## Illustration of RANSAC



- Select sample of m points at random
- Calculate model parameters that fit the data in the sample
- Calculate error function for each data point
- Select data that support current hypothesis
- Repeat sampling

cmp.felk.cvut.cz/~matas/papers/presentations/viva.ppt

## Illustration of RANSAC



#### **ALL-INLIER SAMPLE**

RANSAC time complexity

$$t = k(t_M + \overline{m}_s N)$$

- k ... number of samples drawn
- N ... number of data points
- t<sub>M</sub> ... time to compute a single model
- m<sub>s</sub> ... average number of models per sample

cmp.felk.cvut.cz/~matas/papers/presentations/viva.ppt

# **RANSAC Algorithm**

- Input:
  - data: a set of observations
  - model: a model that can be fitted to data
  - n: the minimum number of data required to fit the model
  - k: the maximum number of iterations allowed in the algorithm
  - t: a threshold value for determining when a datum fits a model
  - d: the number of close data values required to assert that a model fits well to data
- Output:
  - best\_model : model parameters which best fit the data (or nil if no good model is found)
  - best\_consensus\_set : data point from which this model has been estimated
  - best\_error : the error of this model relative to the data http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/RANSAC

### **RANSAC Algorithm**

```
iterations := 0
best model := nil
best consensus set := nil
best error := infinity
while iterations < k
    maybe inliers := n randomly selected values from data
    maybe model := model parameters fitted to maybe inliers
    consensus set := maybe inliers
    for every point in data not in maybe inliers
        if point fits maybe model with an error smaller than t
            add point to consensus set
    if the number of elements in consensus set is > d
        (this implies that we may have found a good model,
        now test how good it is)
        better model := model parameters fitted to all points in consensus set
        this error := a measure of how well better model fits these points
        if this error < best error
            (we have found a model which is better than any of the previous one
            keep it until a better one is found)
            best model := better model
            best consensus set := consensus set
            best error := this error
    increment iterations
return best model, best consensus set, best error
```

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/RANSAC

### **Parameters**

$$1 - p = \left(1 - w^n\right)^k$$
$$k = \frac{\log(1 - p)}{\log(1 - w^n)}$$

- k: Iteration times.
- n: Selected points in one iteration.
- p: Probability in k iteration selects only inliers.
- w: Probability of a point which is a inlier.

In general, the p is unknown. If we fixed p, the k increased when n increased.

# **RANSAC for Image Alignment**

### **RANSAC loop:**

- 1. Randomly select a *seed group* of matches
- 2. Compute **transformation** from seed group
- 3. Find *inliers* to this transformation
- **4. If the number of inliers is sufficiently large**, recompute least-squares estimate of transformation on all of the inliers
- Keep the transformation with the largest number of inliers









#### Select translation with the most inliers

# **Problem with RANSAC**

- In many practical situations, the percentage of outliers (incorrect putative matches) is often very high (90% or above)
- Alternative strategy: Hough transform

(RANdom SAmple Consensus) :

Fischler & Bolles in '81.



#### Algorithm:

- 1. Sample (randomly) the number of points required to fit the model
- 2. Solve for model parameters using samples
- 3. Score by the fraction of inliers within a preset threshold of the model

Line fitting example



#### Algorithm:

- 1. **Sample** (randomly) the number of points required to fit the model (#=2)
- 2. Solve for model parameters using samples
- 3. Score by the fraction of inliers within a preset threshold of the model

Line fitting example



#### Algorithm:

- 1. **Sample** (randomly) the number of points required to fit the model (#=2)
- 2. Solve for model parameters using samples
- 3. Score by the fraction of inliers within a preset threshold of the model

Line fitting example



 $N_I = 6$ 

Algorithm:

- 1. **Sample** (randomly) the number of points required to fit the model (#=2)
- 2. Solve for model parameters using samples
- 3. **Score** by the fraction of inliers within a preset threshold of the model



Algorithm:

- 1. **Sample** (randomly) the number of points required to fit the model (#=2)
- 2. Solve for model parameters using samples
- 3. Score by the fraction of inliers within a preset threshold of the model

## How to choose parameters?

- Number of samples *N* 
  - Choose N so that, with probability p, at least one random sample is free from outliers (e.g. p=0.99) (outlier ratio: e)
- Number of sampled points *s* 
  - Minimum number needed to fit the model
- Distance threshold  $\delta$ 
  - Choose  $\delta$  so that a good point with noise is likely (e.g., prob=0.95) within threshold
  - Zero-mean Gaussian noise with std. dev.  $\sigma$ : t<sup>2</sup>=3.84 $\sigma$ <sup>2</sup>

$$N = log(1-p)/log(1-(1-e)^{s})$$

	proportion of outliers <i>e</i>						
S	5%	10%	20%	25%	30%	40%	50%
2	2	3	5	6	7	11	17
3	3	4	7	9	11	19	35
4	3	5	9	13	17	34	72
5	4	6	12	17	26	57	146
6	4	7	16	24	37	97	293
7	4	8	20	33	54	163	588
8	5	9	26	44	78	272	1177

For p = 0.99

modified from M. Pollefeys

# **RANSAC conclusions**

#### Good

- Robust to outliers
- Applicable for larger number of model parameters than Hough transform
- Optimization parameters are easier to choose than Hough transform

#### Bad

- Computational time grows quickly with fraction of outliers and number of parameters
- Not good for getting multiple fits

#### Common applications

- Computing a homography (e.g., image stitching)
- Estimating fundamental matrix (relating two views)

# Alignment

• Alignment: find parameters of model that maps one set of points to another

• Typically want to solve for a global transformation that accounts for \*most\* true correspondences

- Difficulties
  - Noise (typically 1-3 pixels)
  - Outliers (often 50%)
  - Many-to-one matches or multiple objects





Given matched points in {A} and {B}, estimate the translation of the object

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_i^B \\ y_i^B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_i^A \\ y_i^A \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} t_x \\ t_y \end{bmatrix}$$





#### Least squares solution

- 1. Write down objective function
- 2. Derived solution
  - a) Compute derivative
  - b) Compute solution
- 3. Computational solution
  - a) Write in form Ax=b
  - b) Solve using pseudo-inverse or eigenvalue decomposition







#### **Problem: outliers**

#### **RANSAC** solution

- 1. Sample a set of matching points (1 pair)
- 2. Solve for transformation parameters
- 3. Score parameters with number of inliers
- 4. Repeat steps 1-3 N times







**Problem: outliers, multiple objects, and/or many-to-one matches** 

#### Hough transform solution

- 1. Initialize a grid of parameter values
- 2. Each matched pair casts a vote for consistent values
- 3. Find the parameters with the most votes
- 4. Solve using least squares with inliers







#### **Problem: no initial guesses for correspondence**



# **ICP for Image Alignment**

### **Iterative Closest Points (ICP) Algorithm**

Goal: estimate transform between two dense sets of points

- **1. Initialize** transformation (e.g., compute difference in means and scale)
- **2.** Assign each point in {Set 1} to its nearest neighbor in {Set 2}
- **3.** Estimate transformation parameters
  - e.g., least squares or robust least squares
- 4. Transform the points in {Set 1} using estimated parameters
- 5. Repeat steps 2-4 until change is very small

# Example: aligning boundaries

- 1. Extract edge pixels  $p_1 \dots p_n$  and  $q_1 \dots q_m$
- 2. Compute initial transformation (e.g., compute translation and scaling by center of mass, variance within each image)
- 3. Get nearest neighbors: for each point  $p_i$  find corresponding match(i) = argmin dist(pi, qj)
- 4. Compute transformation *T* based on matches
- 5. Warp points **p** according to **T**
- 6. Repeat 3-5 until convergence









#### **Problem: no initial guesses for correspondence**

#### **ICP** solution

- 1. Find nearest neighbors for each point
- 2. Compute transform using matches
- 3. Move points using transform
- 4. Repeat steps 1-3 until convergence



# **Applications of Feature Matching**

### Scalability: Alignment to large databases

- What if we need to align a test image with thousands or millions of images in a model database?
  - Efficient putative match generation
    - Fast nearest neighbor search, inverted indexes

Test image



# **Scalability of SIFT Matching**

### Scalability: Alignment to large databases

- What if we need to align a test image with thousands or millions of images in a model database?
  - Efficient putative match generation
    - Fast nearest neighbor search, inverted indexes



D. Nistér and H. Stewénius, <u>Scalable</u> <u>Recognition with a Vocabulary Tree</u>, CVPR 2006



Slide credit: D. Nister



Slide credit: D. Nister



Vocabulary tree/inverted index

Slide credit: D. Nister


#### Model images

Populating the vocabulary tree/inverted index



#### Populating the vocabulary tree/inverted index



#### Populating the vocabulary tree/inverted index



Populating the vocabulary tree/inverted index



Looking up a test image

# Indexing with geometric invariants

• A match between invariant descriptors can yield a transformation hypothesis



# Indexing with geometric invariants

• A match between invariant descriptors can yield a transformation hypothesis



# Indexing with geometric invariants

- When we don't have feature descriptors, we can take n-tuples of neighboring features and compute invariant features from their geometric configurations
- Application: searching the sky: <u>http://www.astrometry.net/</u>



#### **Projective (Homography) Transformation**

# **Beyond affine transformations**

 Homography: plane projective transformation (transformation taking a quad to another arbitrary quad)



# Homography

• The transformation between two views of a planar surface



 The transformation between images from two cameras that share the same center





#### Application: Panorama stitching





# Fitting a homography

• Recall: homogenenous coordinates

$$(x,y) \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x\\ y\\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ w \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow (x/w, y/w)$$

Converting *to* homogenenous image coordinates

Converting *from* homogenenous image coordinates

# Fitting a homography

• Recall: homogeneous coordinates

$$(x,y) \Rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{c} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ w \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow (x/w, y/w)$$

Converting *to* homogeneous image coordinates

Converting *from* homogeneous image coordinates

• Equation for homography:

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} & h_{13} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} & h_{23} \\ h_{31} & h_{32} & h_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Fitting a homography

• Equation for homography:  $\lambda \begin{bmatrix} x'_i \\ y'_i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} & h_{13} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} & h_{23} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} & h_{23} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_i \\ y_i \end{bmatrix}$ 

$$\lambda \mathbf{x}_i' = \mathbf{H} \mathbf{x}_i$$

$$\mathbf{x}_i' \times \mathbf{H} \mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_i' \\ y_i' \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{h}_1^T \mathbf{x}_i \\ \mathbf{h}_2^T \mathbf{x}_i \\ \mathbf{h}_3^T \mathbf{x}_i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_i' \mathbf{h}_3^T \mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{h}_2^T \mathbf{x}_i \\ \mathbf{h}_1^T \mathbf{x}_i - x_i' \mathbf{h}_3^T \mathbf{x}_i \\ x_i' \mathbf{h}_2^T \mathbf{x}_i - y_i' \mathbf{h}_1^T \mathbf{x}_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0^T & -\mathbf{x}_i^T & y_i' \mathbf{x}_i^T \\ \mathbf{x}_i^T & 0^T & -x_i' \mathbf{x}_i^T \\ -y_i' \mathbf{x}_i^T & x_i' \mathbf{x}_i^T & 0^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{h}_1 \\ \mathbf{h}_2 \\ \mathbf{h}_3 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

3 equations, only 2 linearly independent

# **Direct linear transform**

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0^T & \mathbf{x}_1^T & -y_1' \, \mathbf{x}_1^T \\ \mathbf{x}_1^T & 0^T & -x_1' \, \mathbf{x}_1^T \\ \cdots & \cdots & \\ 0^T & \mathbf{x}_n^T & -y_n' \, \mathbf{x}_n^T \\ \mathbf{x}_n^T & 0^T & -x_n' \, \mathbf{x}_n^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{h}_1 \\ \mathbf{h}_2 \\ \mathbf{h}_3 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \qquad \mathbf{A} \, \mathbf{h} = 0$$

- H has 8 degrees of freedom (9 parameters, but scale is arbitrary)
- One match gives us two linearly independent equations
- Four matches needed for a minimal solution (null space of 8x9 matrix)
- More than four: homogeneous least squares

## **RANSAC for Estimating Homography**

#### **RANSAC loop:**

- \*1. Select four feature pairs (at random)
- 2. Compute homography H (exact)
  - 3. Compute *inliers* where  $SSD(p_i', H p_i) < \varepsilon$
  - 4. Keep largest set of inliers
  - 5. Re-compute least-squares H estimate on all of the inliers

#### RANSAC







- 1D Rotations (θ)
  - Ordering  $\Rightarrow$  matching images



Computational Photography, Alexei Efros, CMU, Fall 2005

- 1D Rotations (θ)
  - Ordering  $\Rightarrow$  matching images



- 1D Rotations (θ)
  - Ordering  $\Rightarrow$  matching images



- 2D Rotations (θ, φ)
  - Ordering  $\Rightarrow$  matching images

- 1D Rotations (θ)
  - Ordering  $\Rightarrow$  matching images



- 2D Rotations (θ, φ)
  - Ordering  $\Rightarrow$  matching images



- 1D Rotations (θ)
  - Ordering  $\Rightarrow$  matching images



- 2D Rotations (θ, φ)
  - Ordering  $\Rightarrow$  matching images









# **Overview of Image Alignment**

• Feature Matching

Image Matching

• Bundle Adjustment

• Multi-band Blending

Computational Photography, Alexei Efros, CMU, Fall 2005

## **RANSAC for Homography**



### **RANSAC** for Homography



## **RANSAC** for Homography



#### Probabilistic model for verification



































# Homography for Rotation

Parameterise each camera by rotation and focal length

$$\mathbf{R}_{i} = e^{[\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}]_{\times}}, \quad [\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}]_{\times} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\theta_{i3} & \theta_{i2} \\ \theta_{i3} & 0 & -\theta_{i1} \\ -\theta_{i2} & \theta_{i1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{K}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{i} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f_{i} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

• This gives pairwise homographies

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i = \mathbf{H}_{ij} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_j$$
,  $\mathbf{H}_{ij} = \mathbf{K}_i \mathbf{R}_i \mathbf{R}_j^T \mathbf{K}_j^{-1}$ 

# Bundle Adjustment

 New images initialised with rotation, focal length of best matching image



Computational Photography, Alexei Efros, CMU, Fall 2005

# Bundle Adjustment

 New images initialised with rotation, focal length of best matching image



Computational Photography, Alexei Efros, CMU, Fall 2005
## **Multi-band Blending**

Burt & Adelson 1983

– Blend frequency bands over range  $\propto \lambda$ 



## Results





## Can we use homographies to create a 360 panorama?



In order to figure this out, we need to learn what a camera is

## 360 panorama

