Interest Points for Image Representation

Jianping Fan Dept of Computer Science UNC-Charlotte

Course Website: http://webpages.uncc.edu/jfan/itcs5152.html

Corner Point Detection ----Harris Corner Detector

What edge image can tell us?





Object Boundaries & Structures

What edge image can tell us?



Object Boundaries & Structures

Corner Points on Edge





Polygon Approximation for Edge Representation



Corner Points on Edge



More Compact Image Representation via Corners



vertex

vertex

vertex

vertex

Harris Detector: Intuition







"flat" region: no change in all directions "edge": no change along the edge direction "corner": significant change in all directions

Corner Detector

 Shift in any direction would result in a significant change at a corner.



Algorithm:

Shift in horizontal, vertical, and diagonal directions by one pixel.
Calculate the absolute value of the MSE for each shift.

•Take the minimum as the **cornerness response**.

How to define & calculate cornerness response?

Change of intensity for the shift [*u*,*v*]:



Neighborhood pattern, weights,

Apply Taylor series expansion of Intensity Change:

$$E(u,v) = \sum_{x,y} w(x,y) [I(x+u,y+v) - I(x,y)]^{2}$$
$$= \sum_{x,y} w(x,y) [I_{x}u + I_{y}v + O(u^{2},v^{2})]^{2}$$

$$E(u,v) = Au^{2} + 2Cuv + Bv^{2}$$

$$A = \sum_{x,y} w(x,y)I_{x}^{2}(x,y)$$

$$E(u,v) = \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ C & B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B = \sum_{x,y} w(x,y)I_{y}^{2}(x,y)$$

$$C = \sum_{x,y} w(x,y)I_{x}(x,y)I_{y}(x,y)$$

Hessian Matrix



For small shifts [*u*,*v*] we have the following approximation:

$$E(u,v) \cong \begin{bmatrix} u,v \end{bmatrix} M \begin{bmatrix} u\\v \end{bmatrix}$$

where M is a 2×2 matrix computed from image derivatives:

$$M = \sum_{x,y} w(x,y) \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x I_y \\ I_x I_y & I_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Corner Detection: Mathematics

Local quadratic approximation of E(u,v) in the neighborhood of (0,0) is given by the second-order *Taylor expansion*:



Corner Detection: Mathematics

The quadratic approximation simplifies to

$$E(u,v) \approx \begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} M \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$

where *M* is a *second moment matrix* computed from image derivatives:

$$M = \sum_{x,y} w(x,y) \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x I_y \\ I_x I_y & I_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} \sum I_x I_x & \sum I_x I_y \\ \sum I_x I_y & \sum I_y I_y \end{bmatrix} = \sum \begin{bmatrix} I_x \\ I_y \end{bmatrix} [I_x I_y] = \sum \nabla I (\nabla I)^T$$

Corners as **distinctive interest points**

$$M = \sum w(x, y) \begin{bmatrix} I_x I_x & I_x I_y \\ I_x I_y & I_y I_y \end{bmatrix}$$

2 x 2 matrix of image derivatives (averaged in neighborhood of a point).



Interpreting the second moment matrix

The surface E(u, v) is locally approximated by a quadratic form. Let's try to understand its shape.

$$E(u,v) \approx [u \ v] \ M \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$
$$M = \sum_{x,y} w(x,y) \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x I_y \\ I_x I_y & I_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Interpreting the second moment matrix

Consider a horizontal "slice" of E(u, v): $\begin{bmatrix} u & v \end{bmatrix} M \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = \text{const}$

This is the equation of an ellipse.



Image patch



http://www.wisdom.weizmann.ac.il/~deniss/vision_spring04/files/InvariantFeatures.ppt

Image patch



Image patch



Intensity change in shifting window: eigenvalue analysis

$$E(u,v) \cong \begin{bmatrix} u,v \end{bmatrix} M \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} \lambda_1, \lambda_2 - \text{eigenvalues of } M$$

Ellipse $E(u,v) = \text{const}$
$$(\lambda_{\max})^{-1/2} (\lambda_{\min})^{-1/2} \text{direction of the slowest change}$$

Classification of image points using eigenvalues of M:

in all directions



Harris corner detector

Measure of cornerness response R:

$$R = \det M - k \left(\operatorname{trace} M \right)^2$$

$$\det M = \lambda_1 \lambda_2$$

trace $M = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$



(k - empirical constant, k = 0.04-0.06)

No need to compute eigenvalues explicitly!







Eliminate small responses.



Find local maxima of the remaining.



Harris Detector: Scale





$$R_{\min} = 1500$$

Harris corner detector algorithm

- Compute image gradients I_x I_y for all pixels
- For each pixel
 - Compute

$$M = \sum_{x,y} w(x,y) \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x I_y \\ I_x I_y & I_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

by looping over neighbors x,y

compute

$$R = \det M - k \left(\operatorname{trace} M \right)^2$$

- Find points with large corner response function *R* (*R* > threshold)
- Take the points of locally maximum *R* as the detected feature points (ie, pixels where R is bigger than for all the 4 or 8 neighbors).

35

Key Steps for Harris Detector

1. Compute x and y derivatives of image

$$I_x = G^x_\sigma * I \quad I_y = G^y_\sigma * I$$

2. Compute products of derivatives at every pixel

$$I_{x2} = I_x I_x \quad I_{y2} = I_y I_y \quad I_{xy} = I_x I_y$$

Compute the sums of the products of derivatives at each pixel

$$S_{x2} = G_{\sigma'} * I_{x2}$$
 $S_{y2} = G_{\sigma'} * I_{y2}$ $S_{xy} = G_{\sigma'} * I_{xy}$

4. Define at each pixel (x, y) the matrix

$$H(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} S_{x2}(x,y) & S_{xy}(x,y) \\ S_{xy}(x,y) & S_{y2}(x,y) \end{bmatrix}$$

Compute the response of the detector at each pixel

$$R = Det(H) - k(Trace(H))^2$$

6. Threshold on value of R. Compute nonmax suppression.

R is the cornerness response, corner points with local maximum of R

Summary of Harris Detector

Determinant of Matrix

In the case of a 2×2 matrix the determinant may be defined as

$$|A|=egin{bmatrix} a&b\ c&d \end{bmatrix}=ad-bc. \qquad |A|=egin{bmatrix} a&b&c\ d&e&f\ g&h&i \end{bmatrix}=$$

= aei + bfg + cdh - ceg - bdi - afh.

• Trace of Matrix

Let A be a matrix and its trace tr(A)

$$\mathbf{A} = egin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} egin{pmatrix} \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{A}) = \sum_{i=1}^3 a_{ii} = a_{11} + a_{22} + a_{33} \end{cases}$$

- What's a "good feature"?
 - Satisfies brightness constancy—looks the same in both images
 - Has sufficient texture variation
 - Does not have too much texture variation
 - Corresponds to a "real" surface patch—see below:



Does not deform too much over time

Properties of Corner Points

- Rotation invariance
- Partial invariance to *affine intensity* change
- But: non-invariant to image scale!

Harris Detector: Some Properties

Rotation invariance



Ellipse rotates but its shape (i.e. eigenvalues) remains the same

Corner response \boldsymbol{R} is invariant to image rotation
Harris Detector: Some Properties

Partial invariance to affine intensity change

 \checkmark Only derivatives are used => invariance to intensity shift $I \rightarrow I + b$

✓ Intensity scale: $I \rightarrow a I$



Harris Detector: Some Properties

• But: non-invariant to image scale!



All points will be classified as edges

Corner !

Harris Detector: Some Properties

• Quality of Harris detector for different scale changes



C.Schmid et.al. "Evaluation of Interest Point Detectors". IJCV 2000

Additional Invariances for Representation

• Scale Invariance

• Affine Invariance

- Consider regions (e.g. circles) of **different sizes** around a point
- Regions of corresponding sizes will look the same in both images



 The problem: how do we choose corresponding circles *independently* in each image?



- Solution:
 - Design a function on the region (circle), which is "scale invariant" (the same for corresponding regions, even if they are at different scales)

Example: average intensity. For corresponding regions (even of different sizes) it will be the same.

 For a point in one image, we can consider it as a function of region size (circle radius)



• Common approach:

Take a local maximum of this function

Observation: region size, for which the maximum is achieved, should be *invariant* to image scale.



Characteristic Scale

Ratio of scales corresponds to a scale factor between two images



• A "good" function for scale detection: has one stable sharp peak



 For usual images: a good function would be a one which responds to contrast (sharp local intensity change)

• Functions for determining scale

$$f = \text{Kernel} * \text{Image}$$

Kernels:

$$L = \sigma^2 \left(G_{xx}(x, y, \sigma) + G_{yy}(x, y, \sigma) \right)$$

(Laplacian)

$$DoG = G(x, y, k\sigma) - G(x, y, \sigma)$$

(Difference of Gaussians)

where Gaussian

$$G(x, y, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$



L or DoG kernel is a matching filter. It finds blob-like structure. It turns out to be also successful in getting characteristic scale of other structures, such as corner regions.

Difference-of-Gaussians



Scale-Space Extrema

• Choose all extrema within 3x3x3 neighborhood.



X is selected if it is larger or smaller than all 26 neighbors

 Above we considered: Similarity transform (rotation + uniform scale)



Now we go on to:
 Affine transform (rotation + non-uniform scale)

- Take a local intensity extremum as initial point
- Go along every ray starting from this point and stop when extremum of function f is reached



T.Tuytelaars, L.V.Gool. "Wide Baseline Stereo Matching Based on Local, Affinely Invariant Regions". BMVC 2000.

- Such extrema occur at positions
 where intensity suddenly changes
 compared to the intensity changes f(t)
 up to that point.
- In theory, leaving out the denominator would still give invariant positions. In practice, the local extrema would be shallow, and might result in inaccurate positions.





T.Tuytelaars, L.V.Gool. "Wide Baseline Stereo Matching Based on Local, Affinely Invariant Regions". BMVC 2000.

- The regions found may not exactly correspond, so we approximate them with ellipses
- Find the ellipse that best fits the region



• Covariance matrix of region points defines an ellipse:



Ellipses, computed for corresponding regions, also correspond!

- Algorithm summary (detection of affine invariant region):
 - Start from a *local intensity extremum* point
 - Go in *every direction* until the point of extremum of some function f
 - Curve connecting the points is the region boundary
 - Compute the covariance matrix
 - Replace the region with *ellipse*



T.Tuytelaars, L.V.Gool. "Wide Baseline Stereo Matching Based on Local, Affinely Invariant Regions". BMVC 2000.

- Maximally Stable Extremal Regions
 - Threshold image intensities: $I > I_0$
 - Extract connected components ("Extremal Regions")
 - Find "Maximally Stable" regions
 - Approximate a region with an *ellipse*











J.Matas et.al. "Distinguished Regions for Wide-baseline Stereo". Research Report of

Affine Invariant Detection : Summary

- Under affine transformation, we do not know in advance shapes of the corresponding regions
- Ellipse given by geometric covariance matrix of a region robustly approximates this region
- For corresponding regions ellipses also correspond

Methods:

- 1. Search for extremum along rays [Tuytelaars, Van Gool]:
- 2. Maximally Stable Extremal Regions [Matas et.al.]

Affine Invariant Descriptors

- Find affine normalized frame $\Sigma_2 = \langle q q^T \rangle$ $\Sigma_1 = \langle pp \rangle$ $\Sigma_1^{-1} = A_1^T A_1$ $\Sigma_2^{-1} = A_2^T A_2$ rotation
- Compute rotational invariant descriptor in this normalized frame
- J.Matas et.al. "Rotational Invariants for Wide-baseline Stereo". Research Report of CMP,

Affine covariant regions











Why invariant properties are important/attractive for image representation?

Keypoints and SIFT (Scale Invariant Feature Transform)

Keypoints & SIFT Descriptors



Keypoint & SIFT Descriptor

- 16x16 Gradient window is taken. Partitioned into 4x4 subwindows.
- Histogram of 4x4 samples in 8 directions
- Gaussian weighting around center(σ is 0.5 times that of the scale of a keypoint)







DoG for identifying scaleinvariant local extrema





Extrema points

Keypoints after removing low contrast & edge points

Keypoints & SIFT Descriptors

Scale-space Extrema Detection

- DoG images are grouped by octaves (i.e., <u>doubling</u> of σ_0)
- Fixed number of levels per octave

Scale (next octave)



down-sample





where

```
L(x, y, \sigma) =
G(x, y, \sigma) * I(x, y)
```



Scale space images





. . .







. . .



. . .

first octave

second octave

. . .

third octave



. . .

Difference-of-Gaussian images





. . .



. . .



first octave

second octave

. . .

third octave

fourth octave

Scale-space extrema detection

- Find the points, whose surrounding patches (with some scale) are distinctive
- An approximation to the scale-normalized Laplacian of Gaussian

$$L(x, y, \sigma) = G(x, y, \sigma) * I(x, y)$$

$$G(x, y, \sigma) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} e^{-(x^2 + y^2)/2\sigma^2}$$

$$D(x, y, \sigma) = (G(x, y, k\sigma) - G(x, y, \sigma)) * I(x, y)$$

= $L(x, y, k\sigma) - L(x, y, \sigma).$

Scale-space Extrema Detection



Gaussian

Scale

(first

- Images within each octave are separated by a constant factor ${\bf k}$
- If each octave is divided in **s** intervals:

 $k^{s}=2$ or $k=2^{1/s}$

Choosing SIFT parameters

- Parameters (i.e., scales per octave, σ_0 etc.) can be chosen experimentally based on keypoint (i) repeatability, (ii) localization, and (iii) matching accuracy.
- In Lowe's paper:
 - Keypoints extracted from 32 real images (outdoor, faces, aerial etc.)
 - Images were subjected to a wide range of transformations (i.e., rotation, scaling, shear, change in brightness, noise).

Scale-space Extrema Detection



Gaussian

- Pre-smoothing discards high frequencies.
- **Double** the size of the input image (i.e., using linear interpolation) prior to building the first level of the DoG pyramid.

• Increases the number of stable keypoints by a factor of 4.

Scale (first octave)

Scale-space Extrema Detection (cont'd)

- Extract local extrema (i.e., minima or maxima) in DoG pyramid. -Compare each point to its 8 neighbors at the same level, 9 neighbors
 - in the level above, and **9 neighbors** in the level below (i.e., 26 total).


Scale-space extrema detection

- Goal: Identify locations and scales that can be repeatably assigned under different views of the same scene or object.
- Method: search for stable features across multiple scales using a continuous function of scale.
- Prior work has shown that under a variety of assumptions, the best function is a Gaussian function.
- The scale space of an image is a function *L(x,y, σ)* that is produced from the convolution of a Gaussian kernel (at different scales) with the input image.

Aside: Image Pyramids



And so on.

3rd level is derived from the 2nd level according to the same function

2nd level is derived from the original image according to some function



Bottom level is the original image.

Aside: Mean Pyramid



And so on.

At 3rd level, each pixel is the mean of 4 pixels in the 2nd level.

At 2nd level, each pixel is the mean of 4 pixels in the original image.

Bottom level is the original image.

Aside: Gaussian Pyramid At each level, image is smoothed and reduced in size.



And so on.

At 2nd level, each pixel is the result of applying a Gaussian mask to the first level and then subsampling to reduce the size.

Bottom level is the original image.

 Determine the location and scale of keypoints to sub-pixel and sub-scale accuracy by fitting a 3D quadratic polynomial:

$$X_{i} = (x_{i}, y_{i}, \sigma_{i})$$
 keypoint
location
$$X = (x - x_{i}, y - y_{i}, \sigma - \sigma_{i})$$
 offset



sub-pixel, sub-scale Estimated location

Substantial improvement to matching and stability!

Scale

Use Taylor expansion to locally approximate D(x,y,σ) (i.e., DoG function) and estimate Δx:

$$D(\Delta X) = D(X_i) + \frac{\partial D^T(X_i)}{\partial X} \Delta X + \frac{1}{2} \Delta X^T \frac{\partial^2 D(X_i)}{\partial X^2} \Delta X$$

• Find the extrema of D(ΔX):

$$\frac{\partial D(X_i)}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial^2 D(X_i)}{\partial X^2} \Delta X = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 D(X_i)}{\partial X^2} \Delta X = -\frac{\partial D(X_i)}{\partial X} \Rightarrow \Delta X = -\frac{\partial^2 D^{-1}(X_i)}{\partial X^2} \frac{\partial D(X_i)}{\partial X}$$

• ΔX can be computed by solving a 3x3 linear system:



If $\Delta X > 0.5$ in any dimension, repeat.

- Reject keypoints having low contrast.
 - i.e., sensitive to noise

- If $|D(X_i + \Delta X)| < 0.03$ reject keypoint
- i.e., assumes that image values have been normalized in [0,1]

- Reject points lying on edges (or being close to edges)
- Harris uses the auto-correlation matrix:

$$A_W(x, y) = \sum_{x \in W, y \in W} \begin{bmatrix} f_x^2 & f_x f_y \\ f_x f_y & f_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{W}}) = \mathbf{det}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{W}}) - \alpha \operatorname{trace}^{2}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{W}})$$

or
$$\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{W}}) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 - \alpha (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2$$

SIFT uses the Hessian matrix (for efficiency).
 – i.e., Hessian encodes principal curvatures

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{xx} & D_{xy} \\ D_{xy} & D_{yy} \end{bmatrix}$$

α: largest eigenvalue (λ_{max}) β: smallest eigenvalue (λ_{min}) (proportional to principal curvatures)

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{H}) = D_{xx} + D_{yy} = \alpha + \beta,$$

$$\operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{H}) = D_{xx}D_{yy} - (D_{xy})^2 = \alpha\beta. \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{H})^2}{\operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{H})} = \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^2}{\alpha\beta} = \frac{(r\beta + \beta)^2}{r\beta^2} = \frac{(r+1)^2}{r},$$

$$(\mathbf{r} = \alpha/\beta)$$

Reject keypoint if: $\frac{\text{Tr}(\mathbf{H})^2}{\text{Det}(\mathbf{H})} < \frac{(r+1)^2}{r}$ (SIFT uses r = 10)



(a) 233x189 image

(b) 832 DoG extrema

(c) 729 left after low contrast threshold

(d) 536 left after testing ratio based on Hessian

Keypoint images



2. Orientation Assignment

• Create histogram of gradient directions, within a region around the keypoint, at selected scale:

 $L(x, y, \sigma) = G(x, y, \sigma) * I(x, y)$

 $m(x, y) = \sqrt{(L(x+1, y) - L(x-1, y))^2 + (L(x, y+1) - L(x, y-1))^2}$ $\theta(x, y) = a \tan 2((L(x, y+1) - L(x, y-1)) / (L(x+1, y) - L(x-1, y)))$



• Histogram entries are <u>weighted</u> by (i) gradient magnitude and (ii) a Gaussian function with σ equal to 1.5 times the scale of the keypoint.

2. Orientation Assignment (cont'd)

• Assign canonical orientation at peak of smoothed histogram (fit parabola to better localize peak).



- In case of peaks within 80% of highest peak, multiple orientations assigned to keypoints.
 - About 15% of keypoints has multiple orientations assigned.
 - Significantly improves stability of matching.

3. Keypoint Descriptor



8 bins

3. Keypoint Descriptor (cont'd)

- 1. Take a 16 x16 window around detected interest point.

0 2π angle histogram

(8 bins)

Divide into a 4x4 grid of cells.

3. Compute histogram in each cell.

Image gradients

* * * * * * * * * *

Keypoint descriptor

16 histograms x 8 orientations = 128 features

3. Keypoint Descriptor (cont'd)

 Each histogram entry is <u>weighted</u> by (i) gradient magnitude and (ii) a Gaussian function with σ equal to 0.5 times the width of the descriptor window.



3. Keypoint Descriptor (cont'd)

- Partial Voting: distribute histogram entries into adjacent bins (i.e., additional robustness to shifts)
 - Each entry is added to all bins, multiplied by a weight of 1-d, where d is the distance from the bin it belongs.







SIFT Steps - Review

(1) Scale-space extrema detection

Extract scale and rotation invariant interest points (i.e., keypoints).

(2) Keypoint localization

- Determine location and scale for each interest point.
- Eliminate "weak" keypoints

(3) Orientation assignment

- Assign one or more orientations to each keypoint.

(4) Keypoint descriptor

- Use local image gradients at the selected scale.

D. Lowe, "Distinctive Image Features from Scale-Invariant Keypoints", International Journal of Computer Vision, 60(2):91-110, 2004.

Cited 9589 times (as of 3/7/2011)

Scale Invariant Detectors

- Harris-Laplacian¹ Find local maximum of:
 - Harris corner detector in space (image coordinates)
 - Laplacian in scale



- SIFT (Lowe)² Find local maximum of:
 - Difference of Gaussians in space and scale



¹ K.Mikolajczyk, C.Schmid. "Indexing Based on Scale Invariant Interest Points". ICCV 200 ² D.Lowe. "Distinctive Image Features from Scale-Invariant Keypoints". Accepted to IJCV

Harris-Laplacian vs. SIFT (Lowe)

Harris-Laplacian Corner Detector:

- Rotation invariance
- Partial invariance to *affine intensity* change
- But: non-invariant to image scale!

SIFT (Lowe) Detector:

- Affine Invariance (including rotation)
- Scale Invariance
- Intensity Change Invariance

Applications of Keypoints & SIFT

Wide baseline stereo



[Image from T. Tuytelaars ECCV 2006 tutorial]

Panorama stitching



(a) Matier data set (7 images)



(b) Matier final stitch

Brown, Szeliski, and Winder, 2005

Recognition under occlusion







Correspondence across views

 Correspondence: matching points, patches, edges, or regions across images



Example: estimating "fundamental matrix" that corresponds two views



Applications

- Feature points are used for:
 - Image alignment
 - 3D reconstruction
 - Motion tracking
 - Robot navigation
 - Indexing and database retrieval
 - Object recognition







Overview of Keypoint Matching



 $d(f_A, f_B) < T$

1. Find a set of distinctive keypoints

- 2. Define a region around each keypoint
- 3. Compute a local descriptor from the normalized region

4. Match local descriptors

Goals for Keypoints





Detect points that are *repeatable* and *distinctive*

Invariant Local Features

Image content is transformed into local feature coordinates that are invariant to translation, rotation, scale, and other imaging parameters



Features Descriptors

Local features: main components

1) Detection: Identify the interest points

2) Description: Extract vector feature descriptor surrounding each interest point.





3) Matching: Determine correspondence between descriptors in two views

Matching could be very time-consuming?



Characteristics of good features



Repeatability

• The same feature can be found in several images despite geometric and photometric transformations

Saliency

• Each feature is distinctive

Compactness and efficiency

• Many fewer features than image pixels

Locality

 A feature occupies a relatively small area of the image; robust to clutter and occlusion

Goal: interest operator repeatability

• We want to detect (at least some of) the same points in both images.



No chance to find true matches!

• Yet we have to be able to run the detection procedure *independently* per image.
Local features: main components

1) Detection: Identify the interest points

2) Description:Extract vector feature descriptor surrounding each interest point.

3) Matching: Determine correspondence between descriptors in two views

Matching could be very time-consuming!

Many Existing Detectors Available

Hessian & Harris Laplacian, DoG Harris-/Hessian-Laplace Harris-/Hessian-Affine EBR and IBR MSER Salient Regions

Others...

[Beaudet '78], [Harris '88]
[Lindeberg '98], [Lowe 1999]
[Mikolajczyk & Schmid '01]
[Mikolajczyk & Schmid '04]
[Tuytelaars & Van Gool '04]
[Matas '02]
[Kadir & Brady '01]

Some Matching Results from Matt Brown



Some Matching Results

