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## Review: Perspective Projection



$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{\prime}=f^{\prime} \frac{x}{z} \\
& y^{\prime}=f^{\prime} \frac{y}{z}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Human visual pathway



## Human eye

## Rough analogy with human visual system:



Pupil//ris - control amount of light passing through lens
Retina - contains sensor cells, where image is formed

Fovea - highest concentration of cones

## Human stereopsis: disparity

## FIGURE 7.1



From Bruce and Green, Visual Perception, Physiology, Psychology and Ecology
Human eyes fixate on point in space - rotate so that corresponding images form in centers of fovea.

## Human stereopsis: disparity



Disparity occurs when eyes fixate on one object; others appear at different visual angles

From Bruce and Green, Visual Perception,
Physiology, Psychology and Ecology

## Depth from Convergence



Human performance: up to 6-8 feet

## Depth from binocular disparity



P: converging point

C: object nearer projects to the outside of the $P$, disparity $=+$

F: object farther projects to the inside of the P, disparity = -

Sign and magnitude of disparity

## Stereo



## Stereo Constraints



## A Simple Stereo System

LEFT CAMERA
RIGHT CAMERA

Left image: reference
baseline

Right image: target

## Parallel Cameras



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{T+x_{r}-x_{i}}{Z-f}=\frac{T}{Z} \\
& \Rightarrow Z=f \frac{T}{x_{i}-x_{r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { Disparity: } d=x_{r}-x_{r}
$$

T is the stereo baseline

$$
Z=f \frac{T}{d}
$$



## Geometry for a simple stereo system

- Assume parallel optical axes, known camera parameters (i.e., calibrated cameras). What is expression for $\mathbf{Z}$ ?


Similar triangles ( $p_{l}, P, p_{r}$ ) and $\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ :

$$
\frac{T-x_{l}+x_{r}}{Z-f}=\frac{T}{Z}
$$

$$
Z=f \frac{T}{x_{l}-x_{r}}
$$

## Perspective projection



## Perspective projection



## Perspective projection <br> 



## Standard stereo geometry



$$
d=x_{L}-x_{R}=f \frac{X_{L}}{Z}-f \frac{X_{R}}{Z}=f \frac{X_{L}-X_{R}}{Z}=f \frac{B}{Z}
$$

- disparity is inversely proportional to depth
- stereo vision is less useful for distant objects


## Rectified geometry



## Rectified geometry



## two cameras

overlapped (for display)


## Matching space



## Matching space



## Depth from disparity


input image (1 of 2)

depth map


3D rendering
[Szeliski \& Kang '95]


$$
\text { disparity }=x-x^{\prime}=\frac{\text { baseline } * f}{z}
$$

## Depth from disparity

image $I(x, y)$
Disparity map $D(x, y)$


$$
\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=(x+D(x, y), y)
$$

So if we could find the corresponding points in two images, we could estimate relative depth...

## Choosing the stereo baseline



- What's the optimal baseline?
- Too small: large depth error
- Too large: difficult search problem


Slides by Kristen Grauman

## Stereo



## Stereo



Basic Principle: Triangulation

- Gives reconstruction as intersection of two rays
- Requires
- calibration
- point correspondence


## Stereo correspondence

- Determine Pixel Correspondence
- Pairs of points that correspond to same scene point


Epipolar Constraint

- Reduces correspondence problem to 1D search along conjugate epipolar lines


## Stereo image rectification



## Stereo image rectification

- Image Reprojection
- reproject image planes onto common plane parallel to line between optical centers
- a homography ( $3 \times 3$ transform) applied to both input images
- pixel motion is horizontal after this transformation
- C. Loop and Z. Zhang. Computing Rectifying Homographies for Stereo Vision. IEEE Conf. Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 1999.


## Stereo matching algorithms

- Match Pixels in Conjugate Epipolar Lines
- Assume brightness constancy
- This is a tough problem
- Numerous approaches
- A good survey and evaluation:
http://www.middlebury.edu/stereo/


## Your basic stereo algorithm



For each epipolar line
For each pixel in the left image

- compare with every pixel on same epipolar line in right image
- pick pixel with minimum match cost

Improvement: match windows

- This should look familar...
- Can use Lukas-Kanade or discrete search (latter more common)


## Window size



$W=3$

$W=20$

Effect of window size

- Smaller window
$+$
- 
- Larger window
$+$


## Stereo results

- Data from University of Tsukuba
- Similar results on other images without ground truth


Scene


Ground truth

## Results with window search



Window-based matching
Ground truth (best window size)

## Better methods exist...



State of the art method
Ground truth Boykov et al., Fast Approximate Energy Minimization via Graph Cuts, International Conference on Computer Vision, September 1999.

## Binocular stereo matching

## Binocular rectified stereo


left

disparity map

depth discontinuities
S. Birchfield, Clemson Univ., ECE 847, http://www.ces.clemson.edu/~stb/ece847

## Disparity function


left

right
smaller slope = smaller disparity = farther from camera

higher slope = larger disparity = closer to camera

## Occlusions



## Matching a pixel

- Pixel's value is not unique
- Only 256 values but $\sim 100,000$ pixels!
- Also, noise affects value
- Solution: use more than one pixel
- Assume neighbors have similar disparity
- Correlation window around pixel

- Can use any similarity measure


## Block matching



- compute best disparity for each pixel
- store result in disparity map
disparity map


## Block matching (cont.)


left

right
value for this disparity
Note: Window only moves left. Why?

## Block matching

$$
d_{L}(x, y)=\arg \min _{0 \leq d \leq d_{\max }} \operatorname{dissim}\left(I_{L}(x, y), I_{R}(x-d, y)\right)
$$



```
Function disparity_map = BlockMatch1(img_left, img_right; min_disp, max_disp)
    for \(\mathrm{y}=0\) to height-1
        for \(\mathrm{x}=0\) to width-1
        ghat = infinity
        for \(d=\) min_disp to max_disp
            \(\mathrm{g}=0\)
            for \(\mathrm{j}=-\mathrm{w}\) to w
                for \(i=-w\) to \(w\)
                    \(g=g+\operatorname{dissimilarity(img\_ left(x+i,y+j),~img\_ right(x+i-d,y+j))~}\)
            if \(\mathrm{g}<\) ghat,
                    ghat \(=\mathrm{g}\)
            dhat \(=\mathrm{d}\)
        disparity_map(x, y) = dhat
```


## 5 nested for loops!!!!!

## Block matching

$$
d_{L}(x, y)=\arg \min _{0 \leq d \leq d_{\max }} \operatorname{dissim}\left(I_{L}(x, y), I_{R}(x-d, y)\right)
$$

disparity


```
BLOCKMATCH1 \(\left(I_{L}, I_{R}, d_{\text {min }}, d_{\text {max }}\right)\)
    for \((x, y) \in I_{L}\) do
\(2 \quad \hat{g} \leftarrow \infty\)
\(3 \quad\) for \(d \leftarrow d_{\text {min }}\) to \(d_{\text {max }}\) do
        \(g \leftarrow 0\)
        for \((\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in \mathcal{W}\) do
            \(g \leftarrow g+\operatorname{dissim}\left(I_{L}(x+\tilde{x}, y+\tilde{y}), I_{R}(x+\tilde{x}-d, y+\tilde{y})\right.\)
            if \(g<\hat{g}\) then
            \(\hat{g} \leftarrow g\)
            \(\hat{d} \leftarrow d\)
        \(d_{L}(x, y) \leftarrow \hat{d}\)
    return \(d_{L}\)
```


## 5 nested for loops!!!!!

## Eliminating redundant computations


for same disparity, overlapping windows recompute the same dissimilarities for many pixels

[^0]
## Block matching: another view

- Alternatively,
- precompute
$\Delta(x, y, d)=\operatorname{dissim}\left(I_{L}(x, y), I_{R}(x-d, y)\right)$ for all $x, y, d$
- then for each $(x, y)$ select the best $d$



## More efficient block matching

```
Function dbar = ComputeDbar(img_left, img_right; min_disp, max_disp)
```

    for d=min_disp:max_disp,
        // compare pixels
        for \(y=0\) :height-1,
            for \(\mathrm{x}=0\) :width-1,
            dbar (x, y, d) = dissimilarity(img_left(x, y), img_right(x-d, y)
    // convolve with 2D box filter to sum over window
    \(\left.\begin{array}{l}\operatorname{tmp}=\text { convolve dbar }\left(:,:, \text { d) with 1D kernel }\left[1 . . .1^{\prime}\right]\right. \\ \operatorname{dbar}(:,:, d)=\text { convolve tmp with 1D kernel }[1 . . .1]^{\wedge} \mathrm{T}\end{array}\right\}\) separable
    Function disparity_map = BlockMatch2(img_left, img_right; min_disp, max_disp)
dbar = ComputeDbar (img_left, img_right; min_disp, max_disp)
for $\mathrm{y}=0$ : height-1,
for $\mathrm{x}=0$ : width-1,
disparity_map $(x, y)=\arg \min$ of $\operatorname{dbar}(x, y,:)$

> Key idea: Summation over window is convolution with box filter, which is separable (only 3 nested for loops!!!)
> Running sum improves efficiency even more

## More efficient block matching

```
BLockMATCh2 \(\left(I_{L}, I_{R}, d_{\text {min }}, d_{\text {max }}\right)\)
\(1 \Delta \leftarrow\) ComputeSummedDissimilarities \(\left(I_{L}, I_{R}, d_{\text {min }}, d_{\text {max }}\right)\)
2 for \((x, y) \in I_{L}\) do
    \(d_{L}(x, y) \leftarrow \arg \min _{d} \Delta(x, y, d)\)
4 return \(d_{L}\)
ComputeSummedDissimilarities \(\left(I_{L}, I_{R}, d_{\text {min }}, d_{\text {max }}\right)\)
1 for \(d \leftarrow d_{\text {min }}\) to \(d_{\text {max }}\) do
2 for \((x, y) \in I_{L}\) do
\(3 \quad \Delta(x, y, d) \leftarrow \operatorname{dissim}\left(I_{L}(x, y), I_{R}(x-d, y)\right)\)
\(4 \quad \Delta(:,:, d) \leftarrow \operatorname{Convolve}\left(\Delta(:,:, d), \mathbf{1}_{w \times w}\right)\)
5 return \(\Delta\)

Key idea: Summation over window is convolution with box filter, which is separable (only 3 nested for loops!!!)
Running sum improves efficiency even more

\section*{Comparing image regions}

Compare intensities pixel-by-pixel


\section*{Dissimilarity measures}

Sum of Square Differences
\[
S S D=\iint_{W}\left[I^{\prime}(x, y)-I(x, y)\right]^{2} d x d y
\]

Note: SAD is fast approximation (replace square with absolute value)

\section*{Comparing image regions}

Compare intensities pixel-by-pixel


\section*{Dissimilarity measures}

If energy does not change much, then minimizing SSD equals maximizing cross-correlation

\section*{Comparing image regions}

Compare intensities pixel-by-pixel


\section*{Similarity measures}

Zero-mean Normalized Cross Correlation
\[
\begin{aligned}
N C C= & \frac{N\left(I^{\prime}, I\right)}{\sqrt{N\left(I^{\prime}, I^{\prime}\right) N(I, I)}} \\
& \quad N(A, B)=\iint_{W}(A(x, y)-\bar{A})(B(x, y)-\bar{B}) d x d y
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{Dissimilarity measures}

Most common:
\[
\begin{aligned}
D\left(\mathbf{x}_{L}, \mathbf{x}_{R}\right) & =\left[I_{L}\left(x_{L}, y_{L}\right)-I_{R}\left(x_{R}, y_{R}\right)\right]^{2} \quad \text { SSD } \\
D\left(\mathbf{x}_{L}, \mathbf{x}_{R}\right) & =\left|I_{L}\left(x_{L}, y_{L}\right)-I_{R}\left(x_{R}, y_{R}\right)\right| \quad \text { SAD } \\
D\left(\mathbf{x}_{L}, \mathbf{x}_{R}\right) & =-I_{L}\left(x_{L}, y_{L}\right) I_{R}\left(x_{R}, y_{R}\right) \quad \text { cross correlation }
\end{aligned}
\]

Connection between SSD and cross correlation:
\[
\begin{aligned}
D\left(\mathbf{x}_{L}, \mathbf{x}_{R}\right) & =\left[I_{L}\left(x_{L}, y_{L}\right)-I_{R}\left(x_{R}, y_{R}\right)\right]^{2} \\
& =\left[I_{L}\left(x_{L}, y_{L}\right)\right]^{2}+\left[I_{R}\left(x_{R}, y_{R}\right)\right]^{2}-2 I_{L}\left(x_{L}, y_{L}\right) I_{R}\left(x_{R}, y_{R}\right) \\
& \propto-I_{L}\left(x_{L}, y_{L}\right) I_{R}\left(x_{R}, y_{R}\right)
\end{aligned}
\]

Also normalized correlation, rank, census, sampling-insensitive ...

\section*{Comparing image regions}

\section*{Compare intensities pixel-by-pixel}


\section*{Similarity measures}

Census
\[
C_{I}(i, j)=(I(x+i, y+j)>I(x, y))
\]
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline 125 & 126 & 125 \\
\hline 127 & 128 & 130 \\
\hline 129 & 132 & 135 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}\(\rightarrow\)\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & & 1 \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \(\rightarrow\) [00001111]
only compare bit signature
using XOR, SAD, or Hamming distance (all equivalent)
(Real-time chip from TZYX based on Census)

\section*{Sampling-Insensitive Pixel Dissimilarity}


Our dissimilarity measure: \(d\left(x_{L}, x_{R}\right)=\min \left\{\bar{d}\left(x_{L}, x_{R}\right), \bar{d}\left(x_{R}, x_{L}\right)\right\}\)
[Birchfield \& Tomasi 1998]

\section*{Dissimilarity Measure Theorems}

Given: An interval A such that
\[
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[x_{L}-1 / 2, x_{L}+1 / 2\right] \subseteq A, \text { and }} \\
& {\left[x_{R}-1 / 2, x_{R}+1 / 2\right] \subseteq A}
\end{aligned}
\]

Theorem 1:
\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { If }\left|X_{L}-x_{R}\right| \leq 1 / 2, \text { then } d\left(x_{L}, x_{R}\right)=0 \\
\text { (when } A \text { is convex or concave) }
\end{gathered}
\]

Theorem 2:
\[
\begin{gathered}
\left|X_{L}-X_{R}\right| \leq 1 / 2 \text { iff } d\left(x_{L}, x_{R}\right)=0 \\
\text { (when } A \text { is linear) }
\end{gathered}
\]

\section*{Aggregation window sizes}

Small windows
- disparities similar
- more ambiguities
- accurate when correct

Large windows
- larger disp. variation
- more discriminant
- often more robust
- use shiftable windows to deal with discontinuities

(Illustration from Pascal Fua)

\section*{Occlusions}


If pixel matches do not agree in both directions, then unreliable

\section*{Left-right consistency check}

- Search left-to-right, then right-to-left
- Retain disparity only if they agree

\section*{Do minima coincide?}

Conceptually,
```

dm_L = BlockMatch(img_left, img_right; 0, max_disp)
dm_R = BlockMatch(img_right, img_left; -max_disp, 0)
for y=0:height-1,
for x=0:width-1,
if dm_L(x, y) != - dm_R(x - dm_L(x, y), y)
dm_L(x, y) = NOT_MATCHED

```

\section*{Left-right consistency check}

for pixel ( \(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\) ) in left image, choices are
\(\Delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{0})\),
\(\Delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{1})\),
\(\Delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{2})\),
,
\(\Delta\left(x, y, m a x \_d i s p\right)\)
for pixel ( \(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\) ) in right image, choices are
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathbf{0}) \\
& \Delta(\mathrm{x}+1, \mathrm{y}, 1), \\
& \Delta(\mathrm{x}+2, \mathrm{y}, 2), \\
& \ldots, \\
& \Delta(\mathrm{x}+\text { max_disp,y,max_disp) }
\end{aligned}
\]
because \(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{R}}\) + disparity

\section*{Left-right consistency check}


Function disparity_map = BlockMatchWithRightLeftCheck(img_left, img_right; max_disp)
\(\Delta=\) ComputeDbar (img_left, img_right; 0, max_disp)
for \(y=0\) :height -1 ,
for \(\mathrm{x}=0\) :width-1,
// find left answer
\(d_{\text {_left }}=\arg \min (\Delta(x, y, 0), \Delta(x, y, 1), \ldots, \Delta(x, y, \max\) disp \())\)
d_right \(=\arg \min \left(\Delta\left(x-d_{-} l e f t, y, 0\right), \Delta\left(x-d_{-} l e f t+1, y, 1\right), \ldots, \Delta\left(x-d_{-} l e f t+m a x \_d i s p, y, m a x \_d i s p\right)\right.\)
disp_map \((x, y)=\left(d \_l e f t ~==~ d \_r i g h t\right) ~ ? ~ d \_l e f t ~: ~ N O T \_M A T C H E D ~\)

\section*{With left-right check}

\section*{inefficient:}
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { BLOCKMATCHWITHLEFTRIGHTCHECK1 }\left(I_{L}, I_{R}, d_{\max }\right) \\
& 1 \\
& d_{L} \leftarrow \text { BLOCKMATCH2 }\left(I_{L}, I_{R}, 0, d_{\max }\right) \\
& 2
\end{aligned} d_{R} \leftarrow \text { BLOCKMATCH2 }\left(I_{R}, I_{L},-d_{\max }, 0\right)
\]

\section*{more efficient:}

BlockMatchWithLeftRightCheck2 \(\left(I_{L}, I_{R}, d_{\text {max }}\right)\)
```

    \(\Delta \leftarrow \operatorname{ComputeSummedDissimilarities}\left(I_{L}, I_{R}, 0, d_{\text {max }}\right)\)
    for \((x, y) \in I_{L}\) do
    \(\delta_{L} \leftarrow \arg \min \left\{\Delta(x, y, 0), \Delta(x, y, 1), \ldots, \Delta\left(x, y, d_{\max }\right)\right\}\)
    \(\delta_{R} \leftarrow \arg \min \left\{\Delta\left(x-\delta_{L}, y, 0\right), \Delta\left(x-\delta_{L}+1, y, 1\right), \ldots, \Delta\left(x-\delta_{L}+d_{\max }, y, d_{\max }\right)\right\}\)
    if \(\delta_{L}==\delta_{R}\) then
            \(d_{L}(x, y) \leftarrow \delta_{L}\)
    else
        \(d_{L}(x, y) \leftarrow\) NOT-MATCHED
    return \(d_{L}\)
    ```

\section*{Results: correlation}

left

disparity map

with left-right consistency check
S. Birchfield, Clemson Univ., ECE 847, http://www.ces.clemson.edu/~stb/ece847

\section*{Constraints}
- Epipolar - match must lie on epipolar line
- Piecewise constancy - neighboring pixels should usually have same disparity
- Piecewise continuity - neighboring pixels should usually have similar disparity
- Disparity - impose allowable range of disparities (Panum's fusional area)
- Disparity gradient - restricts slope of disparity
- Figural continuity - disparity of edges across scanlines
- Uniqueness - each pixel has no more than one match (violated by windows and mirrors)
- Ordering - disparity function is monotonic (precludes thin poles)

\section*{Stereo constraints}


When are these violated?

\section*{Forbidden zone}

(Related to ordering constraint)

\section*{Violation of ordering constraint}


\section*{Disparity gradient \\ \[
x_{C}=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{L}+x_{R}\right) \longleftarrow \text { Cyclopean coordinate }
\]}
\(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{I}}\) in \(\boldsymbol{I}_{\boldsymbol{L}}\) matches \(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{1}}\) in \(\boldsymbol{I}_{\boldsymbol{R}}: \quad d_{1}=x_{1}-x_{1}^{\prime}\)
\(\boldsymbol{x}_{2}\) in \(\boldsymbol{I}_{\boldsymbol{L}}\) matches \(\boldsymbol{x}_{2}^{\prime}\) in \(\boldsymbol{I}_{\boldsymbol{R}}: \quad d_{2}=x_{2}-x_{2}^{\prime}\)
\(\begin{aligned} & \text { disparity } \\ & \text { gradient: }\end{aligned}\left|\frac{\partial d}{\partial x_{c}}\right|=\frac{d_{2}-d_{1}}{\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{2}+x_{2}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}+x_{1}^{\prime}\right)}=\frac{2\left(d_{2}-d_{1}\right)}{x_{2}+x_{2}^{\prime}-x_{1}-x_{1}^{\prime}}\)


\section*{Disparity gradient constraint}


\(\left|\frac{\partial d}{\partial x_{c}}\right| \leq 1\)
(human visual system imposes this)

\(\left|\frac{\partial d}{\partial x_{c}}\right| \leq 2\)
(same as ordering constraint)

\section*{Figural continuity constraint}

right

left

\section*{Epipolar Geometry}

\section*{Camera parameters}


Extrinsic parameters:
Camera frame \(1 \longleftrightarrow \rightarrow\) Camera frame 2

Intrinsic parameters:
Image coordinates relative to camera \(\leftarrow \rightarrow\) Pixel coordinates
- Extrinsic params: rotation matrix and translation vector
- Intrinsic params: focal length, pixel sizes (mm), image center point, radial distortion parameters

We'll assume for now that these parameters are given and fixed.

\section*{Camera calibration}
- From world coordinate to image coordinate

\section*{General case, with calibrated cameras}
- The two cameras need not have parallel optical axes.


Vs.

\section*{Stereo correspondence constraints}

- Given \(p\) in left image, where can corresponding point p' be?

\section*{Stereo correspondence constraints}


\section*{Epipolar constraint}


Geometry of two views constrains where the corresponding pixel for some image point in the first view must occur in the second view.
- It must be on the line carved out by a plane connecting the world point and optical centers.

\section*{Epipolar Geometry}

http://www.ai.sri.com/~luong/research/Meta3DViewer/EpipolarGeo.html

\section*{Epipolar Geometry: terms}
- Baseline: line joining the camera centers
- Epipole: point of intersection of baseline with image plane
- Epipolar plane: plane containing baseline and world point
- Epipolar line: intersection of epipolar plane with the image plane
- All epipolar lines intersect at the epipole
- An epipolar plane intersects the left and right image planes in epipolar lines

Why is the epipolar constraint useful?

\section*{Epipolar Constraint}


This is useful because it reduces the correspondence problem to a 1D search along an epipolar line.

\section*{Example}


\section*{What do the epipolar lines look like?}

2.


\section*{Example: converging cameras}


Figure from Hartley \& Zisserman

\section*{Example: parallel cameras}


Where are the epipoles?


Figure from Hartley \& Zisserman

\section*{Stereo geometry, with calibrated cameras}


Main idea

\section*{Stereo geometry, with calibrated cameras}


If the stereo rig is calibrated, we know :
how to rotate and translate camera reference frame 1 to
get to camera reference frame 2.
Rotation: \(3 \times 3\) matrix \(\mathbf{R}\); translation: 3 vector \(\mathbf{T}\).

\section*{Stereo geometry, with calibrated cameras}


If the stereo rig is calibrated, we know :
how to rotate and translate camera reference frame 1 to
get to camera reference frame 2. \(\quad \mathbf{X}_{c}^{\prime}=\mathbf{R} \mathbf{X}_{c}+\mathbf{T}\)

\section*{An aside: cross product}
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\vec{a} \times \vec{b}=\vec{c} & \vec{a} \cdot \vec{c}=0 \\
& \vec{b} \cdot \vec{c}=0
\end{array}
\]

Vector cross product takes two vectors and returns a third vector that's perpendicular to both inputs.

So here, \(c\) is perpendicular to both \(a\) and \(b\), which means the dot product \(=0\).

\section*{From geometry to algebra}


Normal to the plane
\[
=\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{R} \mathbf{X}
\]

\section*{Another aside: Matrix form of cross product}
\[
\vec{a} \times \vec{b}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -a_{3} & a_{2} \\
a_{3} & 0 & -a_{1} \\
-a_{2} & a_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
b_{1} \\
b_{2} \\
b_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\vec{c} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \vec{a} \cdot \vec{c}=0 \\
& \vec{b} \cdot \vec{c}=0
\end{aligned}
\]

Can be expressed as a matrix multiplication.
\[
\left[a_{x}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -a_{3} & a_{2} \\
a_{3} & 0 & -a_{1} \\
-a_{2} & a_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad \vec{a} \times \vec{b}=\left[a_{x}\right] \vec{b}
\]

\section*{From geometry to algebra}

\[
=\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{R X}
\]

\section*{Essential matrix}
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \cdot(\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{R X})=0 \\
& \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \cdot\left(\left[\mathrm{T}_{x}\right] \mathbf{R X}\right)=0 \\
& \text { Let } \mathbf{E}= {\left[\mathrm{T}_{x}\right] \mathbf{R} } \\
& \mathbf{X}^{\prime T} \mathbf{E X}=0
\end{aligned}
\]

\(\mathbf{E}\) is called the essential matrix, and it relates corresponding image points between both cameras, given the rotation and translation.

If we observe a point in one image, its position in other image is constrained to lie on line defined by above.
Note: these points are in camera coordinate systems.

\section*{Essential matrix example: parallel cameras}

\[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R}= \\
& \mathbf{T}= \\
& \mathbf{E}=\left[\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}}\right] \mathbf{R}=
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\mathbf{p}=[x, y, f]
\]
\[
\mathbf{p}^{\prime}=\left[x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, f\right]
\]

For the parallel cameras, image of any point must lie on same horizontal line in each image plane.
image \(I(x, y)\)

\[
\left(x^{\top}, y^{\prime}\right)=(x+D(x, y), y)
\]

What about when cameras' optical axes are not parallel?

\section*{Stereo image rectification}

In practice, it is convenient if image scanlines (rows) are the epipolar lines.
reproject image planes onto a common plane parallel to the line between optical centers
pixel motion is horizontal after this transformation two homographies ( \(3 \times 3\) transforms), one for each input image reprojection

\section*{Stereo image rectification:}


\section*{Feature-Based Matching}

\section*{Correlation Approach}

- For Each point ( \(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{l}}\) ) in the left image, define a window centered at the point

\section*{Correlation Approach}

- ... search its corresponding point within a search region in the right image

\section*{Correlation Approach}

- ... the disparity ( \(\mathrm{dx}, \mathrm{dy}\) ) is the displacement when the correlation is maximum

\section*{Comparing Windows}


Minimize
\[
\sum_{[i, j] \in R}(f(i, j)-g(i, j))^{2}
\]

Sum of Squared Differences

Maximize \(\quad C_{f g}=\sum_{[i, j] \in R} f(i, j) g(i, j) \quad\) Cross correlation

\section*{Feature-based correspondence}
- Features most commonly used:
- Corners
- Similarity measured in terms of:
- surrounding gray values (SSD, Cross-correlation)
- location
- Edges, Lines
- Similarity measured in terms of:
- orientation
- contrast
- coordinates of edge or line's midpoint
- length of line

\section*{Feature-based Approach}

\section*{LEFT IIAGE}


BaEaOrceach feature in the left image...

\section*{Feature-based Approach}

\section*{RIGHT MIAGE}

- Search in the right image... the disparity ( \(\mathrm{dx}, \mathrm{dy}\) ) is Batdqerdisplacement when the similarity measure is maximum

\section*{Correspondence Difficulties}
- Why is the correspondence problem difficult?
- Some points in each image will have no corresponding points in the other image.
(1) the cameras might have different fields of view.
(2) due to occlusion.
- A stereo system must be able to determine the image parts that should not be matched.

\section*{Structure Light}

\section*{Active stereo with structured}


Li Zhang's one-shot stereo

- Project "structured" light patterns onto the object
- simplifies the correspondence problem

\section*{Active stereo with structured light}


\section*{Laser scanning}



Digital Michelangelo Project
http://graphics.stanford.edu/projects/mich/
- Optical triangulation
- Project a single stripe of laser light
- Scan it across the surface of the object
- This is a very precise version of structured light scanning

Portable 3D laser scanner (this one by Minolta)


\section*{Laser scanned models}


The Digital Michelangelo Project, Levoy et al.

\section*{Laser scanned models}


The Digital Michelangelo Project, Levoy et al.

\section*{Volumetric Stereo}


Goal: Determine transparency, radiance of points in \(V\)

\section*{Discrete Formulation: Voxel Coloring}

Discretized
Scene Volume

Input Images
(Calibrated)


Goal: Assign RGBA values to voxels in V photo-consistent with images

\section*{Complexity and Computability}

Discretized
Scene Volume
\(N^{3}\) voxels
C colors


\section*{Stereo vision}


Two cameras, simultaneous views


Single moving camera and static scene```


[^0]:    S. Birchfield, Clemson Univ., ECE 847, http://www.ces.clemson.edu/~stb/ece847

